Appendix A: Inferred latent topic space

To give an overview of the latent topic space discovered by our methods, we calculate the per-class average distribution over inferred topics for both iMedLDA and gMedLDA on the 20-Newsgroups data set. In this experiment, the topic number is set to be 30 for both models. The per-class distribution is computed by averaging the expected latent representations (i.e., θ) of the documents in each class.

As shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5, both iMedLDA and gMedLDA can yield very sharp and sparse per-class distributions over topics. These sparse patterns are consistent with those reported in [16]. Moreover, for different categories, the per-class average topic representations are quite different, which suggests that the latent representations are good at distinguishing the documents from different categories.



Figure 4: Per-class distribution over topics for iMedLDA and gMedLDA methods on the 20-Newsgroups data set. (a) \sim (l) the distribution of the 1st \sim 12th class respectively.



Figure 5: Per-class distribution over topics for the iMedLDA and gMedLDA methods on the 20-Newsgroups data set. (a)~(h) the distribution of the 13th~20th class respectively.

Finally, to illustrate the semantic meanings of the learned topics, we also report the ranked top-10 words in each of the 30 topics by both iMedLDA and gMedLDA in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. Then, by examining Figure 4 and Figure 5 again, we can see the clear connections between class categories and the semantic meanings of the topics. For example, for the newsgroup "alt.atheism", iMedLDA uses the most salient topic "Topic 19" to describe the documents in that group, where "Topic 19" has the indicative top words "god", "religion" and "atheism", as shown in Table 1. For the same group, gMedLDA uses the most salient topic "Topic 11", which again has the similar indicative top words "god", "atheism", and "religion", as shown in Table 2. Note that due to the unidentifiability issue of topic models, we can't control the ordering of the topics learned by iMedL-DA and gMedLDA.

Appendix B: Binary classification

As in [16], binary classification is to distinguish the documents from the *alt.atheism* group and the documents from the *talk.religion.misc* group wit. We randomly sample 569 documents from such two groups as the test set and the rest 856 as the training set. All the parameters are set to be the same as in the multi-class classification experiments.

590 Fig.6(a) presents the binary classification accuracy of different models. As in the multi-class classi-

fication experiment, both MedLDA models using Monte Carlo approximation methods (i.e. iMedL-

DA and gMedLDA) can obtain the best classification accuracy, which owns to the fact that Monte
Carlo methods for MedLDA impose weaker constrictions on the true posterior distributions than the
variational methods.

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6
power	file	window	windows	space	year
good	window	server	don	nasa	team
don	program	file	car	launch	game
work	entry	motif	good	orbit	baseball
current	output	program	driver	gov	won
output	lib	widget	file	moon	don
circuit	widget	application	problem	earth	games
ground	number	mit	people	apr	runs
audio	line	sun	engine	shuttle	season
voltage	motif	display	cars	data	player
Topic 7	Topic 8	Topic 9	Topic 10	Topic 11	Topic 12
don	key	gun	god	sale	car
ca	encryption	people	jesus	offer	cars
time	chip	guns	people	shipping	don
apr	government	writes	church	mail	good
good	clipper	don	christ	price	engine
university	kevs	article	christians	dos	apr
ve	system	weapons	christian	condition	time
center	writes	firearms	don	interested	vear
points	security	fire	bible	sell	oil
11	law	law	writes	email	speed
Topic 13	Topic 14	Topic 15	Topic 16	Topic 17	Topic 18
pub	people	dh	kev	team	neonle
file	don	windows	article	game	don
data	writes	file	information	hockey	time
ftn	didn	files	neonle	nlav	mr
anonymous	told	um	public	season	writes
contact	time	cs	don	ca	system
wire	11	bh	writes	players	make
ineg	work	di	privacy	nhl	article
archive	children	mov	time	writes	work
information	turkish	ei	number	games	ve
Topic 10	Topic 20	Topic 21	Topic 22	Topic 23	Topic 24
god	israel	mac	writes	neonle	hike
neonle	neonle	annle	neonle	space	dod
writes	turkish	writes	article	time	writes
don	israeli	nrohlem	government	don	article
article	armenian	don	don	nresident	don
religion	iewe	system	nresident	writee	ride
atheism	armeniane	ve	mr	make	apr
evidence	writes	work	state	article	api
atheiste	government	drive	apr	ai title	good
time	articla		api health	government	motorevele
Topic 25	Topic 26	Topic 27	Topia 29	Tonia 20	Topic 20
Topic 25	drive	Topic 27	drive	Topic 29	
graphics	urive	goa	urive	windows	msg
fla	SCS1	jesus	SCS1	008 61a	food
me	aard	people	111D controller	nie	time
writes	card	writes	controller	card	uine
nies	arives	DIDle	card	pc	medical
software	memory	christian	bus	problem	years
bit	disk	don	system	system	disease
1mages	hard	lite	1de	mail	natients
intages	naru	inc	1: 1	man	putientis
don	os	good	disk	program	good

Table 1: The ten most probable words in the topics discovered by iMedLDA on the 20-Newsgroups data set.

Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6
db	window	mr	space	year	ma
cs	file	president	nasa	game	pa
writes	program	people	launch	team	um
don	server	states	gov	baseball	em
si	motif	money	earth	games	ei
water	entry	stephanopoulos	moon	runs	el
article	sun	work	orbit	hit	di
mov	output	time	satellite	won	mu
work	widget	years	shuttle	players	mi
bh	set	american	data	season	de
Topic 7	Topic 8	Topic 9	Topic 10	Topic 11	Topic 12
price	msg	information	power	people	dod
sale	health	mail	current	god	bike
offer	food	list	company	writes	writes
mail	disease	send	radio	don	article
shipping	medical	internet	high	evidence	ride
dos	patients	faq	line	argument	motorcycle
interested	science	anonymous	phone	system	back
sell	people	email	audio	atheism	dog
condition	doctor	group	low	exist	riding
original	pitt	ftp	input	religion	bmw
Topic 13	Topic 14	Topic 15	Topic 16	Topic 17	Topic 18
image	don	drive	team	people	ground
graphics	good	scsi	game	god	point
software	ve	mb	hockey	jesus	time
images	make	card	play	writes	case
color	doesn	disk	season	christian	wire
file	real	system	games	world	work
article	current	security	control	mb	case
don	subject	public	fire	speed	care
word	run	law	state	hardware	free
program	difference	hard	la	bible	make
Торіс 19	Торіс 20	Торіс 21	Topic 22	Торіс 23	Topic 24
key	gun	mac	people	Israel	university
encryption	people	apple	article	turkish	april
cnip	guns	problem	writes	armenian	national
government	weapons	DIL	government	jews	center
chpper	finaciona	urive	state	people	research
keys	intearins	dete	arugs	Israen	wasnington
armemans	san	uata	dive	bus	noriad
war	number d-	flac	give	pc	period
system	ur	computer	don	turke	instituto
Topic 25	Topic	Topic 27	Topic 29	Topia 20	Topic 20
mork	ropic 20	ropic 2/	ropic 20	vindows	vritee
man	car	didn	jesus	flo	article
androw	engine	time	neonle	files	anticie
andlew	writes	don	church	dos	api
st thing	article	back	christians	win	ca cs
book	speed	told	biblo	will program	
annears	good	left	faith	driver	uiuc uk
day	goou	started	christian	mouse	
black	driving	things	christ	card	news
CIDU	dealar	home	truth	version	
	ucalei	1 nome	լ սսա	VEISIOII	

Table 2: The ten most probable words in the topics discovered by gMedLDA on the 20-Newsgroups data set.



Figure 6: Performance of binary classification of different topic models with different topic numbers (from 1 to 40) on *alt.atheism* group and *talk.religion.misc*: (a) classification accuracy, (b) the average entropy of Θ over test documents, and (c) The average entropy of topic distributions Φ .

Fig.6(b) shows the average entropy of latent topic representations Θ over test documents. We can see that fMedLDA yields the smallest entropy than all the other models, which is because fully-factorized variational methods tend to obtain too compact results. iMedLDA's entropy is the largest.

Fig.6(c) reports the average entropy of inferred topic distributions Φ . As the sampling method for LDA (i.e. gLDA) yields larger entropy than the variational method for LDA (i.e. fLDA), both MedL-DA models' entropy using Monte Carlo sampling methods is larger than the variational MedLDA's.



Appendix C: Distribution of training time

Figure 7: The total training time and the amount of time spent on the inference phase for different methods on the 20-Newsgroups data set when the topic number is 30.

We have presented the total training time in Figure 3, where the training includes two phases – inferring the latent topic representations and training SVMs. Now, we present a closer examination. Specifically, Figure 7 presents the total training time and the time (as well as the proportion) taken by posterior inference. Here, we have adopted the equivalent 1-slack formulation (i.e., with only one constraint and one slack variable) of the multi-class SVM as in Eq. (12), which is more efficient to solve than the original *n*-slack formulation as in Eq. (12). From the results, we can see that for all the MedLDA methods, most of the training time is spent on the inference.