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Independent LifeStyle Assistant

Program Objective

Develop an intelligent home automation system with situation awareness and decision-making capability based on integration of diverse sensors, devices, and appliances to support caregivers and enable elderly users to live independently at home.

Co-funded by
Honeywell
And
U.S. Department of Commerce
National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)
Advanced Technology Program (ATP)
Program Aims

• Support elder independent living
• Provide peace of mind to caregivers
• Support efficient quality of care for caregiving organizations
• Provide cost savings for government and industry
Factors Precipitating Institutionalization

Literature reviews, interviews with adult children caregivers, and discussions with geriatric experts identified the most significant factors that pose a threat to the independence of elders.

- Mobility
- Medication Management
- Eating
- Toileting
- Isolation
- Medical Monitoring
- Cognitive Decline
- Safety
- Caregiver Burnout

Existing monitoring solutions often focus on a single function—little or no integration.
Monitoring Functional Status

• Ability to stay at home depends on mental and physical ability
• Clinic visits inadequate for functional assessment due to brief visit and out of context
• About 75% of elders maintain a structured life. An elder who has changing or deteriorating structure will probably leave the home soon.
• Changes in eating, drinking, and vital signs typically begin to decline 2 weeks prior to a serious event.

Recognizing changes in routine daily behaviors is an important predictor of change in status.
The I.L.S.A. Vision

- **Gather** information about elder, activity, and home status by listening to the home and communicating with devices
- **Assess** the need for assistance based on the system’s understanding the elder’s condition and what activities are going on inside the home
- **Respond** to a given situation by providing assistance to the elder and getting help when necessary
- **Share** health and status information with authorized caregivers and the elderly client to help improve the quality and timely delivery of care
ILSA tells me if things aren’t right with Mom. I don’t worry so much.

Lois is doing well. I don’t need to look in on her today.

Lois is in the living room.

Lois ate breakfast at 8:20.

Help when she needs it.

Lois is safe and comfortable.

10:00 A.M.
Time for medicine

It’s time to take your medicine!
Field test aims

- Assess design of interface and interaction
- Assess attitudes and perceptions of elders and family caregivers
- Assess patterns of behavior
- Evaluate system implementation and operation
- Evaluate system effects

For wider coverage of I.L.S.A. results, please visit our official website at http://www.htc.honeywell.com/projects/ilsa
Field Test Design

Longitudinal, single group repeated measures

Sites:
- Minnesota: 7 Assisted Living Apartments
- Florida: 4 Independent homes
Test Subjects

Inclusion criteria:

1. Takes one or more medications daily
2. Independent in ADLs
3. Needs assistance with one IADL
4. Has family caregiver who provides regular support
5. Family caregiver willing to participate
Field Test Measures

- Usability questionnaires-weekly, monthly
- Motion sensors
- Medication caddy sensors
- Elder health: SF-36
- Elder cognition level: MMSE
- Elder comfort with technology
- Focus groups: elders and caregivers
Demographics (Minnesota only)
n=7
Age: 83.42 (range 76-96)
Gender: 1 male, 6 female
Marital status: 6 widowed, 1 married (f)
Level of education: 4 HS, 2 College grads, 1 masters’ degree
## Test Subject Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 assisted apartment</td>
<td>1 male, 6 female</td>
<td>Ave: 83.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 independent apartment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Range 76-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>All in own homes</td>
<td>1 male, 3 female</td>
<td>Ave: 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range (56-76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Relatively high education, High School to PhD
- Relatively high acceptance of technology
- “Early Adoptors” who want to influence technology

Identifying willing elder/caregiver teams was more difficult than anticipated
Test Subjects

Comfort with technology:
40.29 (range 37-45)

Mobility
• One uses wheelchair for long distances, walker in apt.
• Others very active; all but two still drive

Med Adherence:
• One has meds set up
• Others set up own weekly
• Number of meds range from 1-16 per day
Test Subjects

- Elders are living independently
- All were physically active
- All were “healthy” with at least one chronic illness
- All were comfortable with remotes, programmable appliances
- Five had some computer literacy-wide variation in abilities
Caregiver Profile

