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Key Topics in this Lecture

• Basics – Component-based representations
  – Overcomplete and Sparse Representations,
  – Dictionaries

• Pursuit Algorithms

• How to learn a dictionary

• Why is an overcomplete representation powerful?
Representing Data

Dictionary (codebook)
Representing Data

Dictionary

Atoms

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Representing Data

Dictionary

Atoms

Each atom is a basic unit that can be used to “compose” larger units.
Representing Data
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Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Representing Data

Atoms

Many such bases (concepts)
Representing Data
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Using concepts that we know...
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\[ D \alpha = X \]
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Representing Data

\[ D \alpha = X \]

Unknown

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Quick Linear Algebra Refresher

- Remember, \( \#(\text{Basis Vectors}) = \#\text{unknowns} \)

\[
D\alpha = X
\]

- Basis Vectors (from Dictionary)
- Weights
- Input data

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Overcomplete Representations

• What is the dimensionality of the input image? (say 64x64 image)

  ➢ 4096

• What is the dimensionality of the dictionary? (each image = 64x64 pixels)

  ➢ 4096 x N
Overcomplete Representations

• What is the dimensionality of the input image? (say 64x64 image)
  ➢ 4096

• What is the dimensionality of the dictionary? (each image ~ 64x64 pixels)
  ➢ 4096 x N
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Overcomplete Representations

- What is the dimensionality of the input image? (say 64x64 image)
  - 4096

- What is the dimensionality of the dictionary? (each image = 64x64 pixels)
  - $4096 \times N$

VERY LARGE!!!
Overcomplete Representations

• What is the dimensionality of the input image? (say 64x64 image)

If \( N > 4096 \) (as it likely is)

we have an **overcomplete** representation

• What is the dimensionality of the dictionary? (each image ~ 64x64 pixels)

\[ 4096 \times N \]

VERY LARGE!!!
Overcomplete Representations

• What is the dimensionality of the input image? (say 64x64 image)

More generally:

If #(basis vectors) > dimensions of input

• we have an overcomplete representation

\[ 4096 \times N \]

VERY LARGE!!!
• Remember, #(Basis Vectors)= #unknowns

\[ \mathbf{D}\alpha = \mathbf{X} \]

- Basis Vectors (from Dictionary)
- Weights
- Input data

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Recap – Conventional : Images

• Conventional characterization: Images
  – Store Pixel values (positions implicit)

• Image: 128 x pixel.at.(1,1) + 134 x pixel.at.(1,2) + ... + 127 x pixel.at.(2,1)....

• Store only the numbers (128, 134, ..., 127)

• Bases are “pixel.at.(1,1)”,”pixel.at.(1,2)” etc..
  – Or rather [1 0 0 0...], [0 1 0 0 ...]
  – Pixel positions are implicit
Recap – Conventional: Images

• Storing an Image \( B.P = \text{Image} \)

Pixel position (rows)

No. of bases = dimension of bases

Basis matrix is *square*

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix} \cdot P = \text{Image}
\]

• “Bases” are unit-valued pixels at specific locations
  – Only weights are stored
  – Basis matrix is *implicit* (everyone knows what it is)
Recap – Conventional: Sound

• Signal = 3 x sample.at.t=1 + 4 x sample.at.t=2 + 3.5 x sample.at.t=3 ....

• Store only the numbers [3, 4, 3.5...] 

• Bases are “sample.at.t=1”, “sample.at.t=2”, ...
  – Or rather [..0 1 0 0 0 ...], [..0 0 1 0 0 0 ...], ....
  – “Time” is implicit
Recap – Conventional: Sound

- Storing a sound

\[ B.S = \text{Recording} \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- “Bases” are unit-valued samples at specific time instants
  - Only weights are stored
  - Basis matrix is \textit{implicit} (everyone knows what it is)

Sample position (rows)

No. of bases = dimension of bases

Basis matrix is \textit{square}

Actual signal

Stored signal values

Bases (columns)

Sample position:

\[ t \]

Signal

Storing a sound
Recap: *Component-based representations*

- Bases may be deterministic, e.g. sinusoids/wavelets or derived, e.g. PCA / ICA / NMF bases
- Only store $w$ to represent individual signals. Bases matrix $B$ stored separately as a one-time deal

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots \\
    b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots \\
  \end{bmatrix}
\]

Typically, no. of bases (columns) is no more than no. of dimensions

Basis matrix is tall or square
Dictionary based Representations

- Overcomplete “dictionary”-based representations are composition-based representations with more bases than the dimensionality of the data.

