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## Recap of Basic Prob. Concepts

- Joint probability dist. on multiple variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right) \\
= & P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2} \mid X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right) P\left(X_{4} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) P\left(X_{5} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right) P\left(X_{6} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right) \\
\text { - } & \text { If } X_{i}^{\prime} \text { 's are independent: }\left(P\left(X_{i} \mid \cdot\right)=P\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right) \\
= & P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2}\right) P\left(X_{3}\right) P\left(X_{4}\right) P\left(X_{5}\right) P\left(X_{6}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(X_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $X_{i}$ 's are conditionally independent (as described by a GM), the joint can be factored to simpler products, e.g.,

$P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right)$
$=P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2} \mid X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{2}\right) P\left(X_{4} \mid X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{5} \mid X_{4}\right) P\left(X_{6} \mid X_{2}, X_{5}\right)$


Markov Random Fields
Structure: an undirected graph

- Meaning: a node is conditionally independent of every other node in the network given its Directed neighbors
- Local contingency functions (potentials) and the cliques in the graph completely determine the joint dist.
- Give correlations between variables, but no explicit way to generate samples


## Representation

- Defn: an undirected graphical model represents a distribution $P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ defined by an undirected graph $H$, and a set of positive potential functions $y_{c}$ associated with cliques of $H$, s.t.

$$
P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \prod_{c \in C} \psi_{c}\left(\mathbf{x}_{c}\right)
$$

where $Z$ is known as the partition function:

$$
Z=\sum_{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}} \prod_{c \in C} \psi_{c}\left(\mathbf{x}_{c}\right)
$$

- Also known as Markov Random Fields, Markov networks ..
- The potential function can be understood as an contingency function of its arguments assigning "pre-probabilistic" score of their joint configuration.


## GMs are your old friends

## Density estimation

Parametric and nonparametric methods

## Regression

Linear, conditional mixture, nonparametric


Liear, condixiter

## Classification

Generative and discriminative approach



## Probabilistic Inference

- We now have compact representations of probability distributions: Graphical Models
- A GM $M$ describes a unique probability distribution $P$
- How do we answer queries about $P$ ?
- We use inference as a name for the process of computing answers to such queries


## Query 1: Likelihood

- Most of the queries one may ask involve evidence
- Evidence $\boldsymbol{e}$ is an assignment of values to a set $E$ variables in the domain
- Without loss of generality $E=\left\{X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$
- Simplest query: compute probability of evidence

$$
P(e)=\sum_{x_{1}} \ldots \sum_{x_{k}} P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, e\right)
$$

- this is often referred to as computing the likelihood of $e$


## Query 2: Conditional Probability

- Often we are interested in the conditional probability distribution of a variable given the evidence

$$
P(X \mid e)=\frac{P(X, e)}{P(e)}=\frac{P(X, e)}{\sum_{x} P(X=x, e)}
$$

- this is the a posteriori belief in $X$, given evidence $\boldsymbol{e}$
- We usually query a subset $y$ of all domain variableqs $X=\overline{=} \bar{T} y, Z\}$
and "don't care" about the remaining, $Z$ :

$$
P(Y \mid e)=\sum_{z} P(Y, Z=z \mid e)
$$

- the process of summing out the "don't care" variables $z$ is called marginalization, and the resulting $P(y \mid e)$ is called a marginal prob.


## Applications of a posterior Belief

- Prediction: what is the probability of an outcome given the starting condition

- the query node is a descendent of the evidence

- Diagnosis: what is the probability of disease/fault given symptoms

- the query node an ancestor of the evidence
- Learning under partial observation
- fill in the unobserved values under an "EM" setting (more later)
$=\frac{P(A, B . C)}{P(1 R . C)}$
- The directionality of information flow between variables is not restricted by the directionality of the edges in a GM
- probabilistic inference can combine evidence form all parts of the network


## Query 3: Most Probable Assignment

- In this query we want to find the most probable joint assignment (MPA) for some variables of interest

- Such reasoning is usually performed under some given evidence $\boldsymbol{e}$, and ignoring (the values of) other variables $\boldsymbol{z}$ :

$$
\operatorname{MPA}(Y \mid e)=\arg \max _{y} P(y \mid e)=\arg \max _{y} \sum_{z} P(y, z \mid e)
$$
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## Applications of MPA

