GRAPH-BASED SHAPE MATCHING FOR DEFORMABLE OBJECTS

Hanbyul Joo*, Yekeun Jeong[†], Olivier Duchenne[‡], In So Kweon[†]

*Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, Korea ‡INRIA / ´ Ecole Normale Sup´ erieure, Paris, France †KAIST, Korea

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we propose a graph-based shape matching method for deformable objects. In our approach, a graph is generated from an over-segmented input image, and a shape matching problem is treated as finding a optimal cycle in the graph. Given a shape template and a graph generated from the input, a product graph is generated to consider every possible correspondences between graph edges and template subparts. Because the proposed approach can estimate correct correspondences between a target object and a template, the target object can be found robustly in the presence of shape deformation and background clutter. The experiments on various examples are also presented to verify the performance of the proposed method.

Index Terms— Shape matching, 2D shape, deformable shape

1. INTRODUCTION

Outline shape information plays an important role to solve the object detection and segmentation problems which are the fundamental problems in the computer vision area. By considering outline of the objects, it is possible to extract the exact object boundary from the background clutter. However, finding objects using shape information is still a challenging problem because of following reasons. Firstly, because of the background clutter, it is hard to find correct object outline to compare the shape differences with the template. Secondly, shape deformation also makes the matching harder because local shape could be changed dramatically.

Shape based approaches have been treated as a template matching problem in some approached [1, 2]. In those approaches, the whole outline shape of an object is used for prior information, and the most similar shape is detected using difference costs, such as Chamfer measurement. However, because the templates are rigid form, multiple templates are required to handle shape variances in case of the deformable objects. In the other approaches, researchers tried to solve this problem using multiple sub-part template [3]. In these approaches, a set of contour fragments generated by learning datasets are used to detect objects by combining those sub-part templates. According to the arrangement of the part templates, these approaches can handle object deformation. However, these works depends on the local matching of the small template fragments which could not be sufficiently distinctive. Thus, generating part templates is an important issue in those approaches. If the template fragments are too small, the local matching results have low confidence. On the other hand, if the fragments are too large, it could not be general to cover the object deformation.

In recent works, shape based object matching combining bottom-up approaches are proposed [4, 5]. In those approaches, an input image is divided into small homogeneous regions, and the target object is extracted by piecing together the small regions using shape information. Because bottomup approaches merge small homogeneous object pixels into a single segment using low-level cues, these approach have an advantage finding important object details.

In our approach, instead generating a group of the small fragments template by learning prior datasets, whole outline is used as a single template. Additionally, against to the previous single template based approaches, our algorithm estimate the correct correspondences between the object edges and the template. According to handle the variety of shape deformation. We treat this shape matching problem as an graph-based problem by generating a graph from the over-segmented image. In the end, by finding the shortest cycle in the whole possible cycle, our algorithm successively find the interested object from complex background clutter.

2. GRAPH BASED SHAPE MATCHING

The proposed method is composed of four steps: 1) oversegmentation for an input image; 2) graph generation from the over-segmented image; 3) product graph generation by combining the possible matching between the generated graph edges and template sub-parts; 4) optimal matching search using shortest cycle algorithm.

2.1. Graph Generation using over-segmented Image

Given an input image I, an over-segmentation algorithm is performed to divide the image into small homogeneous re-



Fig. 1. Over-segmentation and graph generation. (a) input image I (b) over-segmentation result (c) examples of nodes and edges (d) generated image graph $G_I(V, E)$.

gions. The over-segmentation result has several benefits for the shape matching: all edges are linked so that we can find closed loop to extract the target object, we can consider group of edge pixels together not the single edge pixel.

An undirected graph from the over-segmented image can be generated by considering connected edge pixels between two different segment as an edge of the graph. To generate undirected graph $G_I(V, E)$, we define the node set, V, which elements are the points at which three or more segments meet. Also, we define a set of edge, V, which elements are the connected edge line between two graph nodes. From now on, we use the term 'image graph' to refer this graph generated from over-segmentation to avoid the confusion with product graph which will be explained in next subsection. The examples of node and edge are shown in Fig. 1 (c), and the generated graph is shown in Fig. 1 (d).