Bases matrix is *wide* (more bases than dimensions)
Why Dictionary-based Representations?

• Dictionary based representations are semantically more meaningful

• Enable content-based description
  – Bases can capture entire structures in data
  – E.g. notes in music
  – E.g. image structures (such as faces) in images

• Enable content-based processing
  – Reconstructing, separating, denoising, manipulating speech/music signals
  – Coding, compression, etc.

• Statistical reasons: We will get to that in an hour..
Problems

- How to obtain the dictionary
  - Which will give us meaningful representations
- How to compute the weights?

\[
D \alpha = X
\]
Problems

• How to obtain the dictionary
  – Which will give us meaningful representations

• How to compute the weights?
Quick Linear Algebra Refresher

- Remember, #(Basis Vectors) = #unknowns

\[ D \alpha = X \]

- Basis Vectors
- Input data
- Unknowns
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Quick Linear Algebra Refresher

• Remember, \#(Basis Vectors) = #unknowns

\[ D \alpha = X \]

Basis Vectors

Input data

Unknowns

When can we solve for \( \alpha \)?

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Quick Linear Algebra Refresher

D.\alpha = X

• When #(Basis Vectors) = \text{dim}(Input Data), we have a unique solution
• When #(Basis Vectors) < \text{dim}(Input Data), we may have no exact solution
• When #(Basis Vectors) > \text{dim}(Input Data), we have infinitely many solutions
Quick Linear Algebra Refresher

D.α = X

• When #(Basis Vectors) = dim(Input Data), we have a unique solution
• When #(Basis Vectors) < dim(Input Data), we may have no solution
• When #(Basis Vectors) > dim(Input Data), we have infinitely many solutions

Our Case

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Overcomplete Representation

#(Basis Vectors) > dimensions of the input
Overcompleteness and Sparsity

• To solve an overcomplete system of the type:

\[ \mathbf{D} \alpha = \mathbf{X} \]

• Make assumptions about the data.

• Suppose, we say that \( \mathbf{X} \) is composed of no more than a fixed number (\( k \)) of “bases” from \( \mathbf{D} \) (\( k \leq \text{dim}(\mathbf{X}) \))
  – The term “bases” is an abuse of terminology..

• Now, we can find the set of \( k \) bases that best fit the data point, \( \mathbf{X} \).
Representing Data

Using bases that we know...

But no more than $k=4$ bases
Overcompleteness and Sparsity

But no more than $k=4$ bases are “active”
Overcompleteness and Sparsity

Atoms

But no more than $k=4$ bases

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
No more than 4 bases
No more than 4 bases

ONLY THE $\alpha$ COMPONENTS CORRESPONDING TO THE 4 KEY DICTIONARY ENTRIES ARE NON-ZERO
No more than 4 bases

ONLY THE $\alpha$ COMPONENTS CORRESPONDING TO THE 4 KEY DICTIONARY ENTRIES ARE NON-ZERO

MOST OF $\alpha$ IS ZERO!!

$\alpha$ IS SPARSE
Sparsity- Definition

- **Sparse representations** are representations that account for most or all information of a signal with a linear combination of a small number of atoms.

(from: www.see.ed.ac.uk/~tblumens/Sparse/Sparse.html)
The Sparsity Problem

• We don’t really know $k$
• You are given a signal $X$
• Assuming $X$ was generated using the dictionary, can we find $\alpha$ that generated it?
The Sparsity Problem

- We want to use as few basis vectors as possible to do this.

\[
\min_{\alpha} \|\alpha\|_0 \\
\text{s.t. } X = D\alpha
\]
The Sparsity Problem

- We want to use as few basis vectors as possible to do this.

Mathematically, this can be represented as:

\[
\min_{\alpha} \|\alpha\|_0 \\
\text{s.t. } X = D\alpha
\]

Counts the number of non-zero elements in \( \alpha \)
The Sparsity Problem

• We want to use **as few basis vectors** as possible to do this
  – Ockham’s razor: Choose the simplest explanation invoking the fewest variables

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Min} \quad & \| \alpha \|_0 \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & X = D\alpha
\end{align*}
\]
The Sparsity Problem

• We want to use as few basis vectors as possible to do this.

\[ \text{Min}_{\alpha} \quad \|\alpha\|_0 \]
\[ \text{s.t.} \quad X = D\alpha \]

