SVMs, Duality and the Kernel Trick

Machine Learning – 10701/15781

Carlos Guestrin
Carnegie Mellon University

February 26th, 2007

SVMs reminder



$$\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w},b} \quad \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w} + C\sum_j \xi_j$$

$$\left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_j + b\right) y_j \geq 1 - \xi_j, \ \forall j$$

$$\xi_j \geq 0, \ \forall j$$

Today's lecture

- Learn one of the most interesting and exciting recent advancements in machine learning
  - The "kernel trick"
  - High dimensional feature spaces at no extra cost!
- But first, a detour
  - Constrained optimization!

Constrained optimization

$$\min_x \; x^2$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad x \geq b$$



Lagrange multipliers – Dual variables

$$\min_x \ x^2$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad x \geq b$$



Moving the constraint to objective function

Lagrangian:

$$L(x,\alpha) = x^2 - \alpha(x-b)$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

Solve:

$$\min_x \max_\alpha \ L(x,\alpha)$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

Lagrange multipliers – Dual variables



Solving: $\min_x \max_\alpha \; x^2 - \alpha(x-b)$

s.t. $\alpha \geq 0$

Dual SVM derivation (1) – the linearly separable case

$$\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w},b} \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w}$$
$$\left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_j + b\right) y_j \geq 1, \ \forall j$$

Dual SVM derivation (2) – the linearly separable case

$$L(\mathbf{w},\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w} - \sum_j \alpha_j \left[\left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_j + b\right) y_j - 1\right]$$

$$\alpha_j \geq 0, \ \ \forall j$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_j \alpha_j y_j \mathbf{x}_j$$

$$\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_j + b\right) y_j \geq 1, \ \ \forall j$$

$$b = y_k - \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_k$$

for any k where $\alpha_k > 0$

Dual SVM interpretation



$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_j \alpha_j y_j \mathbf{x}_j$$

Dual SVM formulation – the linearly separable case

$$\text{maximize}_\alpha \quad \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
$$\alpha_i \geq 0$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \\ \\ b = y_k - \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_k \\ \text{for any } k \text{ where } \alpha_k > 0 \end{array}}$$

Dual SVM derivation – the non-separable case

$$\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{w},b} \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w} + C\sum_j \xi_j$$
$$\left(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_j + b\right) y_j \geq 1 - \xi_j, \ \ \forall j$$
$$\xi_j \geq 0, \ \ \forall j$$

Dual SVM formulation – the non-separable case

$$\text{maximize}_\alpha \quad \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
$$C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \\ \\ b = y_k - \mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_k \\ \text{for any } k \text{ where } C > \alpha_k > 0 \end{array}}$$

Announcements

- Class projects out later this week

Why did we learn about the dual SVM?

- There are some quadratic programming algorithms that can solve the dual faster than the primal
- But, more importantly, the “**kernel trick**”!!!
  - Another little detour…

Reminder from last time: What if the data is not linearly separable?



Use features of features of features of features….

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) : R^m \mapsto F$$

Feature space can get really large really quickly!

Higher order polynomials

$$\text{num. terms} = \binom{d+m-1}{d} = \frac{(d+m-1)!}{d!(m-1)!}$$

m – input features
d – degree of polynomial



grows fast!
d = 6, m = 100
about 1.6 billion terms

Dual formulation only depends on dot-products, not on **w**!

$$\text{maximize}_\alpha \quad \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
$$C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0$$

$$\text{maximize}_\alpha \quad \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

$$K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j)$$
$$\sum_i \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
$$C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0$$

Dot-product of polynomials

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{v}) = \text{polynomials of degree d}$$

Finally: the "kernel trick"!

$$\text{maximize}_\alpha \quad \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

$$K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j)$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

$$C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i y_i \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ b = y_k - \mathbf{w}.\Phi(\mathbf{x}_k) \\ \text{for any } k \text{ where } C > \alpha_k > 0 \end{array}}$$

- Never represent features explicitly
  - Compute dot products in closed form
- Constant-time high-dimensional dot-products for many classes of features

- Very interesting theory – Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces
  - Not covered in detail in 10701/15781, more in 10702

Polynomial kernels

- All monomials of degree d in O(d) operations:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u})\cdot\Phi(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{v})^d = \text{polynomials of degree d}$$

- How about all monomials of degree up to d?
  - Solution 0:
  - Better solution:

Common kernels

- Polynomials of degree d

$$K(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{v})^d$$

- Polynomials of degree up to d

$$K(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{v}+1)^d$$

- Gaus

$$K(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \exp\left(-\frac{||\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}||}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

- Sigmoid

$$K(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \tanh(\eta\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{v}+\nu)$$

Overfitting?

- Huge feature space with kernels, what about overfitting???
  - Maximizing margin leads to sparse set of support vectors
  - Some interesting theory says that SVMs search for simple hypothesis with large margin
  - Often robust to overfitting

What about at classification time

- For a new input **x**, if we need to represent Φ(**x**), we are in trouble!
- Recall classifier: sign(**w**.Φ(**x**)+b)
- Using kernels we are cool!

$$K(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \Phi(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{v})$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i y_i \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$b = y_k - \mathbf{w}.\Phi(\mathbf{x}_k)$$

for any k where $C > \alpha_k > 0$

SVMs with kernels

- Choose a set of features and kernel function
- Solve dual problem to obtain support vectors α_i
- At classification time, compute:

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i \alpha_i y_i K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$b = y_k - \sum_i \alpha_i y_i K(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_i)$$

for any k where $C > \alpha_k > 0$

Classify as

$$sign\left(\mathbf{w} \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{x}) + b\right)$$

What's the difference between SVMs and Logistic Regression?

	SVMs	Logistic Regression
Loss function		
High dimensional features with kernels		

Kernels in logistic regression

$$P(Y=1 \mid x, \mathbf{w}) \;=\; \frac{1}{1+e^{-(\mathbf{w}\cdot\Phi(\mathbf{x})+b)}}$$

- Define weights in terms of support vectors:

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y=1 \mid x, \mathbf{w}) \;&=\; \frac{1}{1+e^{-(\sum_i \alpha_i \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)\cdot\Phi(\mathbf{x})+b)}} \\ &=\; \frac{1}{1+e^{-(\sum_i \alpha_i K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_i)+b)}} \end{aligned}$$

- Derive simple gradient descent rule on α_i

What's the difference between SVMs and Logistic Regression? (Revisited)

	SVMs	Logistic Regression
Loss function	Hinge loss	Log-loss
High dimensional features with kernels	Yes!	Yes!

What you need to know

- Dual SVM formulation
  - How it's derived
- The kernel trick
- Derive polynomial kernel
- Common kernels
- Kernelized logistic regression
- Differences between SVMs and logistic regression