- I.L.S.A. test subjects required to have at least one family caregiver
- Total of 17 caregivers registered for 11 clients
  - 8 Men, 9 Women
  - Access to web was a criteria for our test
- Professional caregivers were not targeted in this study
Implementation
Field Test Features

- **Activity Monitoring**
  Sensed and summarized activity level for each time period of the day compared to an expected baseline

- **Medication Compliance**
  List of the medications elder should take and whether he or she opened the caddy at the correct time

- **Reminders**
  Notes to help elder remember what to do today

- **Control**
  Allowed elder to turn the system on/off
**I.L.S.A. System**

**Wireless Sensors**
monitor general or specific activities

**Client Interface**
Honeywell Webpad™ anywhere in client’s home

**Caregiver Browser**
From any internet connection

**Home Gateway**

**Broadband internet**

**I.L.S.A. Server**
Example apartment layout with sensor locations

- **Zones 11-16**
  IR motion detectors

- **Zone 20**
  Entry Door contact switch

- **Zone 21**
  Hallway Pressure Mat

- **Zone 10**
  med caddy contact switch
I.L.S.A. Client Interface

Reminders List
Saturday, May 25

- Angie is coming to clean your house at 3:00.

- You have a doctor's appointment on Monday at 9:00 AM.

Done
Design Philosophies

• **Passive**
  Allow elders to follow regular routines without imposing new ones

• **No worn devices**
  Panic buttons not adequate in many instances (not handy, unconscious patient)

• **Minimal intrusions**
  No noises from system except automated reminders (as needed) via telephone

• **Web Pad optional**
  No requirement for them to use the Webpad™ for proper system behavior.
Activity Monitoring Design

• Alerts for “No Activity”
  • The complete lack of sensor events (from all sensors in the home) for a configurable duration. (e.g., 5 hours) during waking hours.

• Alerts for significant change in pattern
  • 50% increase or decrease sustained for three days, as compared to the previous seven days.

• Up-at-Night Notification
  • Sensor readings during the time when the client was normally asleep

• Communication
  • Telephone alerts to caregiver and listed on the web site
  • Web site or telephone status reports available on demand.
  • Clients could see alerts issued in the last 48 hours
Activity Monitoring
Conclusions

- **Accurate** mobility reports can provide value to both clients and caregivers.
- An accurate and passive means of detecting occupancy of the residence is essential to providing useful activity information.
- Access to reports has the potential to increase elder interaction and acceptance of monitoring.
- Activity sensors by themselves cannot provide 100% accurate detection of normal or abnormal events.
- Installation and configuration of activity sensors is the single most significant barrier to cost-effective application of this technology.
- Machine learning and other artificial intelligence techniques can improve accuracy and simplify configuration.
Activity Monitoring Reactions

- Little disruption from current habits
- Did not feel their privacy was invaded
- Actively interested in the reports
- Did not want to use Home/Away mode
- Too many false alerts when they were away
- Caregivers did not like being the first call on an alert
Medication Compliance Monitoring Design

Simple approach…..

- ILSA knows the med schedule
- Senses when med caddy opens
- If caddy is not opened within X minutes of scheduled time, a reminder is delivered.
- Displays schedule and access record for client and caregiver(s)
Client Medication View

Medicine Today
02:08 PM Monday, July 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicine</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoloft</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>10:00 PM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipitor</td>
<td>10:00 PM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atenolol</td>
<td>10:00 PM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medication was last accessed on Monday, Jul 14 11:09 AM
Medication Compliance Monitoring Design

• Time window for compliance is flexible and set in cooperation with the senior
• Time window approach greatly reduces the number of unnecessary reminders compared to fixed time approaches
• Elder can view schedule and compliance for the day
• Reminders consisted of phone call and recorded voice message, as well as text message on Webpad™
• 24 hours of noncompliance prompts an alert to caregiver
Medication Monitoring Results

• Most clients showed a reduction in missed medications while using I.L.S.A.
• Clients disliked the telephone reminders so much that they became more compliant to avoid them
• Encouraged them to exercise their own memory
• For most clients, incidence of missed medications did not significantly increase when reminders were turned off near the end of the test period
• Elders were able to continue to manage their own medications using this simple system
• Clients want multiple caddies so they can keep their meds distributed throughout the home
Medication Monitoring Reactions