How can we solve the above?
Obtaining Sparse Solutions

• We will look at 2 algorithms:
  – Matching Pursuit (MP)
  – Basis Pursuit (BP)
Matching Pursuit (MP)

- Greedy algorithm
- Finds an atom in the dictionary that best matches the input signal
- Remove the weighted value of this atom from the signal
- Again, find an atom in the dictionary that best matches the remaining signal.
- Continue till a defined stop condition is satisfied.
Matching Pursuit

• Find the dictionary atom that best matches the given signal.

\[ \text{Weight} = w_1 \]
Matching Pursuit

- Remove weighted image to obtain updated signal
Matching Pursuit

- Find best match for updated signal
Matching Pursuit

- Find best match for updated signal

Iterate till you reach a stopping condition,

\[ \text{norm(ResidualInputSignal)} < \text{threshold} \]
Matching Pursuit

Algorithm Matching Pursuit

Input: Signal: $f(t)$.
Output: List of coefficients: $(a_n, g_{\gamma_n})$.
Initialization:
$$Rf_1 \leftarrow f(t);$$
Repeat
$$\text{find } g_{\gamma_n} \in D \text{ with maximum inner product } \langle Rf_n, g_{\gamma_n} \rangle;$$
$$a_n \leftarrow \langle Rf_n, g_{\gamma_n} \rangle;$$
$$Rf_{n+1} \leftarrow Rf_n - a_n g_{\gamma_n};$$
$$n \leftarrow n + 1;$$
Until stop condition (for example: $||Rf_n|| < \text{threshold}$)

*From* http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matching_pursuit
Matching Pursuit

• Problems ???
Matching Pursuit

• Main Problem
  – Computational complexity
  – The entire dictionary has to be searched at every iteration
Comparing MP and BP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matching Pursuit</th>
<th>Basis Pursuit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard thresholding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(remember the equations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greedy optimization at each step</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights obtained using greedy rules</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Basis Pursuit (BP)

• Remember,

$$\begin{align*}
\min_{\alpha} & \quad \|\alpha\|_0 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad X = D\alpha
\end{align*}$$
Basis Pursuit

• Remember,

\[
\min_{\alpha} \|\alpha\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad X = D\alpha
\]

In the general case, this is intractable
Basis Pursuit

• Remember,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Min} \quad & \|\alpha\|_0 \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & X = D\alpha
\end{align*}
\]

In the general case, this is intractable

Requires combinatorial optimization
Basis Pursuit

• Replace the intractable expression by an expression that is solvable

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{\alpha} & \quad \|\alpha\|_1 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad X = D\alpha
\end{align*}
\]
Basis Pursuit

• Replace the intractable expression by an expression that is solvable

\[
\min_{\alpha} \| \alpha \|_1 \\
\text{s.t. } X = D\alpha
\]

This will provide identical solutions when \( D \) obeys the \textit{Restricted Isometry Property}. 
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Basis Pursuit

• Replace the intractable expression by an expression that is solvable

\[ \min_{\alpha} \| \alpha \|_1 \]

subject to \( X = D\alpha \)
Basis Pursuit

• We can formulate the optimization term as:

$$
\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \| X - D\alpha \|^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1 \right\}
$$

Constraint

Objective
Basis Pursuit

• We can formulate the optimization term as:

\[
\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \| X - D\alpha \|^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1 \right\}
\]

\(\lambda\) is a penalty term on the non-zero elements and promotes sparsity
Basis Pursuit

Equivalent to LASSO; for more details, see this paper by Tibshirani

$$\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \| X - D\alpha \|^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1 \right\}$$

$\lambda$ is a penalty term on the non-zero elements and promotes sparsity
Basis Pursuit

\[
\text{Min}_{\alpha} \{ \|X - D\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \|\alpha\|_1 \}
\]

- \(\|\alpha\|_1\) is not differentiable at \(\alpha_j = 0\)
- Gradient of \(\|\alpha\|_1\) for gradient descent update
- At optimum, following conditions hold

\[
\nabla_j \|X - D\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \text{sign}(\alpha_j) = 0, \; \text{if } |\alpha_j| > 0
\]

\[
\nabla_j \|X - D\alpha\|^2 \leq \lambda, \; \text{if } \alpha_j = 0
\]
Basis Pursuit

• There are efficient ways to solve the LASSO formulation. [Link to Matlab code]

• Simplest solution: Coordinate descent algorithms
  – On webpage..
**L<sub>1</sub> vs L<sub>0</sub>**

