

# Lecture Notes on Modal Tableaux

15-816: Modal Logic  
André Platzer

Lecture 10  
February 18, 2010

## 1 Introduction to This Lecture

The Hilbert calculus for modal logic from the last lectures is incredibly simple, but it is not entirely simple to find a proof in it. In this lecture, we introduce a modal tableau calculus that is more amenable to systematic proof construction and automated theorem proving.

Tableaux calculi for modal logic can be found in the work of Fitting [Fit83, Fit88] and the manuscript by Schmitt [Sch03].

## 2 The Petite Modal Zoo

In previous lectures, we have mainly seen the propositional modal logic **S4** and its Hilbert-style axiomatization. This is, by far, not the only modal logic of interest. The minimal (normal) modal logic is modal logic **K**. The axiomatisation of **K** is a subset of the axioms of **S4** and the same proof rules of **S4**; see Figure 1. In fact, normal modal logics share the same proof rules (**MP** and **G**) and mostly differ in the choice of axioms.

Extensions of logic **K** are shown in Figure 2.

## 3 Modal Tableaux

For proving formulas in propositional modal logic, we develop a tableau calculus. Tableaux often give very intuitive proof calculi. Here we choose prefix tableaux, where every formula on the tableau has a *prefix*  $\sigma$ , which

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P) \quad & \text{all propositional tautologies} \\
 (K) \quad & \square(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\square\phi \rightarrow \square\psi) \\
 (MP) \quad & \frac{\phi \quad \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi} \\
 (G) \quad & \frac{\phi}{\square\phi}
 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1: Modal logic K

**T** is system K plus (T)  $\square\phi \rightarrow \phi$   
**S4** is system T plus (4)  $\square\phi \rightarrow \square\square\phi$

Figure 2: Some other modal logics

is a finite sequence of natural numbers. In addition, every formula on the tableau has a *sign*  $Z \in \{F, T\}$  that indicates the truth-value we currently expect for the formula in our reasoning. That is, a formula in the modal tableaux is of the form

$\sigma Z A$

where the prefix  $\sigma$  is a finite sequence of natural numbers, the sign  $Z$  is in  $\{F, T\}$ , and  $A$  is a formula of modal logic. At this point, we understand a prefix  $\sigma$  as a symbolic name for a world in a Kripke structure.

**Definition 1 (K prefix accessibility)** For modal logic K, prefix  $\sigma'$  is accessible from prefix  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma'$  is of the form  $\sigma n$  for some natural number  $n$ .

For every formula of a class  $\alpha$  with a top level operator and sign ( $T$  or  $F$  for true and false) as indicated, we define two successor formulas  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ :

$\alpha$	$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$	$\beta$	$\beta_1$	$\beta_2$
$TA \wedge B$	$TA$	$TB$	$TA \vee B$	$TA$	$TB$
$FA \vee B$	$FA$	$FB$	$FA \wedge B$	$FA$	$FB$
$FA \rightarrow B$	$TA$	$FB$	$TA \rightarrow B$	$FA$	$TB$
$F\neg A$	$TA$	$TA$	$T\neg A$	$FA$	$FA$

For the following cases of formulas we define one successor formula

$\nu$	$\nu_0$	$\pi$	$\pi_0$
$T\Box A$	$TA$	$T\Diamond A$	$TA$
$F\Diamond A$	$FA$	$F\Box A$	$FA$

Every combination of top-level operator and sign occurs in one of the above cases. Tableau proof rules by those classes are shown in Figure 3. A tableau is *closed* if every branch contains some pair of formulas of the form  $\sigma TA$  and  $\sigma FA$ . A *proof* for modal logic formula consists of a closed tableau starting with the root  $1FA$ .

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 (\alpha) \quad \frac{\sigma\alpha}{\sigma\alpha_1} & (\beta) \quad \frac{\sigma\beta}{\sigma\beta_1 \quad \sigma\beta_2} & (\nu^*) \quad \frac{\sigma\nu}{\sigma'\nu_0} {}^1 & (\pi) \quad \frac{\sigma\pi}{\sigma'\pi_0} {}^2 \\
 & \sigma\alpha_2 & &
 \end{array}$$

<sup>1</sup> $\sigma'$  accessible from  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  occurs on the branch already

<sup>2</sup> $\sigma'$  is a simple unrestricted extension of  $\sigma$ , i.e.,  $\sigma'$  is accessible from  $\sigma$  and no other prefix on the branch starts with  $\sigma'$