What seniors liked about it:

• Little disruption from current habits
• No additional interaction required beyond normal medication handling
• Time window reduced unnecessary reminders
• Exercised senior’s cognitive faculties
• Device was simple and familiar-looking
• Selected boxes for each client based on the size of their pill sorter or bottles.
Measurements
Short Form-36 (SF-36)

Physical Health
- Physical functioning
- Role-physical
- Bodily pain
- General health

Mental Health
- Vitality
- Social functioning
- Role-emotional
- Mental health
## Field Test Results: SF-36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF 36 FACTORS</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Function</td>
<td>62.9 (21.6)</td>
<td>59.3 (22.9)</td>
<td>50.7 (20.3)</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-Physical</td>
<td>53.6 (44.3)</td>
<td>53.6 (36.6)</td>
<td>57.1 (34.5)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>76.8 (25.4)</td>
<td>84.9 (19.2)</td>
<td>72.3 (27.8)</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Health</td>
<td>71.6 (35.9)</td>
<td>66.9 (21.0)</td>
<td>65.9 (23.8)</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Standard Deviation)
### Field Test Results: SF-36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF 36 FACTORS</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(24.8)</td>
<td>(20.3)</td>
<td>(15.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Function</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(17.7)</td>
<td>(15.7)</td>
<td>(22.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-Emotional</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(27.9)</td>
<td>(25.2)</td>
<td>(46.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(11.9)</td>
<td>(6.8)</td>
<td>(14.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Standard Deviation)
Mini Mental Status Exam Results

Line 1
## Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation 1</th>
<th>Correlation 2</th>
<th>Correlation 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age with general health</td>
<td>.245</td>
<td>.487</td>
<td>.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age with pain (p=.023)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (f) with PF</td>
<td>-.618</td>
<td>-.612</td>
<td>-.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (f) with MMSE</td>
<td>.683</td>
<td>.642</td>
<td>.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort with MH</td>
<td>-.430</td>
<td>-.731</td>
<td>-.542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

• Clients were keenly interested in the reports of their activity and wanted to send feedback about the accuracy of reports.

• Clients did not appear to become dependent on medication reminders.

• Clients were engaged by I.L.S.A. throughout the testing period.

• Automated calls were universally disliked and not properly acknowledged (did not confirm delivery)
Conclusion

Our experience with I.L.S.A. highlighted topics for further study:

- System interaction concepts for elderly users
- Further study of machine learning algorithms in this domain
- Revised models of activity monitoring and sensor selection/placement
- Reminder behavior and adaptability
- Market questions:
  - how to overcome barriers to acceptance of “invasive” technologies
  - how to overcome reimbursement / cost / incentive barriers within current marketplace
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ILSA Test Subject Inclusion Criteria

• Living alone
• MMSE > 24
• Availability of high speed internet service
• Agreement of participation from a family member/caregiver
## Test Subject Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 assisted apartment</td>
<td>1 male, 6 female</td>
<td>Ave: 83.42, Range 76-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 independent apartment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>All in own homes</td>
<td>1 male, 3 female</td>
<td>Ave: 70, Range (56-76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Relatively high education, High School to PhD
- Relatively high acceptance of technology
- “Early Adoptors” who want to influence technology

Identifying willing elder/caregiver teams was more difficult than anticipated
Field Test Participants

- Elders were living independently
- All were physically active
- Most were “healthy”, some managed a chronic condition
- All were comfortable with remotes, programmable appliances
- Most had some computer literacy-wide variation in ability
- Assisted living resident used a walker in her apartment and a wheelchair for some transports in the facility.
- All but one (assisted living) still managed own medications
- Medications ranged from 1-16 doses per day
Field Test Measures

- Usability questionnaires-weekly, monthly
- Motion sensors
- Medication caddy sensors
- Elder health: SF-36
- Elder cognition level: MMSE
  - Pre-install, midpoint, end
- Elder comfort with technology
- Focus groups: elders and caregivers
Caregiver Profile

- I.L.S.A. test subjects required to have at least one family caregiver
- Total of 17 caregivers registered for 11 clients
  - 8 Men, 9 Women
  - Access to web was a criteria for our test
- Professional caregivers were not targeted in this study