2.2. Product Graph Generation

To compare the shape differences, we have to find the correspondences from image graph edges to template sub-parts. However, it is hard to find correct correspondences because the image graph edges are not sufficiently long nor distinctive. For example in Fig 2, an edge $e_{pq} \in E$, which is the edge between v_p and $v_q \in V$, could be possibly matched to the position a, b, and c or any other position when we find the correspondence locally.



$$V^{Pr} = \{ v^{Pr}(v_i, s_j) | where \ v_i \in V \ and \ s_j \in S \}.$$
(1)

The edge between two nodes of the product graph means a correspondence between an image graph edge and a template subpart. For example, when we think an edge between two nodes $v^{Pr}(v_p, s_i), v^{Pr}(v_q, s_j) \in V^{Pr}$, it means the correspondence between edge $e_{pq} \in E$ and t_{ij} which is the shorter template sub-part connecting two sample points, s_i and s_j , along the template shape. Formally, a set of the edge of product graph is defined as follows:

$$E^{Pr} = \{ e^{Pr}_{(v_p, s_i) \to (v_q, s_j)} \mid v^{Pr}(v_p, s_i) \to v^{Pr}(v_q, s_j) \}$$

$$where, \ e_{pq} \in E$$

$$\mid e_{pq} \mid -t < \mid t_{ij} \mid < \mid e_{pq} \mid +t.$$
(2)

Here, $|\cdot|$ means the length of the edge or template subpart, and t is a constant value to allow length variance. That is, the edge of the product graph is defined only if $e_{pq} \in E$ and t_{ij} has similar length to e_{pq} within allowed variance. Fig. 3 shows examples of defined nodes and edges of the product graph. In this example, when we think an edge $e_{pq} \in E$, we can define product graph edges with some length allowance t; $e^{Pr}_{(v_p,s_1)\to(v_q,s_3)}$, $e^{Pr}_{(v_p,s_1)\to(v_q,s_4)}$, and $e^{Pr}_{(v_p,s_1)\to(v_q,s_5)}$.

2.3. Weight Definition

The weight of an product graph edge is defined by the weighted sum of four costs; shape difference cost, global position difference cost, and edge length difference cost, and edge strength cost as follows.



Fig. 2. Matching candidates between an image graph edge and template sub-parts



Fig. 3. Examples of the edges and nodes of product graph

$$w(e^{Pr}_{(v_p,s_i)\to(v_q,s_j)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cdot C_{shape}(e_{pq}, t_{ij}) \\ +\beta \cdot C_{pos}(e_{pq}, t_{ij}) \\ +\gamma \cdot C_{leng}(e_{pq}, t_{ij}) \\ +\delta \cdot C_{str}(e_{pq}) \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{|t_{ij}|}{|T|}.$$
(3)

The shape difference cost, $C_{shape}(e_{pq}, t_{ij})$, is defined using Chamfer distance [1] between corresponding parts considering correct orientation. And, $C_{pos}(e_{pq}, T_{ij})$ measures relative position difference from the pivot point similar to [3]. That is, a pivot is selected in original graph(the center of the image for example), and the corresponding pivot is also estimated on template image. And, for the matched position and orientation of each image edge on the template sub-part, a saved relative position toward pivot point is voted. Finally, $C_{pos}(e_{pq}, T_{ij})$ is defined the distance between voting point and estimated pivot as the example shown in Fig. 4.

 $C_{leng}(e_{pq},T_{ij})$ measure length difference between corresponding parts. And the final cost, $C_{str}(e_{pq})$, measures the strength of image graph edges which prefers strong edges. The final term is the ratio of the length of compared template sub-part over the length of whole template. We applied this term to normalize according to the edge length. And, α , β , γ , δ is constant weights for each cost function.

2.4. Shape matching using Shortest Cycle Algorithm

In product graph, an edge means the correspondence between an image graph edge and a template sub-part. Thus, a path(or cycle) of the product graph means the correspondence between a path(or cycle) of the image graph and larger subparts(or whole) of template. Thus, the cost of the cycle means the shape difference cost between an closed region on the image and whole template. That is, our purpose is treated finding shortest cycle in the product graph.

To reduce search space in the product graph, we select a set of the meaningful initial product edges which have strong strength, large length, and small weight. We select sufficiently large number of them so that they include at least one correct correspondence. Also, by these initial correspondences, we



Fig. 4. Definition of the $C_{pos}(e_{pq}, T_{ij})$

estimate pivot position in the template image, and the C_{pos} of the other edges are calculated from this estimated pivot point.

