16-782 Planning & Decision-making in Robotics

Multi-Robot Planning

Maxim Likhachev Robotics Institute Carnegie Mellon University

- Centralized vs. Decentralized
 - Centralized: one central control of (planning for) all the robots
 - Decentralized: each robot decides/plans what to do on its own

- Centralized vs. Decentralized
 - Centralized: one central control of (planning for) all the robots
 - Decentralized: each robot decides/plans what to do on its own

Why do we need decentralized planning approaches?

- Centralized vs. Decentralized
 - Centralized: one central control of (planning for) all the robots
 - Decentralized: each robot decides/plans what to do on its own

Why do we need decentralized planning approaches?

Robust to limits on or loss of communication Robust to loosing some robots in the team Computationally more scalable

- Centralized vs. Decentralized
 - Centralized: one central control of (planning for) all the robots
 - Decentralized: each robot decides/plans what to do on its own

Why do we need decentralized planning approaches?

Robust to limits on or loss of communication Robust to loosing some robots in the team Computationally more scalable

Challenges with decentralized planning?

- Centralized vs. Decentralized
 - Centralized: one central control of (planning for) all the robots
 - Decentralized: each robot decides/plans what to do on its own

Why do we need decentralized planning approaches?

Robust to limits on or loss of communication Robust to loosing some robots in the team Computationally more scalable

Challenges with decentralized planning?

How to guarantee that the overall team accomplishes its goal?

Maxim Likhachev

• Multi-robot Path Planning vs. Multi-robot Cooperative Task Planning

– **Multi-robot Path Planning**: how to plan paths for *N* robots so that they don't collide with each other during execution

- Multi-robot Cooperative Task Planning: how to compute plans for N robots so that they achieve the overall goal that may require cooperation

• Small teams vs. large teams (swarms) of robots

– **Planning for small teams**: Compute plans for N (potentially heterogeneous) robots, where N is typically 2-10

– Planning for (control of) swarms of robots: how to control a swarm of N (usually homogeneous) robots, where N is typically 10-1000

• Small teams vs. large teams (swarms) of robots

– **Planning for small teams**: Compute plans for N (potentially heterogeneous) robots, where N is typically 2-10

– Planning for (control of) swarms of robots: how to control a swarm of N (usually homogeneous) robots, where N is typically 10-1000

Control of swarms is typically decentralized

• Joint state-space vs. distributed planning (within centralized)

– **Joint state-space planning**: Planning for *N* robots in a state-space that represents joint configurations of robots

– **Distributed planning**: Planning is split into *N* individual planners that share their results (and potentially re-plan) to obtain a final plan for all *N* robots



• Joint state-space vs. distributed planning (within centralized)

– **Joint state-space planning**: Planning for *N* robots in a state-space that represents joint configurations of robots

– **Distributed planning**: Planning is split into *N* individual planners that share their results (and potentially re-plan) to obtain a final plan for all *N* robots



simple example for two omnidirectional point-size robots



simple example for two omnidirectional point-size robots



Joint state-space planning



Joint state-space planning



The simplest approach: construct and search a graph, where each state encodes positions of all the robots and each action encodes all possible movements





The simplest approach: construct and search a graph, where each state encodes positions of all the robots and each action encodes all possible movements





The simplest approach: construct and search a graph, where each state encodes positions of all the robots and each action encodes all possible movements



٠





• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions

Assuming 4-connected grid, what is the maximum branching factor (how many actions/successors)?

 $\begin{array}{c} R2 = AI \\ R1 = A3 \\ R2 \text{ moves east,} \\ R1 \text{ moves east,} \\ R1 \text{ moves east,} \\ R1 = B3 \\ R2 = BI \\ R1 = A2 \\ R2 = BI \\ R1 = A2 \\ \end{array}$

Joint state-space planning





• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions





4



• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions





• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions

Distributed planning



One popular approach: Prioritized Planning For i = 1:N

Compute path for robot R_i that avoids collisions with paths for robots $R_{I}..R_{i-1}$

Maxim Likhachev

• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions

Distributed planning



One popular approach: Prioritized Planning For i = 1:N

Compute path for robot R_i that avoids collisions with paths for robots $R_1..R_{i-1}$

• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions

Distributed planning



One popular approach: Prioritized Planning For *i* = 1:N

Compute path for robot R_i that avoids collisions with paths for robots $R_{I}..R_{i-1}$

Maxim Likhachev

• Path planning for N robots to get to their goals w/o collisions

Distributed planning



One popular approach: Prioritized Planning For i = 1:N

Compute path for robot R_i that avoids collisions with paths for robots $R_1..R_{i-1}$

