

Constructive Logic (15-317), Fall 2019
Assignment 3: Proof Terms, Verification and Quantification

Instructor: Karl Crary
TAs: Avery Cowan, David Kahn, Siva Somayyajula

Due: Submit to Gradescope by Friday, September 20, 2019, 11:59 pm

1 Hype for *hyps*

Consider the following notations for a proofs of $A \wedge B \supset B \wedge A$.

Floating Hypothesis Notation

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^x \quad \overline{B \text{ true}}^y}{A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I}{B \supset (A \wedge B) \text{ true}} \supset I_y}{A \supset (B \supset (A \wedge B)) \text{ true}} \supset I_x$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}, B \text{ true} \vdash A \text{ true}}{A \text{ true}, B \text{ true} \vdash A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I}{A \text{ true} \vdash B \supset (A \wedge B) \text{ true}} \supset I}{\vdash A \supset (B \supset (A \wedge B)) \text{ true}} \supset I$$

Context Notation

The Γ notation of hypotheses can be created by slightly modifying the rules of Natural Deduction to carry a context.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B \text{ true}} \wedge I \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash B \text{ true}} \wedge E_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee I_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B \text{ true}} \vee I_2 \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B \text{ true} \quad \Gamma, A \text{ true} \vdash C \text{ true} \quad \Gamma, B \text{ true} \vdash C \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash C \text{ true}} \vee E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \text{ true} \vdash B \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash A \supset B \text{ true}} \supset I \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \supset B \text{ true} \quad \Gamma \vdash A \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash B \text{ true}} \supset E$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \top \text{ true}} \top I \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp \text{ true}}{\Gamma \vdash C \text{ true}} \perp E$$

Finally, the hypothesis rule, which allows you to conclude a hypothesis.

$$\frac{J \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash J} \text{hyp}$$

Task 1 (5 points). Consider this proof ([L^AT_EX](#)) and write the same proof using context notation.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{(A \supset A) \wedge (A \supset A) \text{ true}}^u}{A \supset A \text{ true}} \wedge E_2}{\frac{\frac{\overline{(A \supset A) \wedge (A \supset A) \text{ true}}^u}{A \supset A \text{ true}} \wedge E_1}{A \text{ true}} \supset E} \supset I_w}{(A \supset A) \wedge (A \supset A) \supset (A \supset A) \text{ true}} \supset I_u$$

2 All the things you can do with a \Diamond

Consider the \Diamond connective.

$$\frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u \quad \vdots \quad B \text{ true}}{\Diamond(A, B, C) \text{ true}} \Diamond I_1^u \quad \frac{\overline{A \text{ true}}^u \quad \vdots \quad C \text{ true}}{\Diamond(A, B, C) \text{ true}} \Diamond I_2^u \quad \frac{\Diamond(A, B, C) \text{ true} \quad \overline{B \text{ true}}^u \quad \vdots \quad D \text{ true} \quad \overline{C \text{ true}}^v \quad \vdots \quad D \text{ true}}{D \text{ true}} \Diamond E^{u,v}$$

Task 2 (4 points). Invent a proof term assignment for the rules.

Task 3 (4 points). Show all the local reduction(s) and expansion(s) for these rules (proving local soundness and completeness) in proof term notation. Be sure to indicate which are reductions and which are expansions.

3 Verifications

Recall the \Diamond connective from Section 2:

Task 4 (9 points). Give rules for forming the judgments that $\Diamond(A, B, C)$ has a verification and that $\Diamond(A, B, C)$ can be used.

Task 5 (5 points). On assignment 2, you showed

$$(\neg A \wedge B) \supset ((A \supset B) \supset (\neg A \supset \neg B)) \supset \perp \text{ true}.$$

Give a verification for this proposition.

Task 6 (20 points). For each of the following propositions, give a verification and its corresponding proof term. (The proof term for a verifications-and-uses derivation is the same as the proof term for the corresponding natural-deduction derivation.)

- $\perp \supset \top$
- $\perp \supset \top$ (**Do not use the same verification/proof term as part a. Use a new one.**)
- $(A \supset B) \supset (\neg B \supset \neg A)$
- $(A \supset B) \supset (B \supset C) \supset (A \supset C)$