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## Hybrid Systems

## Problem

Hybrid System

- Continuous evolutions (differential equations)
- Discrete jumps (control decisions)






## Velocity Controller



## Velocity Controller



$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \geq \tau \wedge-30 \leq v<-15 \\
& t:=0 \wedge a:=1.5 \wedge x:=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \geq \tau \wedge 15<v \leq 30 \\
& t:=0 \wedge a:=-2 \wedge x:=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Velocity Controller
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## Example for the Semantics



## Illustration of the Similarity Notion
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## Retiming

## Definition ( $\varepsilon$-Retiming)

A left-total, surjective relation $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$is called $\varepsilon$-retiming iff

$$
\forall(t, \tilde{t}) \in \mathfrak{r}:|t-\tilde{t}|<\varepsilon \wedge \forall\left(t^{\prime}, \tilde{t}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{r}:\left(t \leq t^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{t} \leq \tilde{t}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

## Example



## Definition of $\varepsilon-\delta$-simulation

## Definition

For two streams $\sigma_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$ with $i \in\{1,2\}$, given two non-negative real numbers $\varepsilon, \delta$, we say that $\sigma_{1}$ is $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$-simulated by stream $\sigma_{2}$ (denoted by $\sigma_{1} \unlhd^{\varepsilon, \delta} \sigma_{2}$ ) iff there is a $\varepsilon$-retiming $\mathfrak{r}$ such that

$$
\forall(t, \tilde{t}) \in \mathfrak{r}:\left\|c\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(t), c\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\tilde{t})\right\|<\delta
$$

where for $k \in\{1,2\}: c\left(\sigma_{k}\right)$ is defined by $c\left(\sigma_{k}\right)(t):=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{k}(t, q)$.

## Definition of $\varepsilon-\delta$-simulation

## Definition

A hybrid system $A$ is $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$-simulated by another system $B$ (denoted by $A \unlhd^{\varepsilon, \delta} B$ ) iff for all input streams $\iota_{A}$ and for all input streams $\iota_{B}$ $\iota_{A} \unlhd^{\varepsilon, \delta} \iota_{B}$ implies that for all output streams $\omega_{A} \in \equiv\left(\iota_{A}\right)$ of $A$, there is an output stream $\omega_{B} \in \Xi\left(\iota_{B}\right)$ of $B$ such that $\omega_{A} \unlhd^{\varepsilon, \delta} \omega_{B}$ holds.
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## Logic $\mathcal{L} \natural$ (Syntax)

## Definition (Syntax of $\mathcal{L} \sharp$ )

The basic formulas are defined by

$$
\phi::=x \in \mathcal{I}\left|f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq 0\right| \neg \phi\left|\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right| \phi_{1} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{J}} \phi_{2}
$$

where $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{R}, f$ is a Lipschitz continuous function and the $x_{i}$ are variables.

## Logic $\mathcal{L} \nvdash$ (Semantics)

## Definition (Valuation)

We define the valuation of a variable $x$ at time $t$ on a run $\xi$ as

$$
\zeta_{\xi}(t, x):=\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t, n)\right|_{x},
$$

where $\left.y\right|_{x}$ denotes the projection of the vector $y$ to its component associated with the variable name $x$.

## Logic $\mathcal{L} \nvdash$ (Semantics)

## Definition (Semantics of $\mathcal{L} \sharp$ )

We define for a run $\xi$ and some $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$the semantics of a formula $\phi$ by:

- $\xi, t \vDash x \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $\zeta(t, x) \in \mathcal{I}$
- $\xi, t \models f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq 0$ iff $f\left(\zeta\left(t, x_{1}\right), \ldots, \zeta\left(t, x_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0$
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## Definition (Semantics of $\mathcal{L} \bigsqcup$ )
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## Definition (Semantics of $\mathcal{L} \bigsqcup$ )

We define for a run $\xi$ and some $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$the semantics of a formula $\phi$ by:

