

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, STATE OF FLORIDA
CIVIL DIVISION**

ESTATE OF LISA MCPHERSON, by
and through the Personal Representative,
DELL LIEBREICH,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: 00-5682-CI-11

vs.

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY FLAG
SERVICE ORGANIZATION, JANIS
JOHNSON, ALAIN KARTUZINSKI
and DAVID HOUGHTON, D.D.S.,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.

**ORDER ON GREENWAY AND ALEXANDER'S MOTIONS TO QUASH SUBPOENAS
AND ALTERNATIVE MOTIONS FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

THIS CAUSE, having come before the Court on March 19, 2002, on Patricia Greenway and Peter Alexander's Motions to Quash Subpoena and Alternative Motions for Protective Order and the Court having heard the argument of counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, the Court issues the following Order and it is thereupon:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. This Court finds that an average person viewing the film entitled "The Profit" could perceive that it is a parody of the Church of Scientology.

2. To the extent that any person considered as a potential juror in evaluating any issues involving the Church of Scientology, the process of voir dire provides a fair and complete remedy to eliminate any potential juror that may possibly have been influenced to be less than fair and impartial.

3. The parties also have the additional remedy to move for a change of venue if, during the jury selection process, they are unable to seat a jury that has not been prejudiced by any knowledge or association with the subject film.


4. The Court is aware that the discovery at issue herein relates to the Counterclaim in the instant action, and that the Trial on the Complaint and any issues related to said Counterclaim have been severed.

5. The Court finds that, while that the discovery sought may be relevant to the Counterclaim, it would be best addressed subsequent to the conclusion of the resolution of the issues raised in the Complaint.

6. Additionally, this Court Orders that the subject film not be shown prior to the Trial on the Complaint ^{or counter claim. RMB} ~~in the geographical jurisdiction of this Court, and the Court reserves jurisdiction to determine if the subject film should be the subject of an Order for non publication precluding the showing of the subject film until proceeding on the Counterclaim, in the geographical jurisdiction of this Court.~~

7. Finally, the Subpoenaed Parties are Ordered to maintain and preserve the documents and/or other materials which are the subject of the subject Subpoenas, and are Ordered to refrain from disposing of, secreting, destroying, or in any way changing the status quo of the documents and/or other materials described herein.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, Pinellas County, Florida, this 29 of April
2002.



The Honorable Robert E. Beach
Senior Circuit Judge

Copies furnished to:
Luke C. Lirot, Esquire
Kendrick Moxon, Esquire
Kennan G. Dandar, Esquire