Maxim Likhachev

• Example: planning for *N* robotic arms to move an object



[Cohen et al., '14] (performs joint state-space planning)

• Example: planning for N robotic arms to move an object



(planning is distributed: plan on Roman platform first, then on PR2)

• Example: planning for multi-robot exploration/mapping

N robots need to explore and build a map of unknown environment

One approach: Distributed Greedy Mapping For i = 1:N

Compute a path using Greedy Mapping approach for robot R_i taking into account what paths were computed for $R_1..R_{i-1}$ (and what cells they would see)

Maxim Likhachev

Camegie menon emversity

• Example: planning for multi-robot exploration/mapping

N robots need to explore and build a map of unknown environment

- Greedy Mapping for a single robot:
 - always move the robot on a shortest path to the closest unobserved (or unvisited) cell
 - it always achieves a gain in information.
 - thus, it is guaranteed to map the environment that is reachable (assuming all moves are reversible)



One approach: Distributed Greedy Mapping For i = 1:N

Compute a path using Greedy Mapping approach for robot R_i taking into account what paths were computed for $R_1..R_{i-1}$ (and what cells they would see)

Maxim Likhachev

Camegie menon oniversity

• Example: planning for multi-robot exploration/mapping

N robots need to explore and build a map of unknown environment



[Butzke et al., '11]

One approach: Distributed Greedy Mapping For i = 1:N

Compute a path using Greedy Mapping approach for robot R_i taking into account what paths were computed for $R_1..R_{i-1}$ (and what cells they would see)

Maxim Likhachev

Camegie menon emversity

• Example: planning for multi-robot exploration/mapping

N robots need to explore and build a map of unknown environment



Compute a path using Greedy Mapping approach for robot R_i taking into account what paths were computed for $R_1..R_{i-1}$ (and what cells they would see)

Maxim Likhachev

Camegie menon emversity

- Market-based approach (very popular distributed approach)
 - Consider planning the allocation of tasks to N robots
 - General scheme: robots auction out their tasks to their teammates with the goal of increasing their own revenue



Market-based Approach

Given *N* robots $R_1 ... R_N$, *M* tasks $T_1 ... T_M$, and C_i^{Rj} – cost of executing task *i* by robot R_i (cost may depend on other tasks executed by this robot)

Planner needs to decide: Which task gets executed by which robot?

Find a plan(mapping) π^* : $T_i \rightarrow R_i$ such that $\pi^* = \operatorname{argmin} \Sigma C_i^{\pi(Ti)}$



Market-based Approach

Given *N* robots $R_1 ... R_N$, *M* tasks $T_1 ... T_M$, and C_i^{Rj} – cost of executing task *i* by robot R_i (cost may depend on other tasks executed by this robot)

Iterate over steps 1-4 until convergence or planning time expires

Step 1: start with an arbitrary plan π Step 2: all robots offer their tasks T_i at auction at the max. price of $C_{Ti}^{\pi(Ti)} - \epsilon$ Step 3: all robots R_j bid on the offered tasks T_i with the bid = $C_i^{Rj} + \epsilon$ Step 4: robots sell to the lowest bidders if they are below max. price and get profit: $C_{Ti}^{\pi(Ti)} - C_i^{Rj}$



Market-based Approach

Given N robots $R_1...R_N$, M tasks $T_1...T_M$, and C_i^{Rj} – cost of executing task *i* by robot R_i (cost may depend on other tasks executed by this robot)

When does it converge in one iteration?

Iterate over steps 1-4 until convergence or planning time expires

Step 1: start with an arbitrary plan π Step 2: all robots offer their tasks T_i at auction at the max. price of $C_{Ti}^{\pi(Ti)} - \epsilon$ Step 3: all robots R_j bid on the offered tasks T_i with the bid = $C_i^{Rj} + \epsilon$ Step 4: robots sell to the lowest bidders if they are below max. price and get profit: $C_{Ti}^{\pi(Ti)} - C_i^{Rj}$



Planning for Leader-based Coordination

- Fully decentralized approach (doesn't rely on the presence of communication between robots)
- Plan for the "leader" robot (sometimes leader can be just a centroid of the team or some other reference point)
- All other robots execute either "follow the leader" or "follow neighbors within field-of-view" behaviors while avoiding collisions



What You Should Know...

- Different styles of multi-robot planning
 - Centralized vs. decentralized
 - Joint state-space planning vs. distributed planning
 - Multi-robot path planning vs. cooperative task planning
- Prioritized Multi-robot Path Planning
- Market-based Approach to multi-robot planning