15-440 Distributed Systems Homework 1

Due: October 9, In class.

October 6, 2012

- In this scenario, three nodes, named Schenley, Frick, and Carnegie are working on a job for a user.
 The sequence of events is given:
 - el) Carnegie sends sync request to Frick
 - e2) Frick receives sync request from Carnegie
 - e3) Carnegie sends sync request to Schenley
 - e4) Frick sends sync request to Schenley
 - e5) Schenley receives sync request from Carnegie
 - e6) Schenley sends sync acknowledgement to Carnegie
 - e7) Carnegie receives job from user
 - e8) Carnegie receives sync acknowledgement from Schenley
 - e9) Frick sends sync acknowledgement to Carnegie
 - e10) Carnegie sends work to Schenley
 - e11) Schenley receives work from Carnegie and begins processing
 - e12) Carnegie receieves sync acknowledgement from Frick
 - e13) Schenley sends sync acknowledgement to Frick
 - e14) Schenley sends work to Frick
 - e15) Frick receives work from Schenley and begins processing
 - e16) Schenley completes processing and waits for results from Frick
 - e17) Frick completes processing and sends results to Schenley
 - e18) Schenley receives results from Frick
 - e19) Schenley combines results and sends them to Carnegie
 - e20) Carnegie receives results
 - e21) Carnegie sends close to Schenley
 - e22) Carnegie sends close to Frick

Using this sequence of events:

- (a) Write out the Lamport Clock representation of each timestep, using the notation L([event]) = [Lamport Timestep]. For example, L(e1) = 1.
- (b) Write out the vector time representation of each timestep.
- 2. In class, we examined the problem of distributed mutual exclusion: Guaranteeing that only a single process can be in a particular critical section at one time.

The lecture notes discuss four algorithms: The use of a central lock server; Ricart & Agrawala's algorithm; Lamport's Algorithm; and a Token Ring.

- (a) Easy warm-up: What are the two requirements we discussed in class?
- (b) In Lamport's algorithm, a node first puts its lock request in its own queue. It then sends a request to every other node and waits to hear replies from all of those nodes. Messages must be processed in-order. A node won't grant itself the lock until it has heard REPLIES from all other nodes containing a timestamp greater than the timestamp of its own request.
 - What is the fairness provided by Lamport's algorithm compared to the fairness provided by the token-ring algorithm?
- (c) The solutions presented in class did not consider the problem of a machine failing. Using Lamport's Distributed Mutual Exclusion algorithm, there are two obvious consequences of a machine failure: A machine dies holding a lock forever; and the inability to make forward progress because a machine fails to respond to messages.
 - How would you solve the first problem, of a machine dying and holding a lock forever?
- (d) Token Ring is also vulnerable to a node failure: Node N will try to send the token to node N+1. If its connection to N+1 fails, N+1 fails, the token will get "stuck" at node N. Improve this algorithm so that it is robust to connection failures and to the failure of a node that is *not* currently holding the token.

- Give a description of your algorithmic changes and why they work. Code is not needed. You may assume that the network is modestly-sized enough that you don't need an O(1) algorithm using up to or less than O(N) memory and CPU is fine.
- (e) If you were particularly concerned about the death of one machine preventing progress, which of the discussed solutions to distributed mutual exclusion would you use? Explain briefly why.
- 3. Dropbox is, at its heart, a distributed filesystem. See this page in the dropbox docs: https://www.dropbox.com/help/36/en.
 - (a) Allowing such conflicts to happen is a deliberate design decision in Dropbox. Briefly explain how this differs from AFS, and how AFS handles concurrent modifications to a file. (Briefly: 3-4 sentences)
 - (b) Why do you think Dropbox chose its design over AFS's design? What advantage does it confer to Dropbox?
 - (c) In what way is caching in dropbox more similar to AFS than it is to NFS?
- 4. Some questions about RPC.
 - (a) RPC is designed to reduce programmer effort in writing distributed systems by making remote function calls as easy to use as local function calls. Identify *three* different ways in which this abstraction does not hold true.
 - (b) Hit the web to answer this one: Briefly identify two advantages and one disadvantage of using Google's "protobuf" format instead of the JSON format you're using for marshaling in Project 1.
 - (c) Your code in Project 1 handles the problem of preventing duplicate RPCs by using what networking people call "Stop and Wait": It has one message outstanding at a time. If a message with a sequence number lower than the next-expected sequence number is received, the system will throw it away. Imagine using your system to talk between CMU and the machine at UW from micro-quiz 1. Assume the RTT from here to UW is 70ms. How many RPC calls can you execute per second using a single client connection from here to UW?
 - (d) Briefly sketch out how you would improve this design to handle having multiple RPCs in flight at a time.