

Introduction to Program Analysis

Reading: NNH 1.1-1.3, 1.7-1.8

17-654/17-765

Analysis of Software Artifacts

Jonathan Aldrich

Applications of Program Analysis

- Optimization
 - Avoid redundant/unnecessary computation
 - Compute in a more efficient way
- Verifying correctness
 - Assurance of software
 - Finding bugs
- Determining properties
 - Performance
 - Security and reliability
 - Design and architecture

Analysis as an Approximation

- Example: finding divide-by-zero errors

```
read(x);  
if (x > 0)  
  then y := 1  
  else y := 0; S; // S is some other statement  
z := 2 / y;      // could this be an error?
```

- What could y hold at the last statement?
 - In general, anything (since S could assign to y)
 - If S doesn't affect y, one would think the answer is the set {0,1}

Analysis as an Approximation

- If S doesn't terminate normally, y cannot be 0
- Problem: undecidable to tell if S terminates!
- In general program analysis must compute an approximation

Quick Undecidability Proof

- Theorem: There does not exist a program Q that can decide for all programs P , whether P terminates.
- Proof: By contradiction.
 - Assume there exists a program $Q(x)$ that returns true if x terminates, false if it does not.
 - Consider the program “ $R = \text{if } Q(R) \text{ then loop.}$ ”
 - If R terminates, then Q returns true and R loops (does not terminate).
 - If R does not terminate, then Q returns false and R terminates.
 - Thus we have a contradiction, and termination must be undecidable

Safe Approximations

```
read(x);  
if (x > 0)  
  then y := 1  
  else y := 0; S; // S does not affect y  
z := 2 / y; // could this be an error?
```

- What is a safe approximation for the value of y ?
 - $\{1\}$? no
 - $\{0\}$? no
 - $\{0,1\}$? yes
 - $\{0,1,43\}$? yes
 - NAT? yes
- Intuition: we want to ensure we find all divide by zero errors

Safe Approximations

```
read(x);  
if (x > 0)  
  then y := 1  
  else y := 0; S; // S does not affect y  
z := 2 / y;      // could this be an error?
```

- It is **safe** to say that the value of y is in $\{0,1\}$
 - We will catch all divide-by-zero errors this way
- Approximating the value of y as $\{1\}$ is **unsafe**
 - Missing possible behaviors of the program
- **Conservative/Safe Analysis**
 - *Computes a larger set of possibilities than will actually occur in program execution*
- Would like to prove that analyses are safe

Precise Approximations

```
read(x);  
if (x > 0)  
  then y := 1  
  else y := 2; S; // S does not affect y  
z := 2 / y;      // could this be an error?
```

- What is the most precise approximation for the value of y ?
 - \emptyset is the most precise possible answer
 - $\{1,2\}$ is the most precise safe approximation for y
 - $\{1,2,3\}$ is worse, $\{0,1,2,3\}$ is worst still, NAT is worst of all
 - Sets containing 0 may lead to a false positive
 - Other inaccuracies could cause problems later on
- A **precise** analysis will compute as small a set of possibilities for program execution as it can

WHILE: An Imperative Language

- Categories

- $a \in \mathbf{AExp}$ arithmetic expressions
- $b \in \mathbf{BExp}$ boolean expressions
- $S \in \mathbf{Stmt}$ statements
- $x, y \in \mathbf{Var}$ variables
- $n \in \mathbf{Num}$ numerals
- $\ell \in \mathbf{Lab}$ labels

- Syntax

- $a ::= x \mid n \mid a_1 \text{ op}_a a_2$
- $b ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{not } b \mid b_1 \text{ op}_b b_2 \mid a_1 \text{ op}_r a_2$
- $S ::= [x := a]^\ell \mid [\text{skip}]^\ell \mid S_1; S_2$
| if $[b]^\ell$ then S_1 else S_2 | while $[b]^\ell$ do S

Example WHILE Program

```
[y := x]1;  
[z := 1]2;  
while [y > 1]3 do  
  [z := z * y]4;  
  [y := y - 1]5;  
[y := 0]6;
```

Computes the factorial function, with the input in x
and the output in z

Reaching Definitions Analysis

- A variable definition of the form $[x := a]^{\ell}$ *may reach* program point P if there is an execution of the program where x was last assigned a value at ℓ when P is reached.
- Uses
 - Optimization
 - Does a constant assignment reach a variable's use?
 - Bug finding
 - Does a NULL assignment reach a pointer dereference?
 - Does a 0 assignment reach a divisor?

Reaching Definitions Example

	<u>RD at entry</u>			<u>RD at exit</u>		
	<u>x</u>	<u>y</u>	<u>z</u>	<u>x</u>	<u>y</u>	<u>z</u>
$[y := x]^1;$?	?	?	?	1	?
$[z := 1]^2;$?	1	?	?	1	2
while $[y > 1]^3$ do	?	1,5	2,4	?	1,5	2,4
$[z := z * y]^4;$?	1,5	2,4	?	1,5	4
$[y := y - 1]^5;$?	1,5	4	?	5	4
$[y := 0]^6;$?	1,5	2,4	?	6	2,4