Analysis for Safe Concurrency

Reading: ***Assuring and Evolving Concurrent Programs: Annotations and Policy***

17-654/17-754: Analysis of Software Artifacts

Jonathan Aldrich

Example: java.util.logging.Logger

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

```
public class Logger { ...
  private Filter filter;




  public void setFilter(Filter newFilter) ... {
    if (!anonymous) manager.checkAccess();
    filter = newFilter;
  }






}
```

Consider `setFilter()` in isolation

Example: java.util.logging.Logger

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

```
public class Logger { ...
  private Filter filter;















  public void log(LogRecord record) { ...
    synchronized (this) {
      if (filter != null
          && !filter.isLoggable(record)) return;
    } ...
  } ...
}
```

Consider `log()` in isolation

Example: java.util.logging.Logger

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

```
/** ... All methods on Logger are multi-thread safe. */
public class Logger { ...
  private Filter filter;

  /** ...
   * @param newFilter a filter object (may be null)
   */
  public void setFilter(Filter newFilter) ... {
    if (!anonymous) manager.checkAccess();
    filter = newFilter;
  }

  public void log(LogRecord record) { ...
    synchronized (this) {
      if (filter != null
          && !filter.isLoggable(record)) return;
    } ...
  } ...
}
```

Consider class `Logger` in it's entirety!

Example: java.util.logging.Logger

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]



```
/** ... All methods on Logger are multi-thread safe. */
public class Logger { ...
  private Filter filter;

  /** ...
   * @param newFilter a filter object (may be null)
   */
  public void setFilter(Filter newFilter)…{
    if (!anonymous) manager.checkAccess();
    filter = newFilter;
  }

  public void log(LogRecord record) { ...
    synchronized (this) {
      if (filter != null
          && !filter.isLoggable(record)) return;
    } ...
  } ...
}
```

Class Logger has a ***race condition***.

Example: java.util.logging.Logger

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

```
/** ... All methods on Logger are multi-thread safe. */
public class Logger { ...
  private Filter filter;

  /** ...
   * @param newFilter a filter object (may be null)
   */
  public synchronized void setFilter(Filter newFilter)…{
    if (!anonymous) manager.checkAccess();
    filter = newFilter;
  }

  public void log(LogRecord record) { ...
    synchronized (this) {
      if (filter != null
          && !filter.isLoggable(record)) return;
    } ...
  } ...
}
```

Correction: synchronize `setFilter()`

Example: Summary 1

Problem: Race condition in class `Logger`

- ***Race condition*** defined:

  (From Savage et al., *Eraser: A Dynamic Data Race Detector for Multithreaded Programs*)

  - Two threads access the same variable
  - At least one access is a write
  - No explicit mechanism prevents the accesses from being simultaneous

Example: Summary 2

Problem: Race condition in class `Logger`

- Non-local error
  - Had to inspect whole class
    - Bad code invalidates good code
  - Could have to inspect all clients of class
- Hard to test
  - Problem occurs non-deterministically
    - Depends on how threads interleave

Example: Summary 3

Problem: Race condition in class **`Logger`**

- Not all race conditions result in errors
- Error results when invariant is violated
  - Logger invariant
    - filter is not null at call following null test
  - Race-related error
    - race between write and dereference of filter
    - if the write wins the race, filter is null at the call

Example: Summary 4

Problem: Race condition in class **`Logger`**

- Need to know ***design intent***
  - *Should instances be used across threads*?
  - *If so, how should access be coordinated*?
    - Assumed **`log`** was correct: **`synchronize`** on **`this`**
    - Could be caller's responsibility to acquire lock

      ⇒ **`log`** is incorrect

      ⇒ Need to check call sites of **`log`** and **`setFilter`**

Software Disasters: Therac-25

- Delivered radiation treatment
- 2 modes
  - Electron: low power electrons
  - X-Ray: high power electrons converted to x-rays with sheild
- Race condition
  - Operator specifies x-ray, then quickly corrects to electron mode
  - Dosage process doesn't see the update, delivers x-ray dose
  - Mode process sees update, removes shield
- Consequences
  - 3 deaths, 3 serious injuries from radiation overdose



from http://www.netcomp.monash.edu.au/cpe9001/assets/readings/HumanErrorTalk6.gif

source: Leveson and Turner, An Investigation of the Therac-25 Accidents, *IEEE Computer*, Vol. 26, No. 7, July 1993.