- Classification
- find most likely label, given the evidence
- Explanation
- what is the most likely scenario, given the evidence

Cautionary note:

- The MPA of a variable depends on its "context"---the set of variables been jointly queried
- Example:
- MPA of $X$ ?
- MPA of $(X, Y)$ ?

| $x$ | $y$ | $P(x, y)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RO | LO | 0.35 |
| RO | $\mathrm{F}^{1} 1$ | 0.05 |
| 51 | F. 0 | 0.3 |
| 51 | Fv 1 | 0.3 |

## Complexity of Inference

Thm:
Computing $P(X=x \mid e)$ in a GM is NP-hard

- Hardness does not mean we cannot solve inference
- It implies that we cannot find a general procedure that works efficiently for arbitrary GMs
- For particular families of GMs, we can have provably efficient procedures


## Approaches to inference

- Exact inference algorithms
- The elimination algorithm
$\sqrt{ }$
- The junction tree algorithms $\sqrt{ }$ (but will not cover in detail here)
- Approximate inference techniques

- Stochastic simulation / sampling methods
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods
- Variational algorithms (will be covered in advanced ML courses)


## Marginalization and Elimination

- A signal transduction pathway:


What is the likelihood that protein E is active?

- Query: $P(e)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(e)=\underbrace{\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} \sum_{a} P(a, b, c, d, e)} \begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { a naïve summation needs to } \\
\text { enumerate over an } \\
\text { exponential number of terms }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$



- By chain decomposition, we get

$$
=\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} \sum_{a} P(a) P(b \mid a) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d)
$$

## Elimination on Chains



- Rearranging terms ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(e) & =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} \sum_{a} P(a) P(b \mid a) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) \\
& =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} P(c \mid b) P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) \sum_{a} P(a) P(b \mid a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Elimination on Chains


- Now we can perform innermost summation

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(e) & =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} P(c \mid b) P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) \sum_{a} P(a) P(b \mid a) \\
& =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} P(c \mid b) P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) p(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

- This summation "eliminates" one variable from our summation argument at a "local cost".


## Elimination in Chains



- Rearranging and then summing again, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(e) & =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} \sum_{b} P(c \mid b) P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) p(b) \\
& =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) \sum_{b} P(c \mid b) p(b) \\
& =\sum_{d} \sum_{c} P(d \mid c) P(e \mid d) p(c)
\end{aligned}
$$



- Eliminate nodes one by one all the way to the end, we get

$$
P(e)=\sum_{d} P(e \mid d) p(d)
$$

- Complexity:
- Each step costs $O\left(\left|\operatorname{Val}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| *\left|\operatorname{Val}\left(X_{i+1}\right)\right|\right)$ operations: $O\left(k n^{2}\right)$
- Compare to naïve evaluation that sums over joint values of $n-1$ variables $O\left(n^{k}\right)$


## Inference on General GM via Variable Elimination

General idea:

- Write query in the form

$$
P\left(X_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}\right)=\sum_{x_{n}} \cdots \sum_{x_{3}} \sum_{x_{2}} \prod_{i} P\left(x_{i} \mid p a_{i}\right)
$$

- this suggests an "elimination order" of latent variables to be marginalized
- Iteratively
- Move all irrelevant terms outside of innermost sum
- Perform innermost sum, getting a new term
- Insert the new term into the product
- wrap-up

$$
P\left(X_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{e}\right)=\frac{P\left(X_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}\right)}{P(\boldsymbol{e})}
$$

## A more complex network

## A food web



What is the probability that hawks are leaving given that the grass condition is poor?

## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query $\overline{P(A \mid h)}$
- Need to eliminate: $B, C, D, E, F, G, H$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
- Choose an elimination order: $H, G, F, E, D, C, B$

- Step 1 :
- Conditioning (fix the evidence node (i.e., $h$ ) on its observed value (i.e., $\tilde{h}$ )):

$$
m_{h}(e, f)=p(h=\tilde{h} \mid e, f)
$$

- This step is isomorphic to a marginalization step:

$$
m_{h}(e, f)=\sum_{h} p(h \mid e, f) \delta(h=\tilde{h})
$$



## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B, C, D, E, F, G$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$ $\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$

- Step 2: Eliminate G

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { compute } \quad m_{g}(e)=\sum_{g} p(g \mid e)=1 \\
& \Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{g}(e) m_{h}(e, f) \\
& =P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) \underline{m_{h}(e, f)}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B, C, D, E(E)$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$

- Step 3: Eliminate F
- compute
$m_{f}(e, a)=\sum_{f} p(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$



## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B, C, D, E$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$

$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$
- Step 4: Eliminate $E$
- compute $m_{e}(a, c, d)=\sum_{e} p(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) m_{e}(a, c, d)$



## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B, C, D$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$

$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid b) P(d \mid a) m_{e}(a, c, d)$
- Step 5: Eliminate D

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { compute } \quad m_{d}(a, c)=\sum_{d} p(d \mid a) m_{e}(a, c, d) \\
& \Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) m_{d}(a, c)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B, C$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) m_{e}(a, c, d)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) m_{d}(a, c)$
- Step 6: Eliminate C


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - compute } \quad m_{c}(a, b)=\sum_{c} p(c \mid b) m_{d}(a, c) \\
& \Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) m_{d}(a, c)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$

$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) m_{e}(a, c, d)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) m_{d}(a, c)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) m_{c}(a, b)$
- Step 7: Eliminate $B$
- compute
$m_{b}(a)=\sum_{b} p(b) m_{c}(a, b)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) m_{b}(a)$


## Example: Variable Elimination

- Query: $P(B \mid h)$
- Need to eliminate: $B$
- Initial factors:
$P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) P(h \mid e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) P(g \mid e) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) P(f \mid a) m_{h}(e, f)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) P(e \mid c, d) m_{f}(a, e)$

$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) P(d \mid a) m_{e}(a, c, d)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) P(c \mid d) m_{d}(a, c)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) P(b) m_{c}(a, b)$
$\Rightarrow P(a) m_{b}(a)$
- Step 8: Wrap-up $p(a, \tilde{h})=p(a) m_{b}(a), p(\tilde{h})=\sum_{a} p(a) m_{b}(a)$
$\Rightarrow P(a \mid \tilde{h})=\frac{p(a) m_{b}(a)}{\sum_{a} p(a) m_{b}(a)}$


## Complexity of variable elimination

- Suppose in one elimination step we compute


This requires

- $k \bullet|\operatorname{Val}(X)| \prod_{i} \mid \operatorname{Val}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{C_{1}}\right)$ multiplications

For each value of $x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ we do $k$ multiplications

- $|\operatorname{Val}(X)| \bullet \prod \mid \operatorname{Val}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{C_{i}}| |\right.$ additions
- For each value of $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$, we do $/ \operatorname{Val}(X) /$ additions

Complexity is exponential in number of variables in the intermediate factor

## Understanding Variable Elimination

- A graph elimination algorithm




## Understanding Variable Elimination

- A graph elimination algorithm

- Intermediate terms correspond to the cliques resulted from elimination
- "good" elimination orderings lead to small cliques and hence reduce complexity (what will happen if we eliminate "e" first in the above graph?)
- finding the optimum ordering is NP-hard, but for many graph optimum or nearoptimum can often be heuristically found
- Applies to undirected GMs



## From Elimination to Message Passing

 -- Our algorithm so far answers only one query (e.g., on one node), do we need to do a complete elimination for every such query?
- Elimination $\equiv$ message passing on a clique tree

$m_{e}(a, c, d)$
$=\sum_{e} p(e \mid c, d) m_{g}(e) m_{f}(a, e)$

- Messages can be reused


## From Elimination to Message Passing

- Our algorithm so far answers only one query (e.g., on one node), do we need to do a complete elimination for every such query?
- Elimination $\equiv$ message passing on a clique tree
- Another query ...

