

Design-Driven Assurance in Wyvern

Jonathan Aldrich and Alex Potanin

Workshop on New Object-Oriented Languages (NOOL) at SPLASH 2017 October 2017





The Wyvern Programming Language



- Designed for security and productivity from the ground up
- General purpose, but emphasizing web/mobile/IoT apps
- But you might ask:
 - Isn't there a **tradeoff** between security and productivity?



Insight: Engineering Impact of Design

• Design constraints drive program properties [Bass et al.]



Shifting the Tradeoff Curve



Design-Driven Assurance in Wyvern

- The Wyvern Approach: Usable Design-Driven Assurance
 - Usable mechanisms to express and enforce large-scale design
 - Support for built-in assurance of critical properties, esp. security
- Key mechanisms for expressing and enforcing design
 - Modules and architecture express *high-level design*
 - Extensible notation express *code-level design*
 - Types, capabilities, and effects to enforce design

An Old Idea: Layered Architectures [Dijkstra 1968]

- Lowest layer: an unsafe, low-level library
 - provides basic access to resources
- Middle layer: a higher-level framework
 - enforces safety invariants over resources
- Top layer: the application
- Code must obey strict layering
 - Application must only use the safe framework
- Many variants
 - Secure networking framework
 - Safe SQL-access library
 - Replicated storage library
 - Map-reduce library, ...
- RQ: Can we use *capabilities* to enforce layered resource access?
 - Capability: an unforgeable token controlling access to a resource [Dennis & Van Horn 1966]



Module Linking as Architecture

require db.stringSQL

To access external resources like a database, main requires a **capability** from the run-time system. A capability is an unforgeable token controlling access to a resource

application.run()



Module Linking as Architecture



Module Linking as Architecture



But won't it be a pain to link everything?

- Most Wyvern modules don't have state, can be freely imported
- Statically tracked: stateful modules/objects are or resource types



- resource types capture state or system access; other types do not
 - Useful design documentation; e.g. MapReduce tasks should be stateless
 - Supports powerful equational reasoning, safe concurrency, etc.

But I *like* my insecure SQL library!

• Pasting strings is convenient: connection.executeQuery(

"SELECT * FROM Students WHERE name = "" + studentName + "";");

- A fully secure library might not be nearly as nice: connection.executeQuery(select(star, new String[] { "Students" }, equals(column("name"), studentName)));
- Prepared queries are also not great (and not fully secure):
 PreparedStatement s = connection.prepareStatement(
 "SELECT * FROM Students WHERE name = ?;");

s.setString(1, userName);

s.executeQuery();

Wyvern: Usable Secure Programming



- Claim: the secure version more natural and more usable
 - We hope to evaluate this empirically in the near future

Run-Time Architecture (ongoing work)



Reasoning about Authority with Types

- How do we reasoning about the *authority* of an object?
 - i.e. what effects (writes, system operations) can an object have? [Miller 2006]
 - Prior work: semantic defn. of *eventual authority* [Drossopoulou et al., 2016]
 - Prior work: topological bound on authority [Miller 2006; Maffeis et al. 2010]
- Approximate authority informally using types [Melicher et al., 2017]

type HttpRequestorIf we trust the HttpRequestor// HTTP get request on a URLIf we trust the HttpRequestordef get(url:String):StringIf we trust the HttpRequestorimplementation, we can (informally)reason about the authority of MyADT:to do HTTP get requests.

More precise than topological bound.

MyADT is born with permission to an HttpRequestor. The type proves it can't get additional permissions

def makeADT(req:HttpRequestor):MyADT

// defined in a pure module

def operation(x:Int):String

type MyADT

Reasoning about Authority with Effects

- How do we reasoning about the *authority* of an object?
 - i.e. what effects (writes, system operations) can an object have? [Miller 2006]
 - Prior work: semantic defn. of *eventual authority* [Drossopoulou et al., 2016]
 - Prior work: topological bound on authority [Miller 2006;Maffeis et al. 2010]
- Current work: reason *formally*, *precisely* about authority using effects

effect getRequest _____ Trusted HTTP library implements getRequest functionality using network

type Requestor // untrusted code

def get(url:String):String { getRequest }

type MyADT

def operation(x:Int):String { getRequest }
def makeADT(req:HttpRequestor):MyADT

We don't know/trust the Requestor implementation, but the effect bounds its authority (and the authority of clients)

Wyvern Design Principles From 3 Fields

- SE: Express design that impacts engineering at scale
 - Enforcing system organization: both code and run-time structure
 - Immutability constraints play architectural role
 - Effects for reasoning about authority in the large
- PL: Formal properties that are deep and widely applicable
 - Composability of language extension [Omar et al. 2014]
 - Immutability is used widely and provides high reasoning leverage
 - Capability safety can be leveraged to enforce design properties
- HCI: Empirical focus on usability and user tasks
 - SQL arguably a natural notation [Myers et al. 2004] for queries
 - **IDE support** for languages has high impact on tasks
 - Empirical study on **usability of immutability** [Coblenz et al. 2017]

Synergies in Language Design



Wyvern: Design-Driven Assurance

- Novel approach to achieve high usability and assurance
- Leverage new mechanisms for capturing design constraints
 - Foundational: Immutability, capabilities, extensible notation
 - Scaling up: Modules, architecture, effects
- Drivers
 - SE: Design constraints that impact engineering at scale
 - PL: Formal properties that are deep and widely applicable
 - HCI: Empirical focus on usability and user tasks
- Follow on work: extensible checking, gradual verification