



Carnegie Mellon Univ.  
Dept. of Computer Science  
15-415 - Database Applications

Lecture #16: Schema Refinement &  
Normalization - Functional Dependencies  
(R&G, ch. 19)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



Functional dependencies

- motivation: ‘good’ tables

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

‘good’ or ‘bad’?

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



Functional dependencies

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

| ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

'Bad' – Q: why?

| Ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional Dependencies

- A: Redundancy
  - space
  - inconsistencies
  - insertion/deletion anomalies (later...)
- Q: What caused the problem?

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

- A: 'name' depends on the 'ssn'
- define 'depends'

| Ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Overview

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - ➡ – definition
  - Armstrong’s “axioms”
  - closure and cover

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Functional dependencies

Definition:  $a \rightarrow b$   
 ‘a’ functionally determines ‘b’

| Ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Functional dependencies

Informally: ‘if you know ‘a’, there is only one ‘b’ to match’

| Ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Functional dependencies

formally:

$$X \rightarrow Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad (t1[x] = t2[x] \Rightarrow t1[y] = t2[y])$$

if two tuples agree on the 'X' attribute,  
the *\*must\** agree on the 'Y' attribute, too  
(eg., if ssn is the same, so should address)

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Functional dependencies

- 'X', 'Y' can be **sets** of attributes
- Q: other examples??

| Ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Functional dependencies

- ssn -> name, address
- ssn, c-id -> grade

| Ssn | c-id | Grade | Name  | Address |
|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 123 | 413  | A     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 415  | B     | smith | Main    |
| 123 | 211  | A     | smith | Main    |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



CMU SCS

## Overview

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - definition
  - ➔ – Armstrong's "axioms"
  - closure and cover

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

**Closure** of a set of FD: all implied FDs - eg.:

ssn  $\rightarrow$  name, address  
ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade

**imply**

ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade, name, address  
ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  ssn

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Closure of a set of FD: all implied FDs - eg.:

ssn  $\rightarrow$  name, address  
ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade

how to find all the implied ones, systematically?

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

“Armstrong's axioms” guarantee soundness and completeness:

- Reflexivity:  $Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$   
eg., ssn, name  $\rightarrow$  ssn
- Augmentation  $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW$   
eg., ssn  $\rightarrow$  name then ssn, grade  $\rightarrow$  name, grade

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

- Transitivity  $\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$   
ssn  $\rightarrow$  address  
address  $\rightarrow$  county-tax-rate  
THEN:  
ssn  $\rightarrow$  county-tax-rate

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Reflexivity:  $Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$   
 Augmentation:  $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW$   
 Transitivity:  $\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$

**‘sound’ and ‘complete’**

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Additional rules:

- Union  $\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$
- Decomposition  $X \rightarrow YZ \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\}$
- Pseudo-transitivity  $\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ YW \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow Z$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove 'Union' from three axioms:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} X \rightarrow YZ$$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove 'Union' from three axioms:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \quad (1) \\ X \rightarrow Z \quad (2) \end{array} \right\}$$

(1) + *augm. w/ Z*  $\Rightarrow XZ \rightarrow YZ$  (3)  
 (2) + *augm. w/ X*  $\Rightarrow XX \rightarrow XZ$  (4)  
*but XX is X; thus*  
 (3) + (4) and transitivity  $\Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove Pseudo-transitivity:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \\
 X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW \\
 X \rightarrow Y \\
 Y \rightarrow Z
 \end{array}
 \left\{ \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \right.$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 X \rightarrow Y \\
 YW \rightarrow Z
 \end{array}
 \left\{ \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} XW \rightarrow Z \right.$$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 22

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove Decomposition

$$\begin{array}{l}
 Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \\
 X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW \\
 X \rightarrow Y \\
 Y \rightarrow Z
 \end{array}
 \left\{ \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \right.$$

$$X \rightarrow YZ \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array}$$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 23

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Overview

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - definition
  - Armstrong's "axioms"

➡ – closure and cover

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 24

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



CMU SCS

## FDs - Closure $F^+$

Given a set  $F$  of FD (on a schema)  
 $F^+$  is the set of all implied FD. Eg.,  
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade  
 ssn  $\rightarrow$  name, address

}  $F$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 25

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Closure $F^+$

ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade  
 ssn  $\rightarrow$  name, address  
 ssn  $\rightarrow$  ssn  
 ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  address  
 c-id, address  $\rightarrow$  c-id  
 ...

