

CMSC 451: Closest Pair of Points

Slides By: Carl Kingsford




Department of Computer Science
University of Maryland, College Park

Based on Section 5.4 of *Algorithm Design* by Kleinberg & Tardos.

Finding closest pair of points

Problem

Given a set of points $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ find the pair of points $\{p_i, p_j\}$ that are closest together.




Goal

- Brute force gives an $O(n^2)$ algorithm: just check every pair of points.
- Can we do it faster? Seems like no: don't we have to check every pair?
- In fact, we can find the closest pair in $O(n \log n)$ time.
- What's a reasonable first step?

Divide


Split the points with line L so that half the points are on each side.

Recursively find the pair of points closest in each half.



Merge: the hard case


Let $d = \min\{d_{\text{left}}, d_{\text{right}}\}$.



- d would be the answer, except maybe L split a close pair!

Region Near L

If there is a pair $\{p_i, p_j\}$ with $\text{dist}(p_i, p_j) < d$ that is split by the line, then both p_i and p_j must be within distance d of L .



Let S_y be an array of the points in that region, sorted by decreasing y -coordinate value.

Slab Might Contain All Points

- Let S_y be an array of the points in that region, sorted by decreasing y -coordinate value.
- S_y might contain all the points, so we can't just check every pair inside it.


Theorem

Suppose $S_y = p_1, \dots, p_m$. If $\text{dist}(p_i, p_j) < d$ then $j - i \leq 15$.

In other words, if two points in S_y are close enough in the plane, they are close in the array S_y .

Proof, 1


Divide the region up into squares with sides of length $d/2$:



How many points in each box?

Proof, 1

Divide the region up into squares with sides of length $d/2$:




How many points in each box?

At most 1 because each box is completely contained in one half and no two points in a half are closer than d .

Proof, 2

Suppose 2 points are separated by > 15 indices.



- Then, at least 3 full rows separate them (the packing shown is the smallest possible).
- But the height of 3 rows is $> 3d/2$, which is $> d$.
- So the two points are farther than d apart.

Linear Time Merge

Therefore, we can scan S_y for pairs of points separated by $< d$ in linear time.

ClosestPair(P_x , P_y):

```
    if | $P_x$ | == 2: return dist( $P_x[1], P_x[2]$ )    // base
```

```
     $d_1$  = ClosestPair(FirstHalf( $P_x, P_y$ ))    // divide
```

```
     $d_2$  = ClosestPair(SecondHalf( $P_x, P_y$ ))
```

```
     $d$  = min( $d_1, d_2$ )
```

```
     $S_y$  = points in  $P_y$  within  $d$  of  $L$     // merge
```

```
    For  $i = 1, \dots, |S_y|$ :
```

```
        For  $j = 1, \dots, 15$ :
```

```
             $d = \min(\text{dist}(S_y[i], S_y[j]), d)$ 
```

```
    Return  $d$ 
```

Total Running Time

Total Running Time:

- Divide set of points in half each time:
 $O(\log n)$ depth recursion
- Merge takes $O(n)$ time.
- Recurrence: $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + cn$
- Same as MergeSort $\implies O(n \log n)$ time.