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FINAL EXAMINATION

Important points:

- **3 hours** duration
- **All aids** allowed (open books, open notes, calculators etc., except computer with network connection)
- Graded out of **100** points. Numbers in [square brackets] indicate points.
- You should have **8 non-empty pages, including this cover**
- **Always** highlight your final answer; unsolicited explanations will be used to give you partial credit, if your answer is wrong.
- When done, return all booklets, plus this handout.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAST NAME (pls print)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>andrew login</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1. Normal Forms ____________________[05 pts]

Consider the relation $R(A, B, C)$.

1. Is it possible that it may be in 3NF, but not BCNF? [2 pts]

2. If yes, give some (simple) functional dependencies, so that $R$ is in 3NF, but not BCNF. If not, explain, or point to a theorem in the book. [3 pts]

Q2. Storage - RAID ____________________[10 pts]

Compare RAID Level-3 (bit-interleaved parity) with RAID Level-5 (block-interleaved distributed parity), with respect to 'small reads', 'small writes', 'large reads' and 'large writes'. The goal is to have as high throughput as possible, that is, maximum number of transactions completed per second). For each setting,

1. choose among 'level-3 wins', 'level-5 wins', and 'tie' and mark your responses on the table of Figure Q2. Negative points for wrong answers. [4 pts]

2. justify your answers briefly [6 pts]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Level-3</th>
<th>Level-5</th>
<th>Tie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>small reads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large reads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small writes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large writes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: RAID - mark your response with 'X'

Q3. Indexing - I _______________________[07 pts]

Consider the relation $EMP(ssn, name, salary)$, with the following specifications:

- it has $ssn$ as the primary key,
- it has $n$ tuples, each 50 bytes long,
- there are many, exact match queries on the $ssn$ only
- there are rare insertions and deletions.

Assume a page (=block) size of 8Kb, and consider the indexing alternatives:
• (a) no index
• (b) B-tree clustering index on ssn
• (c) B-tree non-clustering index on ssn
• (d) a hashed index on ssn

If you were the DBA, which indexing alternative you would choose

1. if \( n = 100 \) tuples \([1 \text{ pts}]\) Justify your answer briefly \([1 \text{ pts}]\)

2. if \( n = 1,000,000 \) tuples \([2 \text{ pts}]\) Justify briefly \([3 \text{ pts}]\).

Q4. **Indexing -II** \([10 \text{ pts}]\)

Consider B-trees of order 5, that is, there are at most 5 pointers per node. What is the most sparse such B-tree we can ever have, that stores the integers \(1, 2, \ldots, 17\).

Q5. **Query optimization - Join plans** \([10 \text{ pts}]\)

Consider the join of relation 'R' with relation 'S', where 'R' spans \( b_r = 1,000 \) pages and 'S' spans \( b_s = 100 \) pages. Let \( k \) be the number of buffers (=pages) that we have in main memory, for the blocked nested loop method. Also assume that we do not scan relations backwards. Recall that the 'outer' relation is the one in the outer loop of the nested loop method.

1. for \( k = 2 \) buffers,
   • which relation should be the outer relation? \([1 \text{ pts}]\)
   • how many disk accesses we shall need then? \([3 \text{ pts}]\)

2. for \( k = 200 \) buffers,
   • which relation should be the outer? \([1 \text{ pts}]\)
   • how many buffers should we give it? \([2 \text{ pts}]\)
   • how many disk accesses we shall need then? \([3 \text{ pts}]\)

Q6. **Query optimization - selectivities** \([10 \text{ pts}]\)

Consider the relation \( LEG(source, destination) \), recording non-stop flight-legs from one airport ('source') to another ('destination'). Assume that it contains \( n = 1,000 \) tuples. Consider the query to find all airports within two hops from Pittsburgh (keep the duplicates, for simplicity):
select L2.destination
from LEG as L1, LEG as L2
where L1.destination = L2.source and
    L1.source = 'Pittsburgh'

Estimate the number of output tuples for the above query if we have 100 distinct airports, that is \( V(\text{LEG}, \text{source}) = V(\text{LEG}, \text{destination}) = 100 \). *Hint: First, estimate the number of tuples in the self-join.*

