15896 Spring 2015 Homework #2: Cooperative Games and Fair Division

Ariel Procaccia (arielpro@cs.cmu.edu) and Yair Zick

Due: 3/5/2015 1:30pm

Rules:

- You may work with a friend on the problems if you want. If you do work with a friend, please submit a single solution.
- If you've seen a problem before (some of them are "famous"), then say that in your solution. Also, if you use any sources other than the AGT book, write that down too. **Please don't deliberately search for solutions online!** It's fine to look up a complicated sum or inequality or whatever, but don't look up an entire solution.
- Please prepare a pdf with your solution and send it to Ariel by email.

Problems:

1. We say that a cooperative game $\mathcal{G} = (N, v)$ is *convex* if for any $T \subsetneq N$, $S \subseteq T$, and any $i \in N \setminus T$ we have $v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S) \leq v(T \cup \{i\}) - v(T)$.

Given a permutation $\sigma \in \Pi(N)$, we let $\vec{x}(\sigma)$ be the imputation defined by $x_i(\sigma) = v(P_i(\sigma) \cup \{i\}) - v(P_i(\sigma))$, where $P_i(\sigma)$ is the set of predecessors of i in σ . In words, the payoff to i is the marginal contribution of i to his predecessors. Let us define $Conv(\vec{x}(\sigma))_{\sigma \in \Pi(N)}$ to be the convex hull of the vectors $\vec{x}(\sigma)$.

(a) [15 points] Show that if $\mathcal{G} = (N, v)$ is a convex game, then

$$Conv(\vec{x}(\sigma))_{\sigma\in\Pi(N)}\subseteq Core(\mathcal{G}).$$

- (b) [5 points] Conclude that the Shapley value of a convex game is in the core.
- 2. [25 points] A solution to the bankruptcy problem is a function f whose input is a bankruptcy problem (a vector $\vec{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and E > 0 such that $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i > E$), and whose output is a vector $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that $\sum_{i \in N} x_i = E$. A solution f is consistent with the contested garment solution if for every two claimants $i, j \in N$, $f_i(c_1, \ldots, c_n; E) =$ $CG_i(c_i, c_j; x_i + x_j)$. Here, $CG_i(c_1, c_2; E)$ is the solution to the contested garment problem (two claimant variant of the bankruptcy problem) discussed in class. We have shown that there is a unique solution ϕ that is consistent with the contested garment problem; it is the

one described by the hydraulic machinery presented in class, which is based on the law of communicating vessels.¹

Give a closed-form formula for ϕ , and explain how you derived it.

Guidance: The formula can have different cases, depending on the value of E. In addition, the explanation can be intuitive — a formal proof is not required.

- 3. Consider the following class of valuation functions over a cake. For every player *i*, the function V_i is represented by points $0 = t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{K-1} < t_K = 1$, and values v_1, \ldots, v_K (where for simplicity we use the same *K* for all players) such that $V_i(t_{s-1}, t_s) = v_s$ for all $s = 2, \ldots, K$. Moreover, it is assumed that the value V_i is uniformly distributed over each interval $[t_{s-1}, t_s]$. For example, if $I \subset [t_{s-1}, t_s]$ and $|I| = \lambda(t_s t_{s-1})$ then $V_i(I) = \lambda v_s$.
 - (a) [10 points] Give an O(n³K³) algorithm that computes an envy-free allocation given valuation functions that are represented as above.
 Note: Your running time is actually likely to be lower than the stated upper bound; I just don't want the running time to be an issue (because the algorithm I have in mind is very simple), but at the same time I'm trying to prevent you from using linear programming for part (a).
 - (b) [25 points] Given valuations functions that are represented as above, formulate a linear program (LP) that computes an allocation that maximizes social welfare (i.e., $\sum_{i \in N} V_i(A_i)$) among all envy-free allocations. The number of variables and constraints in your LP should be polynomial in the representation of the input. (This shows that in this setting a welfare-maximizing envy-free allocation can be computed in polynomial time.)

Note: I imagine that almost all of you know what a linear program is. If you've never seen one, please consult Wikipedia. If the Wikipedia page is unclear, please ask me for an explanation by email or in person.

- 4. Consider a set of players with Leontief preferences. In class we discussed the *dominant re*source fairness (DRF) mechanism, which equalizes the dominant shares. Consider instead the asset fairness mechanism, which equalizes the sum of shares subject to allocating proportionally to the reported demands. In other words, it outputs an allocation **A** that is proportional to the demands and satisfies $\sum_r A_{ir} = \sum_r A_{jr}$ for every two players $i, j \in N$.
 - (a) [10 points] Prove/disprove: Asset fairness is envy free.
 - (b) [10 points] Prove/disprove: Asset fairness is proportional, that is, for all i ∈ N, u_i(A_i) ≥ u_i((1/n, 1/n, ..., 1/n)).
 Note: Don't confuse the proportionality property, which is a fairness property (and the focus of part (b)), with being proportional to the demands, which is also known as non-wastefulness.

¹We thank Itamar Procaccia (Ariel's dad) for providing us with the proper English term for this physical law!