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{\alpha} & \quad \| \alpha \|_0 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad X = D \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

• **L<sub>0</sub> minimization**
  – Two-sparse solution
  – ANY pair of bases can explain \( X \) with 0 error
\[ \text{Minimize } \| \alpha \|_1 \]

\[ \text{subject to } X = D \alpha \]

- **L}_1 \text{ minimization}
  - Two-sparse solution
  - All else being equal, the two closest bases are chosen
## Comparing MP and BP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matching Pursuit</th>
<th>Basis Pursuit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard thresholding</td>
<td>Soft thresholding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(remember the equations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greedy optimization at each step</td>
<td>Global optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights obtained using greedy</td>
<td>Can force N-sparsity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rules</td>
<td>with appropriately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chosen weights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sparse and Overcomplete Representations**
General Formalisms

- $L_0$ minimization
- $L_0$ constrained optimization
- $L_1$ minimization
- $L_1$ constrained optimization

Minimize $\|\alpha\|_0$
Subject to $X = D\alpha$

Minimize $\|\alpha\|_1$
Subject to $X = D\alpha$

Minimize $\|X - D\alpha\|^2$
Subject to $\|\alpha\|_0 < C$

Minimize $\|X - D\alpha\|^2$
Subject to $\|\alpha\|_1 < C$
Many Other Methods..

- Iterative Hard Thresholding (IHT)
- CoSAMP
- OMP
- ...

Sparse and Overcomplete Representations
Problems

• How to obtain the dictionary
  – Which will give us meaningful representations

• How to compute the weights?
Dictionaries: Compressive Sensing

• Just random vectors!
More Structured ways of Constructing Dictionaries

• Dictionary entries must be structurally “meaningful”
  – Represent true compositional units of data

• Have already encountered two ways of building dictionaries
  – NMF for non-negative data
  – K-means ..
K-Means for Composing Dictionaries

- Every vector is approximated by the centroid of the cluster it falls into
- Cluster means are “codebook” entries
  - Dictionary entries
  - Also compositional units that compose the data

Train the codebook from training data using K-means
K-Means for Dictionaries

- \( \alpha \) must be 1 sparse
- Only \( \alpha \) entry must 1

\[ \| \alpha \|_0 = 1 \quad \| \alpha \|_1 = 1 \]

Each column is a codeword (centroid) from the codebook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( X )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K-Means

- Learn Codewords to minimize the total squared length of the training vectors from the closest codeword
Length-unconstrained K-Means for Dictionaries

Each column is a codeword (centroid) from the codebook

- $\alpha$ must be 1 sparse
- No restriction on $\alpha$ value

$\|\alpha\|_0 = 1$
SVD K-Means

- Learn Codewords to minimize the total squared *projection error* of the training vectors from the closest codeword.
SVD K-means

1. Initialize a set of centroids randomly

2. For each data point $x$, find the projection from the centroid for each cluster
   \[ p_{\text{cluster}} = |x^T m_{\text{cluster}}| \]

3. Put data point in the cluster of the closest centroid
   • Cluster for which $p_{\text{cluster}}$ is maximum

4. When all data points are clustered, recompute centroids

\[ m_{\text{cluster}} = \text{Principal Eigenvector} \left( \{ x \mid x \in \text{cluster} \} \right) \]
Problem

• Only represents *Radial* patterns
What about this pattern?

- Dictionary entries that represent radial patterns will not capture this structure
  - 1-sparse representations will not do
What about this pattern?

- We need **AFFINE patterns**
What about this pattern?

- We need **AFFINE** patterns
- *Each vector is modeled by a linear combination of $K$ (here 2) bases*
What about this pattern?

• We need **AFFINE** patterns

• *Each vector is modeled by a linear combination of K (here 2) bases*

Every line is a (constrained) combination of two bases

2-sparse

Constraint:
Line = a.b₁ + (1-a)b₂
Codebooks for K sparsity?

