# Project 1 Q&A Design & Modularity

15-440 Recitation 2
Vijay Vasudevan
Carnegie Mellon University

#### Announcements

Project 1 due September 17, before class

- Project 1 Documentation Updates
  - Range ordering updated to match tester
    - 1234567890 (different than ASCII ordering)
  - Fix to cracker\_checker:
    - mkdir testing
    - cp cracker\_checker.sh testing

## Agenda

Project 1 Q&A

Leftover time: Design and Modularity

## Thinking about Design

- How do you start thinking about how a program should work?
- Data-centric programs:
  - What data does it operate on?
  - How does it store it?
- Protocol-centric programs
  - How they interact with the rest of the world
  - (Maybe "Interface-centric")

## Design Principles

Goal: pain management

- Be able to develop independently
- Avoid the big brick end-of-semester wall
- Stay motivated

## P1: Don't Repeat Yourself

- Aka "DRY"
- Like factoring out common terms...
- If you're copy/pasting code or writing "similar feeling" code, perhaps it should be extracted into its own chunk.
- Small set of orthogonal interfaces to modules

## Modularity example

```
void node mgr::send put response(string* key,
                                  uint32 t c) {
   PutResponse pr(myIP.data(), myIP.size(),
                  key->data(), key size,
                  c);
   string* send data = pr.to_string();
   if (send data != NULL) {
       int err code = 0;
       if ((err code = send(feSocket,
                             (void *)send data->data(),
                             pr.size(), 0)) < 0) {
           perror("send");
           cout << "cannot send" << err code << endl;</pre>
       delete send data;
```

## Modularity example

```
void node mgr::send get response(string* key,
                                  string* value,
                                  uint32 t c) {
  GetResponse gr(myIP.data(), myIP.size(),
                  key->data(), key->size(),
                   value->data(), value->size(),
                   c);
   string* send data = gr.to string();
   if (send data != NULL) {
       int err code = 0;
       if ((err code = send(feSocket,
                             (void *)send data->data(),
                             qr.size(), 0)) < 0) {
           perror("send");
           cerr << "cannot send:" << err code << endl;</pre>
       delete send data;
                                                    8
```

## Breaking up functions

```
void constructMessage (Message *m, string *send data) {
    int data size = m->ByteSize() + sizeof(uint32 t);
    send data->reserve(data size);
    uint32 t msg size = htonl(data size);
    send data->append((const char*) &msg size,
                      sizeof(msg size));
    if (!m->AppendToString(send data)) {
void constructAndSend(Message *m, int socket, bool cerr) {
    string send data;
    constructMessage(m, &send_data);
    int err code = send(socket,
                         (void *)send data.data(),
                        send data.size(), 0);
    if (err code < 0) {
```

### End result

```
void node mgr::send put response(string* key, uint32 t c) {
    FawnKVMesq fm;
    fm.set type(PUTRSP);
    PutResponse *prp = fm.mutable prp();
    prp->set key(*key);
    prp->set continuation(c);
    constructAndSend(&fm, feSocket, false);
}
void node mgr::send get response(string* key, string* val,
                                  uint32 t c) {
    FawnKVMesq fm;
    fm.set type(GETRSP);
    GetResponse *grp = fm.mutable grp();
    grp->set key(*key);
    grp->set value(val);
    grp->set continuation(c);
    constructAndSend(&fm, feSocket, false);
```

## P2: Hide Unnecessary Details

- aka, "write shy code"
  - Doesn't expose itself to others
  - Doesn't stare at others' privates
  - Doesn't have too many close friends
- Benefit:
  - Can change those details later without worrying about who cares about them

## Example 1:

```
    int send_message_to_user(
        struct user *u,
        char *message)
    int send_message_to_user(
        int user_num,
        int user_sock,
        char *message)
```

#### Example 2

```
int send_to_user(char *uname, char *msg){
    ...
    struct user *u;
    for (u = userlist; u != NULL; u = u->next) {
        if (!strcmp(u->username, uname)
```

#### Consider factoring into:

```
struct user *find_user(char *username)
```

- Hides detail that users are in a list
  - Could re-implement as hash lookup if bottleneck
- Reduces size of code / duplication / bug count
  - Code is more self-explanatory ("find\_user" obvious), easier to read, easier to test

#### P3: Be consistent

- Naming, style, etc.
  - Doesn't matter too much what you choose
  - But choose some way and stick to it
  - printf(str, args) fprintf(file, str, args)
  - bcopy(src, dst, len) memcpy(dst, src, len)
- Resources: Free where you allocate
  - Consistency helps avoid memory leaks

## Error handling

- Detect at low level, handle high
  - Bad:

```
malloc() { ... if (NULL) abort(); }
```

- Appropriate action depends on program
- Be consistent in return codes and consistent about who handles errors

## Incremental Happiness

- Not going to write program in one sitting
- Cycle to go for:
  - Write a bit
  - Compile; fix compilation errors
  - Test run; fix bugs found in testing
- Implies frequent points of "kinda-working-ness"

## Development Chunks

- Identify building blocks (structures, algos)
  - Classical modules with clear functions
  - Should be able to implement some with rough sketch of program design
- Identify "feature" milestones
  - Pare down to bare minimum and go from there
  - Try to identify points where testable
  - Helps keep momentum up!
- Examples from password cracker?

## **Testability**

- Test at all levels
  - Recall goal: reduced pain!
  - Bugs easiest to find/correct early and in small scope. Ergo:
    - Unit tests only test component (easier to locate)
    - Early tests get code while fresh in mind
    - Write tests concurrently with code. Or before!
  - Also need to test higher level functions
    - Scripting languages work well here

## 440 Testability

- Unit test examples:
  - Any hash, list, etc., classes you write
  - Machinery that buffers input for line-based processing
    - Are you serializing properly?
  - Others?

## Bigger tests

- More structured test framework early
  - "Connect" test (does it listen?)
  - "Timeout" test (do timeouts get triggered?)
  - **—** . . .

## **Testing Mindset**

- Much like security: Be Adversarial
- Your code is the enemy. Break it!
  - Goal of testing is not to quickly say "phew, it passes test 1, it must work!"
  - It's to ensure that 5 days later, you don't spend 5 hours tracking down a bug in it
- Think about the code and then write tests that exercise it. Hit border cases.

## Design & Debugging

- Covering more next week, but...
- Strongly, strongly encourage people to use a consistent DEBUG()-like macro for debugging
- Leave your debugging output in
- Make it so you can turn it on/off