- $\xi, t \equiv x \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $\zeta(t, x) \in \mathcal{I}$
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- $\xi, t \models \phi \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{J}} \psi$

$$
\text { iff } \exists t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{J}: \xi, \max \left\{t^{\prime}+t, 0\right\} \models \psi \text { and } \forall t \leq t^{\prime \prime}<t^{\prime}+t: \xi, t^{\prime \prime} \models \phi
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Additionally we define for a set of runs $\overline{\text { : }}$

$$
\text { 三, } t \models \phi \text { iff for all runs } \xi \in \equiv \text { holds } \xi, t \models \phi
$$

A hybrid system $H$ satisfies a formula denoted by $H \models \phi$ iff $\Xi_{H}, 0 \models \phi$.

## Preservation (Informal)

## Example

Formula: $x \in\{0,1,2\}$
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## Preservation (Informal)

## Example

Formula: $\begin{gathered}\phi \mathbb{U}_{\{1,2,3\}} \\ \psi, \varepsilon=1\end{gathered} \phi^{\prime} \mathbb{U}_{[0,4]} \psi^{\prime}$


## Preservation (Formal)

## Theorem (Preservation of logical properties)

If hybrid systems $A$ and $B$ satisfy $A \unlhd^{\varepsilon, \delta} B$ and $B \models \phi$ then $A \models \phi_{+\varepsilon}^{+\delta}$ where $\phi_{+\varepsilon}^{+\delta}:=r e_{\varepsilon, \delta}(\phi)$ and $r e_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ is defined by:

- $r e_{\varepsilon, \delta}(x \in \mathcal{I}):=x \in \mathcal{I}^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}=\{a \mid \exists b \in \mathcal{I}: a \in[b-\delta, b+\delta]\}$.
- $\operatorname{re}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\left(f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq 0\right):=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-\delta \cdot M \leq 0$ where $M$ is the Lipschitz constant for $f$.
where $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ holds.
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## Example

Formula: $\neg x \in[-1,3], \delta=1 \leadsto \neg x \in[0,2]$
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## Classical Relation

## Observation

Simulations can be defined in terms of games.
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## Hybrid Game

## Definition (Hybrid Game)

A hybrid game $H G=\left(S, E_{c}, U_{c}, I\right)$ consists of

- a hybrid automaton $S=(U, X, L, E, F, \operatorname{Inv}, \operatorname{Init})$,
- a set of controllable transitions $E_{c} \subseteq E$,
- a set of controllable variables $U_{c} \subseteq U$,
- and a location $I \in L$.

The environment wins, if it can force the game to enter the location / or if the controller does not have any more moves. The controller wins, if he can assert that the location / is avoided.

## Velocity Controller



$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \geq \tau \wedge-30 \leq v<-15 \\
& t:=0 \wedge a:=1.5 \wedge x:=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \geq \tau \wedge 15<v \leq 30 \\
& t:=0 \wedge a:=-2 \wedge x:=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Velocity Controller (Game)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{c}=\{s\} \quad \text { Invariant: } 0 \leq s \leq 2 \\
& \dot{v}=-0.001 x-0.052 v \leadsto \dot{v}=s \cdot(-0.001 x-0.052 v) \\
& \dot{v}=a \leadsto \dot{v}=(2-s) \cdot a
\end{aligned}
$$

## Similarity and Games

## Assumption

The systems that we compare are inputless, i.e. $U=\emptyset$.

## Theorem

Given two hybrid systems $A$ and $B$. If there is a winning strategy for the controller in the game $\left(A \lessdot B, E_{c},\{s\}\right.$, bad $)$ then $A \unlhd^{\varepsilon, \delta} B$ holds.

## Observation

If system $B$ is deterministic and a retiming strategy is given, model checking can be used to show that the winning strategy exists.
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## Summary

We...

- ... defined a notion of similarity for hybrid systems.
- ...showed properties that are preserved by this notion.
- ...established the classical relation between simulations and games for this
 notion.
- ...established some preliminary results for solving these games.