}  $F^+$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 26

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Closure $A^+$

Given a set  $F$  of FD (on a schema)  
 $A^+$  is the set of all attributes determined by  $A$ :  
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade  
 ssn  $\rightarrow$  name, address

}  $F$

$\{ssn\}^+ = ??$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 27

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)  
 ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn -> name, address } **F**

$\{ssn\}^+ = \{ssn, \text{ name, address } \}$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 28

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)  
 ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn -> name, address } **F**

$\{c-id\}^+ = ??$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 29

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)  
 ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn -> name, address } **F**

$\{c-id, ssn\}^+ = ??$

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 30

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Closure $A^+$

if  $A^+ = \{\text{all attributes of table}\}$   
 then 'A' is a **superkey**

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 31

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - $A^+$ closure - not in book

Diagrams

$AB \rightarrow C$  (1)  
 $A \rightarrow BC$  (2)  
 $B \rightarrow C$  (3)  
 $A \rightarrow B$  (4)

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 32

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

Given a set  $F$  of FD (on a schema)  
 $F_c$  is a minimal set of equivalent FD. Eg.,  
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

|                                       |   |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| ssn, c-id $\rightarrow$ grade         | } | F |
| ssn $\rightarrow$ name, address       |   |   |
| ssn, name $\rightarrow$ name, address |   |   |
| ssn, c-id $\rightarrow$ grade, name   |   |   |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 33

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

$F_c$

ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade  
 ssn  $\rightarrow$  name, address

ssn, name  $\rightarrow$  name, address  
 ssn, c-id  $\rightarrow$  grade, name

}

F

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 34

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

- why do we need it?
- define it properly
- compute it efficiently

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 35

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

- why do we need it?
  - easier to compute candidate keys
- define it properly
- compute it efficiently

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 36

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

- define it properly - three properties
  - 1) the RHS of every FD is a single attribute
  - 2) the closure of  $F_c$  is identical to the closure of  $F$  (ie.,  $F_c$  and  $F$  are equivalent)
  - 3)  $F_c$  is minimal (ie., if we eliminate any attribute from the LHS or RHS of a FD, property #2 is violated)

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 37

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

#3: we need to eliminate 'extraneous' attributes. An attribute is 'extraneous' if

- the closure is the same, before and after its elimination
- or if  $F$ -before implies  $F$ -after and vice-versa

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 38

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

ssn, c-id -> grade

ssn -> name, address

ssn, name -> name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade, name

}

F

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 39

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



**FDs - 'canonical cover'  $F_c$**

Algorithm:

- examine each FD; drop extraneous LHS or RHS attributes; or redundant FDs
- make sure that FDs have a single attribute in their RHS
- repeat until no change

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 40

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



**FDs - 'canonical cover'  $F_c$**

Trace algo for

AB  $\rightarrow$  C (1)  
 A  $\rightarrow$  BC (2)  
 B  $\rightarrow$  C (3)  
 A  $\rightarrow$  B (4)

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 41

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



**FDs - 'canonical cover'  $F_c$**

Trace algo for

|                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| AB $\rightarrow$ C (1) | AB $\rightarrow$ C (1)  |
| A $\rightarrow$ BC (2) | A $\rightarrow$ B (2')  |
| B $\rightarrow$ C (3)  | A $\rightarrow$ C (2'') |
| A $\rightarrow$ B (4)  | B $\rightarrow$ C (3)   |
|                        | A $\rightarrow$ B (4)   |

split (2):

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 42

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

|                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $AB \rightarrow C \quad (1)$<br><del><math>A \rightarrow B \quad (2)</math></del><br>$A \rightarrow C \quad (2'')$<br>$B \rightarrow C \quad (3)$<br>$A \rightarrow B \quad (4)$ | $AB \rightarrow C \quad (1)$<br>$A \rightarrow C \quad (2'')$<br>$B \rightarrow C \quad (3)$<br>$A \rightarrow B \quad (4)$ |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Faloutsos
SCS 15-415
43

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

|                                                                                                                             |                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $AB \rightarrow C \quad (1)$<br>$A \rightarrow C \quad (2'')$<br>$B \rightarrow C \quad (3)$<br>$A \rightarrow B \quad (4)$ | $AB \rightarrow C \quad (1)$<br>$B \rightarrow C \quad (3)$<br>$A \rightarrow B \quad (4)$ |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

(2''): redundant (implied by (4), (3) and transitivity)

Faloutsos
SCS 15-415
44

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

|                                                                                            |                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $AB \rightarrow C \quad (1)$<br>$B \rightarrow C \quad (3)$<br>$A \rightarrow B \quad (4)$ | $B \rightarrow C \quad (1')$<br>$B \rightarrow C \quad (3)$<br>$A \rightarrow B \quad (4)$ |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

in (1), 'A' is extraneous:  
 (1),(3),(4) imply (1'),(3),(4), and vice versa

Faloutsos
SCS 15-415
45

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

~~$B \rightarrow C$  (1')~~

|                       |                       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| $B \rightarrow C$ (3) | $B \rightarrow C$ (3) |
| $A \rightarrow B$ (4) | $A \rightarrow B$ (4) |

- nothing is extraneous
- all RHS are single attributes
- final and original set of FDs are equivalent (same closure)

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 46

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

| BEFORE                 | AFTER                 |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| $AB \rightarrow C$ (1) |                       |
| $A \rightarrow BC$ (2) |                       |
| $B \rightarrow C$ (3)  | $B \rightarrow C$ (3) |
| $A \rightarrow B$ (4)  | $A \rightarrow B$ (4) |

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 47

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Overview - conclusions

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - definition
  - Armstrong's "axioms"
  - closure and cover

Faloutsos SCS 15-415 48

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---