
# Bayesian Networks Inference

Machine Learning - 10701/15781 Carlos Guestrin Carnegie Mellon University

November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2007

# General probabilistic inference

 $P(X \mid e)$ Query:





Using Bayes rule:

$$P(X \mid e) = \frac{P(X, e)}{P(e)}$$


Normalization:


$$P(X \mid e) \propto P(X, e)$$






# Fast probabilistic inference example – Variable elimination (Potential for) Exponential reduction in computation!







# Variable elimination algorithm





- Given a BN and a query P(X|e) / P(X,e)
- Instantiate evidence e


- Choose an ordering on variables, e.g., X<sub>1</sub>, ..., X<sub>n</sub>
- For i = 1 to n, If  $X_i \notin \{X,e\}$ 
  - $\square$  Collect factors  $f_1, ..., f_k$  that include  $X_i$
  - ☐ Generate a new factor by eliminating X<sub>i</sub> from these factors

$$g = \sum_{X_i} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j$$
  $\square$  Variable  $\mathbf{X_i}$  has been eliminated!

- Normalize P(X,e) to obtain P(X|e)







# Example: Large tree-width with small number of parents

Compact representation 

→ Easy inference ⊗

## Choosing an elimination order



- Choosing best order is NP-complete
  - □ Reduction from MAX-Clique
- Many good heuristics (some with guarantees)
- Ultimately, can't beat NP-hardness of inference
  - □ Even optimal order can lead to exponential variable elimination computation
- In practice
  - □ Variable elimination often very effective
  - ☐ Many (many many) approximate inference approaches available when variable elimination too expensive

### **Announcements**



- HW4 out later today
- Project milestone
  - □ Next Monday (11/12 in class)

# HMMS Machine Learning – 10701/15781 Carlos Guestrin Carnegie Mellon University November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2007 ©2005-2007 Carlos Guestrin

### Adventures of our BN hero


- ٧.
- Compact representation for 1. Naïve Bayes probability distributions
- Fast inference
- Fast learning
- But... Who are the most popular kids?

2 and 3. Hidden Markov models (HMMs) Kalman Filters

# Handwriting recognition









Character recognition, e.g., kernel SVMs










### HMMs semantics: Details




Just 3 distributions:

$$P(X_1)$$

$$P(X_i \mid X_{i-1})$$

$$P(O_i \mid X_i)$$


### HMMs semantics: Joint distribution



$$P(X_1, ..., X_n \mid o_1, ..., o_n) = P(X_{1:n} \mid o_{1:n})$$

$$\propto P(X_1)P(o_1 \mid X_1) \prod_{i=2}^n P(X_i \mid X_{i-1})P(o_i \mid X_i)$$

# Learning HMMs from fully observable data is easy




Learn 3 distributions:

$$P(X_1)$$

$$P(O_i \mid X_i)$$

$$P(X_i \mid X_{i-1})$$


# Possible inference tasks in an HMM



Marginal probability of a hidden variable:

Viterbi decoding – most likely trajectory for hidden vars:


# Using variable elimination to compute P(X<sub>i</sub>|o<sub>1:n</sub>)



Variable elimination order?

**Example:** 


# What if I want to compute P(X<sub>i</sub>|o<sub>1:n</sub>) for each i?



Variable elimination for each i?

Variable elimination for each i, what's the complexity?

### Reusing computation



### The forwards-backwards algorithm

$$P(X_i \mid o_{1..n})$$

- Initialization:  $\alpha_1(X_1) = P(X_1)P(o_1 \mid X_1)$
- For i = 2 to n
  - $\square$  Generate a forwards factor by eliminating  $X_{i-1}$

$$\alpha_i(X_i) = \sum_{x_{i-1}} P(o_i \mid X_i) P(X_i \mid X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}) \alpha_{i-1}(x_{i-1})$$

- Initialization:  $\beta_n(X_n) = 1$
- For i = n-1 to 1
  - $\Box$  Generate a backwards factor by eliminating  $X_{i+1}$

$$\beta_i(X_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1}} P(o_{i+1} \mid x_{i+1}) P(x_{i+1} \mid X_i) \beta_{i+1}(x_{i+1})$$

■  $\forall$  i, probability is:  $P(X_i \mid o_{1..n}) \propto \alpha_i(X_i)\beta_i(X_i)$ 

# What you'll implement 1:

multiplication 
$$\alpha_i(X_i) = \sum_{x_{i-1}} P(o_i \mid X_i) P(X_i \mid X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}) \alpha_{i-1}(x_{i-1})$$


# What you'll implement 2: marginalization



$$\alpha_i(X_i) = \sum_{x_{i-1}} P(o_i \mid X_i) P(X_i \mid X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}) \alpha_{i-1}(x_{i-1})$$

# Higher-order HMMs





Add dependencies further back in time  $\rightarrow$  better representation, harder to learn

# What you need to know



- Hidden Markov models (HMMs)
  - □ Very useful, very powerful!
  - $\square$  Speech, OCR,...
  - □ Parameter sharing, only learn 3 distributions
  - $\hfill\Box$  Trick reduces inference from  $O(n^2)$  to O(n)
  - $\hfill \square$  Special case of BN