Figure 3: Tableau proof rules for QML

The tableau rules can also be used to analyze  $F\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1 \quad F\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A & (1) \\
 1 \quad T\Box A & (2) \text{ from 1} \\
 1 \quad F\Diamond A & (3) \text{ from 1} \\
 & stop
 \end{array}$$

No more proof rules can be used because the modal formulas are  $\nu$  rules, which are only applicable for accessible prefixes that already occur on the branch. If we drop this restriction, we can continue to prove and close the tableau:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1 \quad F\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A & (1) \\
 1 \quad T\Box A & (2) \text{ from 1} \\
 1 \quad F\Diamond A & (3) \text{ from 1} \\
 1.1 \quad TA & (4) \text{ from 2} \\
 1.1 \quad FA & (5) \text{ from 3} \\
 & *
 \end{array}$$

But this is bad news, because the formula  $\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A$  that we set out to prove in the first place is not even valid in K. Consequently, the side condition on the  $\nu$  rule is necessary for soundness!

As an example proof in K-tableaux we prove  $\Box A \wedge \Box B \rightarrow \Box(A \wedge B)$ :

1	$F(\Box A \wedge \Box B) \rightarrow \Box(A \wedge B)$	(1)
1	$T\Box A \wedge \Box B$	(2) from 1
1	$F\Box(A \wedge B)$	(3) from 1
1	$T\Box A$	(4) from 2
1	$T\Box B$	(5) from 2
1.1	$FA \wedge B$	(6) from 3
1.1	$FA$	(7) from 6
1.1	$TA$	(9) from 4
*	7 and 9	
1.1	$FB$	(8) from 6
1.1	$TB$	(10) from 5
*	10 and 8	

Let us prove the converse  $\Box(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (\Box A \wedge \Box B)$  in **K**-tableaux:

1	$F\Box(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (\Box A \wedge \Box B)$	(1)
1	$T\Box(A \wedge B)$	(2) from 1
1	$F\Box A \wedge \Box B$	(3) from 1
1	$F\Box A$	(4) from 3
1.1	$FA$	(6) from 4
1.1	$TA \wedge B$	(7) from 2
1.1	$TA$	(8) from 7
1.1	$TB$	(9) from 7
*	6 and 8	
1	$F\Box B$	(5) from 3
1.1	$FB$	(10) from 5
1.1	$TA \wedge B$	(11) from 2
1.1	$TA$	(12) from 11
1.1	$TB$	(13) from 11
*	10 and 13	

Let us try to prove  $\Box(A \vee B) \rightarrow \Box A \vee \Box B$ :

1	$F\Box(A \vee B) \rightarrow \Box A \vee \Box B$	(1)						
1	$T\Box(A \vee B)$	(2) from 1						
1	$F\Box A \vee \Box B$	(3) from 1						
1	$F\Box A$	(4) from 3						
1	$F\Box B$	(5) from 3						
1.1	$FA$	(6) from 4						
1.2	$FB$	(7) from 5						
1.1	$TA \vee B$	(8) from 2						
1.2	$TA \vee B$	(9) from 2						
1.1	$TA$ (10) from 8	1.1	$TB$ (11) from 8	1.2	$TA$ (12) from 9	1.2	$TB$ (13) from 9	
*	10 and 6		open		open		*	13 and 7

This tableau does not close but remains open, which is good news because the formula we set out to prove is not valid in **K**.

## Exercises

**Exercise 1** Prove or disprove using modal tableaux:  $\Diamond(A \wedge B) \rightarrow \Diamond A \wedge \Diamond B$ .

**Exercise 2** Are the side conditions on the prefixes for the  $\nu^*$ -rule and the  $\pi$ -rule necessary or not? Prove or disprove each case.

**Exercise 3** Use a tableau procedure to prove or disprove the formulas

$$\Box A \rightarrow \Box(\Box A \vee B)$$

and

$$\Box\Box A \leftrightarrow \Box A$$

in the modal logic S4. Explain your solution.

**Exercise 4** Use a tableau procedure to prove or disprove the formula

$$\Box\Diamond A \rightarrow \Diamond\Box A$$

in the modal logic S4. Explain your solution and which difficulties exist in comparison to classical propositional cases.

## References

- [Fit83] Melvin Fitting. *Proof Methods for Modal and Intuitionistic Logic*. Reidel, 1983.
- [Fit88] Melvin Fitting. First-order modal tableaux. *J. Autom. Reasoning*, 4(2):191–213, 1988.
- [Sch03] Peter H. Schmitt. Nichtklassische Logiken. Vorlesungsskriptum Fakultät für Informatik , Universität Karlsruhe, 2003.