- Messages $m_{f}$ and $m_{h}$ are reused, others need to be recomputed


## A Sketch of the Junction Tree Algorithm

- The algorithm
- Construction of junction trees --- a special clique tree
- Propagation of probabilities --- a message-passing protocol
- Results in marginal probabilities of all cliques --- solves all queries in a single run
- A generic exact inference algorithm for any GM
- Complexity: exponential in the size of the maximal clique --a good elimination order often leads to small maximal clique, and hence a good (i.e., thin) JT
- Many well-known algorithms are special cases of JT
- Forward-backward, Kalman filter, Peeling, Sum-Product ...


## Approaches to inference

- Exact inference algorithms
- The elimination algorithm $\sqrt{ }$
- The junction tree algorithms $\sqrt{ }$ (but will not cover in detail here)
- Approximate inference techniques
- Stochastic simulation / sampling methods
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods
$\sqrt{ }$
- Variational algorithms (later lectures)


## Monte Carlo methods

- Draw random samples from the desired distribution
- Yield a stochastic representation of a complex distribution
- marginals and other expections can be approximated using sample-based averages

$$
E[f(x)]=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} f\left(x^{(t)}\right)
$$

- Asymptotically exact and easy to apply to arbitrary models
- Challenges:
- how to draw samples from a given dist. (not all distributions can be trivially sampled)?
- how to make better use of the samples (not all sample are useful, or eqally useful, see an example later)?
- how to know we've sampled enough?


## Example: naive sampling

- Sampling: Construct samples according to probabilities given in a BN.


Alarm example: (Choose the right sampling sequence) 1) Sampling: $P(B)=<0.001,0.999>$ suppose it is false, B0. Same for EO. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{E} 0)=<0.001,0.999>$ suppose it is false..
2) Frequency counting: In the samples right,
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{J} \mid \mathrm{A} 0)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{A} 0) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} 0)=<1 / 9,8 / 9>$.

| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 1$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| $E 1$ | $B 0$ | $A 1$ | $M 1$ | $J 1$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |
| $E 0$ | $B 0$ | $A 0$ | $M 0$ | $J 0$ |

Eric Xing

## Example: naive sampling

- Sampling: Construct samples according to probabilities given in a BN.

Alarm example: (Choose the right sampling sequence)
3) what if we want to compute $P(J \mid A 1)$ ?
we have only one sample ...
$P(J \mid A 1)=P(J, A 1) / P(A 1)=<0,1>$.
4) what if we want to compute $P(J \mid B 1)$ ?

No such sample available!
$P(J \mid A 1)=P(J, B 1) / P(B 1)$ can not be defined.

For a model with hundreds or more variables, rare events will be very hard to garner evough samples even after a long time or sampling ...

| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J1 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| E1 | B0 | A1 | M1 | J1 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |
| E0 | B0 | A0 | M0 | J0 |

## Monte Carlo methods (cond.)

- Direct Sampling
- We have seen it.
- Very difficult to populate a high-dimensional state space
- Rejection Sampling
- Create samples like direct sampling, only count samples which is consistent with given evidences.
- ....
- Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)


## Markov chain Monte Carlo

- Samples are obtained from a Markov chain (of sequentially evolving distributions) whose stationary distribution is the desired $p(x)$
- Gibbs sampling
- we have variable set to $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots x_{N}\right\}$
- at each step one of the variables $X_{i}$ is selected (at random or according to some fixed sequences)
- the conditonal distribution $p\left(X_{i} \mid X_{-i}\right)$ is computed
- a value $X_{i}$ is sampled from this distribution
- the sample $X_{i}$ replaces the previous of $X_{i}$ in $X$.

- A variable is independent from others, given its parents, children and children's parents. d-separation.
$\Rightarrow p\left(X_{i} X_{-i}\right)=p\left(X_{i} \operatorname{MB}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)$

- Gibbs sampling
- Create a random sample. Every step, choose one variable and sample it by
$M B(A)=\{B, E, J, M\}$
$P(X \mid M B(X))$ based on previous sample.
$\operatorname{MB}(E)=\{A, B\}$



## Complexity for Approximate Inference

- Approximate Inference will not reach the exact probability distribution in finite time, but only close to the value.
- Often much faster than exact inference when BN is big and complex enough. In MCMC, only consider $P(X \mid M B(X))$ but not the whole network.



[^0]:    - this is the maximum a posterior configuration of $\boldsymbol{y}$.

