Exercise 5.15 The typing rules for Mini-ML in Section 2.5 are not a realistic basis for an implementation, since they require e_1 in an expression of the form let name $u = e_1$ in e_2 to be re-checked at every occurrence of u in e_2 . This is because we may need to assign different types to e_1 for different occurrences of u.

Fortunately, all the different types for an expression e can be seen as instances of a most general $type\ schema$ for e. In this exercise we explore an alternative formulation of Mini-ML which uses explicit type schemas.

Type schemas σ are related to types τ through instantiation, written as $\sigma \leq \tau$. This judgment is defined by

$$\frac{}{\tau \preceq \tau} \text{inst_tp} \quad \frac{[\tau'/\alpha]\sigma \preceq \tau}{\forall \alpha. \ \sigma \preceq \tau} \text{inst_all.}$$

We modify the judgment $\Delta \triangleright e : \tau$ and add a second judgment, $\Delta \bowtie e : \sigma$ stating that e has type schema σ . The typing rule for **let name** now no longer employs substitution, but refers to a schematic type for the definition. It must therefore be possible to assign type schemas to variables which are instantiated when we need an actual type for a variable.

$$\frac{\Delta \bowtie e_1 : \sigma_1 \qquad \Delta, x : \sigma_1 \rhd e_2 : \tau_2}{\Delta \rhd \mathbf{let \, name} \; x = e_1 \; \mathbf{in} \; e_2 : \tau_2} \; \mathsf{tp_letn} \quad \frac{\Delta(x) = \sigma \qquad \sigma \preceq \tau}{\Delta \rhd x : \tau} \; \mathsf{tp_var}$$

Type schemas can be derived for expressions by means of quantifying over free type variables.

$$\frac{\Delta \bowtie e : \tau}{\Delta \bowtie e : \tau} \mathsf{tpsc_tp} \quad \frac{\Delta \bowtie e : \sigma}{\Delta \bowtie e : \forall \alpha. \ \sigma} \mathsf{tpsc_all}^\alpha$$

Here the premiss of the $\mathsf{tpsc_all}^{\alpha}$ rule must be parametric in α , that is, α must not occur free in the context Δ .

In the proofs and implementations below you may restrict yourself to the fragment of the language with functions and **let name**, since the changes are orthogonal to the other constructs of the language.

- 1. Give an example which shows why the restriction on the tpsc_all rule is necessary.
- 2. Prove type preservation for this formulation of Mini-ML. Carefully write out and prove any substitution lemmas you might need, but you may take weakening and exchange for granted.

- 3. State the theorem which asserts the equivalence of the new typing rules when compared to the formulation in Section 2.5.
- 4. Prove the easy direction of the theorem in item 3. Can you conjecture the critical lemma for the opposite direction?
- 5. Implement type schemas, schematic instantiation, and the new typing judgments in Elf.
- 6. Unlike our first implementation, the new typing rules do not directly provide an implementation of type inference for Mini-ML in Elf. Show the difficulty by means of an example.
- 7. Implement the proof of type preservation from item 2 in Elf.
- 8. Implement one direction of the equivalence proof from item 3 in Elf.