For each selected initial product edge, we find a shortest path from the one node to the other node. Finally, the cycle which has shortest cost is selected as the final matching result among all shortest cycle of each initial matching. The detail algorithm is explained in 1.

Algorithm 1: Shortest Cycle Algorithm using Dijkstra
Shortest Path
Input : $G^{Pr}(V^{Pr}, E^{Pr})$ and selected initial product
graph edges
Output : Shortest cycle among all cycle from the initial
edge
$optimalCycle.cost = \infty;$
foreach selected initial edge $e^{Pr}(v_p,s_i) \rightarrow (v_q,s_j)$ do
$shortPath = \text{Dijkstra}(v^{Pr}(v_q, s_j), v^{Pr}(v_p, s_i));$
$shortCycle = shortPath + e^{Pr}_{(v_p, s_i) \to (v_q, s_j)};$
if optimalCycle.cost < shortCycle.cost then
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

In order to evaluate our method, we carried out experiments using different deformable objects in several images. The objects used for experiments are highly deformable, and the test images are taken with complex background clutter as shown in Fig. 5 and 6. In Fig. 5, a segmentation output and the matching result to a shape template are shown. Fig. 5 (a) is an original image with a deformed object, and Fig. 5 (b) is a shape template with sampling points(red dots). Fig. 5 (c) and (d) shows the final matching result between image graph edges and template sub-parts estimated by shortest cycle of product graph. Here, the thick red line (directed by arrow) is the initially selected one, and the path is extracted from this edge.



Fig. 5. Final matching result. (a) input image (b) shape template with sampling points (c)(d) final matching results extracted by proposed shortest cycle algorithm.



Fig. 6. Experimental results on various examples. (Top row) templates for each object (Left Column) input images (Mi. column) Results using proposed algorithm (Right Column) results using [4]

The yellow dot in Fig. 5 (c) center is an original pivot point, and we colored each voting point with same color of each matched edge in Fig. 5 (d). Most of the voting points are converged to relatively similar position of original pivot point. Only some points voted by largely deformed edges are located different position which makes the C_{pos} slightly large. The other variety experimental results are shown in Fig. 6 which using only single template for each test. For the comparison, right columns in Fig. 6 shows the results using [4] with same single template. Our results show that the proposed algorithm works properly for the highly deformable examples with background clutters.

We also applied our algorithm on the starfish examples of ETHZ shape dataset [6]. The results are shown in Fig. 7 with matching results. In this experiments, even if the shape of the objects are quite different with the template shape, our algorithm produced reasonable results.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a graph based shape matching algorithm for deformable objects is proposed. Given a shape template and an graph generated from input, a product graph is generated to consider every possible correspondences between graph edges and template sub-parts altogether. The matching cost is calculated using shape properties such as contour differ-



Fig. 7. Experimental results on starfish images (Top row) a template (a) input images (b) final matching results (c) final segmentation results

ence, and relative position difference. Finally, both the most similar shapes in the image is founded by proposed shortest cycle search algorithm. The experiments on various examples demonstrate that our approach is able to produce accurate segmentations and matching in the presence of shape deformation and complex background clutter.

5. REFERENCES

- G. Borgefors, "Hierarchical chamfer matching: A parametric edge matching algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 849–865, 1988.
- [2] A. Thayananthan, B. Stenger, PHS Torr, and R. Cipolla, "Shape context and chamfer matching in cluttered scenes," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2003. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE Computer Society Conference on, 2003, vol. 1.
- [3] A. Opelt, A. Pinz, and A. Zisserman, "A boundaryfragment-model for object detection," in *Computer Vision–ECCV 2006*. 2006, pp. 575–588, Springer.
- [4] T. Cour and J. Shi, "Recognizing objects by piecing together the segmentation puzzle," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007. CVPR'07. IEEE Conference* on. IEEE, 2007.
- [5] H. Joo, Y. Jeong, O. Duchenne, S.Y. Ko, and I.S. Kweon, "Graph-based robust shape matching for robotic application," in *Robotics and Automation*, 2009. *ICRA'09. IEEE International Conference on*, 2009, pp. 1207–1213.
- [6] V. Ferrari, T. Tuytelaars, and L. Van Gool, "Object detection by contour segment networks," in *Computer Vision– ECCV 2006*, 2006, pp. 14–28.