**Q7. Recovery** [21 pts]

Figure 2 shows three logs of three different DBMSs, each after a crash. The logs respectively operate under (a) the deferred update scheme, (b) the incremental update scheme with checkpoints, and (c) the incremental updates scheme, without checkpoints,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(T1 start)} & \quad \text{(T50 start)} & \quad \text{(T51 start)} \\
\text{(T2 start)} & \quad \text{(T50, K, 10, 20)} & \quad \text{(T51, X, 10, 20)} \\
\text{(T1, A, 50)} & \quad \text{(T60 start)} & \quad \text{(T61 start)} \\
\text{(T2, B, 100)} & \quad \text{(T50 commit)} & \quad \text{(T51 commit)} \\
\text{(T3 start)} & \quad \text{(T60, L, 100, 200)} & \quad \text{(T61, Y, 100, 200)} \\
\text{(T2 commit)} & \quad \text{(T70 start)} & \quad \text{(T71 start)} \\
\text{(T3, C, 500)} & \quad \text{(T70, M, 1000, 2000)} & \quad \text{(T71, W, 1000, 2000)} \\
\text{(T3, D, 600)} & \quad \text{(checkpoint \{T60, T70\})} & \quad \text{} \\
\text{(T4 start)} & \quad \text{(T70 commit)} & \quad \text{(T71 commit)} \\
\text{(T4, E, 900)} & \quad \text{(T80 start)} & \quad \text{(T81 start)} \\
\text{(T4 commit)} & \quad \text{(checkpoint \{T80, T60\})} & \quad \text{(T81, Z, 750, 850)} \\
\text{-crash—-} & \quad \text{(T80 commit)} & \quad \text{(T81 commit)} \\
\text{-crash—-} & \quad \text{-crash—-} & \quad \text{-crash—-} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(a) def. upd         (b) incr. upd         (c) incr. - no ckp

Figure 2: Write-ahead logs after a crash, with (a) deferred updates (b) incremental updates (c) incremental updates without checkpoints.

For the incremental updates, recall that the format of each log record is

\[
\text{transaction-id, item-id, old-value, new-value}
\]

For each of the three cases, answer the following questions - there will be negative points for wrong answers:

1. just after the crash, and **before** we start the recovery algorithm, what are the values of the corresponding data items on the disk (A, B, ..., K, L, M, ..., X, Y, ...)? The acceptable answers are: 'its old value', 'its new value', and 'can-not-tell' [3×3 pts]
2. List the transactions that have to be undone (if any) [3×1 pts]

3. List the transactions that have to be redone (if any) [3×1 pts]

4. List the values of all the data items (A, B, ...), after the recovery algorithm is over. Again, the answers should be 'old', 'new', 'can-not-tell' [3×2 pts]

Q8. Serializability [05 pts]

Consider the schedule of Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t10</td>
<td>read(A)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t11</td>
<td>write(A)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t12</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t19</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t20</td>
<td>read(A)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t21</td>
<td>write(A)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t22</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t29</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t30</td>
<td>read(B)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t31</td>
<td>write(B)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t32</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t39</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t40</td>
<td>read(B)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t41</td>
<td>write(B)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t42</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: An interleaved schedule

1. Is it serializable? If yes, give an equivalent serial execution; if not, explain briefly. [1 pts]

2. Is it at all possible that the above schedule is produced by the 2PL protocol? [1 pts]

3. If it is not possible to be produced by 2PL, explain briefly; if yes, show where the 'lock()' and 'unlock()' requests would be in time (you may mark on the figure your final answers, cleanly). [3 pts]
Q9. Locking - multiple granularity [10 pts]

Consider the multiple-granularity locking algorithm, operating on the following lockable items:

1. 'db': the whole database
2. ACCOUNT: a table with attributes (account-id, customer-id, balance)
3. CUSTOMER: a table with attributes (customer-id, name, address)
4. \(a_1, \ldots, a_{1000}\): the 1000 records of the ACCOUNT table
5. \(c_1, \ldots, c_{100}\): the 100 records of the CUSTOMER table

Consider also the following transactions - specify all the locks that each will ask for, on what items, and with what order. For example, a (possibly wrong) answer for T1 could be:

"T1 will first ask for an 'IS' lock on ACCOUNT and then for X-locks on \(a_{10}\) and \(a_{50}\).

- T1: report the balance of two accounts, \(a_{10}\) and \(a_{50}\) [3 pts]
- T2: increase all balances by 3% [4 pts]
- T3: move 10 dollars from \(a_{30}\) to \(a_{31}\) [3 pts]

Q10. Deadlocks [02 pts]

Assume that we only have eXclusive locks, for simplicity. Consider the following transactions, T1, T2, T3, and T4, who have issued the following lock requests:

T1: lock(A); T2: lock(B); T3: lock(C); T1: lock(B); T4 lock(B);

1. Do we have a deadlock? [1 pts]
2. Justify your answer. [1 pts]

Q11. Semijoins [10 pts]

Consider the relations \(R(A, B)\), \(S(B, C)\) and \(T(C, D)\), residing in three different sites. Suppose that each attribute is 4 bytes long.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & A & B \\
\hline
a1 & b1 \\
a2 & b3 \\
a3 & b5 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & B & C \\
\hline
b3 & c1 \\
b5 & c2 \\
b7 & c5 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
T & C & D \\
\hline
c1 & d3 \\
c5 & d20 \\
c3 & d50 \\
\end{array}
\]
1. Show the result of $R \bowtie S$ [1 pts]

2. What is the cost of the previous operation (in number of bytes transmitted)? [1 pts]

3. Show the result of $S \bowtie T$ [1 pts]

4. What is the cost of the previous operation (in number of bytes transmitted)? [1 pts]

5. Show the result of $R \bowtie (S \bowtie T)$ [3 pts]

6. What is the total cost of these operation (in number of bytes transmitted)? [3 pts]

This is the end of the exam questions. Good luck!