• α must be k sparse
• No restriction on α value

\[ || \alpha ||_0 = k \]
K SVD

• Initialize Codebook

1. For every vector, compute K-sparse alphas

\[ D = \]

\[ \alpha = \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
**K-SVD**

2. For each codeword \( (k) \):
   - For each vector \( x \)
     - Subtract the contribution of all other codewords to obtain \( e_k(x) \)
     - Codeword-specific residual
   - Compute the principal Eigen vector of \( \{e_k(x)\} \)

3. Return to step 1

\[
e_k(x) = x - \sum_{j \neq k} \alpha_j D_j
\]
K-SVD

• Termination of each iteration: Updated dictionary

• Conclusion: A dictionary where any data vector can be composed of at most K dictionary entries
  – More generally, sparse composition
Problems

- How to obtain the dictionary
  - Which will give us meaningful representations
- How to compute the weights?
Applications of Sparse Representations

• Many many applications
  – Signal representation
  – Statistical modelling
  – ..
  – We’ve seen one: Compressive sensing

• Another popular use
  – Denoising
Denoising

• As the name suggests, remove noise!
Denoising

• As the name suggests, remove noise!
• We will look at image denoising as an example
Image Denoising

• Here’s what we want
Image Denoising

• Here’s what we want
Image Denoising

• Here’s what we want
The Image Denoising Problem

• Given an image
• Remove Gaussian additive noise from it
Image Denoising

\[ Y = X + \varepsilon \]

Noisy Input

Orig. Image

Gaussian Noise

\[ \varepsilon = N(0, \sigma) \]
Image Denoising

• Remove the noise from \( Y \), to obtain \( X \) as best as possible.
Image Denoising

• Remove the noise from $\mathbf{Y}$, to obtain $\mathbf{X}$ as best as possible
• Using sparse representations over learned dictionaries
Image Denoising

• Remove the noise from $Y$, to obtain $X$ as best as possible
• Using sparse representations over learned dictionaries
• We will *learn* the dictionaries
Image Denoising

• Remove the noise from $Y$, to obtain $X$ as best as possible
• Using sparse representations over learned dictionaries
• We will *learn* the dictionaries
• What data will we use? *The corrupted image itself!*
Image Denoising

• We use the data to be denoised to learn the dictionary.
• Training and denoising become an iterated process.
• We use image patches of size $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ pixels (i.e. if the image is 64x64, patches are 8x8)
Image Denoising

• The data dictionary $D$
  – Size = $n \times k$ ($k > n$)
  – This is known and fixed, to start with
  – Every image patch can be sparsely represented using $D$
Image Denoising

• Recall our equations from before.
• We want to find $\alpha$ so as to minimize the value of the equation below:

$$\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \|X - D\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \|\alpha\|_0 \right\}$$

$$\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \|X - D\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \|\alpha\|_1 \right\}$$
Image Denoising

\[
\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \|X - D\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \|\alpha\|_1 \right\}
\]

- In the above, \(X\) is a patch.
**Image Denoising**

\[
\min_{\alpha} \left\{ \| X - D\alpha \|^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1 \right\}
\]

- In the above, \( X \) is a patch.
- If the larger image is fully expressed by the every patch in it, how can we go from patches to the image?
Image Denoising

\[
\min_{a_{ij}, X} \left\{ \mu \| X - Y \|^2 + \sum_{ij} \left\| R_{ij} X - D_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \right\|^2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \left\| \alpha_{ij} \right\|_0 \right\}
\]
Image Denoising

\[
\min_{\alpha_{ij}, X} \left\{ \mu \left\| X - Y \right\|^2 + \sum_{ij} \left\| R_{ij} X - D \alpha_{ij} \right\|^2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \left\| \alpha_{ij} \right\|_0 \right\}
\]

\( (X - Y) \) is the error between the input and denoised image. \( \mu \) is a penalty on the error.
Min \[ \alpha_{ij}, X \]
\[
\min_{\alpha_{ij}, X} \left\{ \mu \|X - Y\|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \|R_{ij}X - D\alpha_{ij}\|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \|\alpha_{ij}\|_0 \right\}
\]

Error bounding in each patch
- \(R_{ij}\) selects the \((ij)\)th patch
- Terms in summation = no. of patches
Image Denoising

$$\min_{a_{ij}, X} \{ \mu \| X - Y \|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \| R_{ij} X - D a_{ij} \|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \| \alpha_{ij} \|_0 \}$$

\( \lambda \) forces sparsity
Image Denoising

• But, we don’t “**know**” our dictionary D.
• We want to estimate D as well.
Image Denoising

• But, we don’t “know” our dictionary $D$. 
• We want to estimate $D$ as well.

\[
\min_{D,\alpha_{ij},X} \{ \mu \|X - Y\|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \|R_{ij}X - D\alpha_{ij}\|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \|\alpha_{ij}\|_0 \} 
\]

We can use the previous equation itself!!!
Image Denoising

\[
\min_{D, \alpha_{ij}, X} \left\{ \mu \| X - Y \|^2_2 + \sum_{ij} \| R_{ij} X - D \alpha_{ij} \|^2_2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \| \alpha_{ij} \|_0 \right\}
\]

How do we estimate all 3 at once?
Image Denoising

$$\text{Min}_{D, \alpha_{ij}, X} \left\{ \mu \| X - Y \|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \| R_{ij} X - D \alpha_{ij} \|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \left\| \alpha_{ij} \right\|_0 \right\}$$

How do we estimate all 3 at once?

We cannot estimate them at the same time!
Image Denoising

$$\min_{D, \alpha_{ij}, X} \left\{ \mu \| X - Y \|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \| R_{ij} X - D \alpha_{ij} \|_2^2 \right. $$

$$\left. + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \| \alpha_{ij} \|_0 \right\}$$

How do we estimate all 3 at once?

Fix 2, and find the optimal 3rd.
Image Denoising

\[
\underset{D, \alpha_{ij}, X}{\text{Min}} \left\{ \mu \|X - Y\|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \|R_{ij}X - D\alpha_{ij}\|_2^2 \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \left\| \alpha_{ij} \right\|_0 \right\}
\]

Initialize \( X = Y \)
Minimize

\[ \alpha_{ij} \{ \mu \| X - Y \|^2 + \sum_{ij} \| R_{ij} X - D \alpha_{ij} \|^2 \} + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \| \alpha_{ij} \|_0 \} \]

Initialize \( X = Y \), initialize \( D \)

You know how to solve the remaining portion for \( \alpha \) – MP, BP!
Image Denoising

• Now, update the dictionary D.
• Update D one column at a time, following the **K-SVD algorithm**
• K-SVD maintains the sparsity structure
Image Denoising

• Now, update the dictionary D.
• Update D one column at a time, following the K-SVD algorithm
• K-SVD maintains the sparsity structure
• Iteratively update $\alpha$ and D
Learned Dictionary for Face Image denoising

Image Denoising

\[
\min_X \{ \mu \|X - Y\|_2^2 + \sum_{ij} \|R_{ij}X - D\alpha_{ij}\|_2^2 \}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \|\alpha_{ij}\|_0 \underline{\text{Const. wrt } X}
\]

We know D and \(\alpha\)

The quadratic term above has a closed-form solution
**Image Denoising**

\[
\min_{\bar{X}} \{ \mu \| \bar{X} - Y \|^2_2 + \sum_{ij} \| R_{ij} \bar{X} - D \alpha_{ij} \|^2_2 \} + \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \| \alpha_{ij} \|_0
\]

\text{Const. wrt } X

We know D and \( \alpha \)

\[
\bar{X} = (\mu I + \sum_{ij} R_{ij}^T R_{ij})^{-1} (\mu Y + \sum_{ij} R_{ij}^T D \alpha_{ij})
\]
Image Denoising

• Summarizing... We wanted to obtain 3 things
Image Denoising

• Summarizing... We wanted to obtain 3 things
  ➢ Weights $\alpha$
  ➢ Dictionary $\mathbf{D}$
  ➢ Denoised Image $\mathbf{X}$
Image Denoising

• Summarizing... We wanted to obtain 3 things

- Weights $\alpha$ – Your favorite pursuit algorithm
- Dictionary $D$ – Using K-SVD
- Denoised Image $X$
Image Denoising

- Summarizing... We wanted to obtain 3 things
  - Weights $\alpha$ – Your favorite pursuit algorithm
  - Dictionary $D$ – Using K-SVD
  - Denoised Image $X$
Image Denoising

• Summarizing... We wanted to obtain 3 things

➤ Weights $\alpha$
➤ Dictionary $\mathcal{D}$
➤ Denoised Image $\mathbf{X}$ - Closed form solution
Image Denoising

• Here’s what we want
Image Denoising

• Here’s what we want
Comparing to Other Techniques

Non-Gaussian data

PCA of ICA

Which is which?

Comparing to Other Techniques

Non-Gaussian data

Comparing to Other Techniques

Non-Gaussian data

- Predicts data here
- Doesn’t predict data here
- Does pretty well

PCA
ICA

Comparing to Other Techniques

Data still in 2-D space

ICA

Overcomplete

Doesn’t capture the underlying representation, which Overcomplete representations can do...
**Summary**

- Overcomplete representations can be more powerful than component analysis techniques.
- Dictionary can be learned from data.
- Relative advantages and disadvantages of the pursuit algorithms.