Fluid: Tool Support for Safe Concurrency

Models are Missing

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- **Programmer design intent is missing**
  - Not explicit in Java, C, C++, etc
    - *What lock protects this object*?
      - “This lock protects that state”
    - *What is the actual extent of shared state of this object*?
      - “This object is ‘part of’ that object”

- **Adoptability**
  - Programmers: “Too difficult to express this stuff.”
  - Annotations in tools like Fluid: ***Minimal effort*** — concise expression
    - Capture what programmers are ***already thinking about***
    - No full specification

- **Incrementality**
  - Programmers: “I’m too busy; maybe after the deadline.”
  - Tool design (e.g. Fluid): Payoffs early and often
    - Direct programmer utility — ***negative marginal cost***
    - Increments of payoff for increments of effort

Capturing Design Intent

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- *What data is shared by multiple threads*?
- *What locks are used to protect it*?
  - Annotate class: `@lock FL is this protects filter`

Reporting Code–Model Consistency

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- Tool analyzes consistency
  - No annotations ⇒ no assurance
  - Identify likely model sites

- Three classes of results

✚ Code–model consistency

✖ Code–model inconsistency

ℹ Informative — Request for annotation

Fluid Demonstration: Locks

Incremental Assurance

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

Payoffs early and often to reward use

- Reassure after every save
  - Maintain model–code consistency
  - Find errors as soon as they are introduced
- Focus on interesting code
  - Heavily annotate critical code
  - Revisit other code when it becomes critical
- Doesn’t require full annotation to be useful

Analysis Issues: Aliasing

- Other pointers can invalidate reasoning
  - @singlethreaded – can other threads access through an alias?
  - @aggregate … into Instance – can the field be accessed though an alias that is not protected by the lock?
- Similar issues in other analyses, e.g. Typestate

```
FileInputStream a = …
FileInputStream b = …
a.close()                  // what if a and b alias?
b.read(…)                  // may read a closed file
```

- Solution from Fugue (Microsoft Research)
  - @NotAliased annotation indicates that b has no aliases
  - Therefore closing a does not affect b
  - Requires alias analysis to verify
  - Can sometimes be inferred by analysis
    - e.g. see Fink et al., ISSTA ’06

Capturing Design Intent

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- *What data is shared by multiple threads?*
- *What locks are used to protect it?*
    - Annotate class: `@lock FL is this protects filter`

- *Is this delegate object owned by its referring object?*
    - Annotate field: `@aggregate … into Instance`

- *Can this object be accessed by multiple threads?*
    - Annotate method: `@singleThreaded`

- *Can this argument escape to the heap?*
    - Annotate method: `@borrowed this`

Analysis Issues: Constructors, Inheritance

- Constructors
  - Often special cases for assurance
  - Fluid: can’t protect with “this” lock
    - But OK since usually not multithreaded yet
  - Others
    - Invariants may not hold until end of constructor
- Subtyping
  - Subclass must inherit specification of superclass
  - Example: @singlethreaded for Formatter
  - Sometimes subclass extends specification
    - e.g. to be multi-threaded safe
    - requires care in inheriting or overriding superclass methods
- Inheritance
  - Representation of superclass may have different invariants than subclass
  - super calls must obey superclass specs
    - e.g. call to Formatter constructor

How Incrementality Works 1

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- How can one provide incremental benefit with mutual dependencies?

Call Graph of Program



How Incrementality Works 2

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- How can one provide incremental benefit with mutual dependencies?
- Cut points
  - Method annotations partition call graph
  - Can assure property of a subgraph
  - Assurance is *contingent* on accuracy of trusted cut point method annotations

Call Graph of Program



Cutpoint Example: `@requiresLock`

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- Analysis normally assumes a method acquires and releases all the locks it needs.
  - Prevents caller's correctness from depending on internals of called method.
- Method can require the caller to already hold a certain lock: **`@requiresLock FilterLock`**
  - Analysis of method gets to assume the lock is held.
    - Doesn't need to know about caller(s).
  - Analysis of caller checks for lock acquisition.
    - Still ignores internals of called method.

Capturing Design Intent

[Source: Aaron Greenhouse]

- *What data is shared by multiple threads?*
- *What locks are used to protect it?*
  - Annotate class: **`@lock FL is this protects filter`**
- *Is this delegate object owned by its referring object?*
  - Annotate field: **`@aggregate … into Instance`**
- *Whose responsibility is it to acquire the lock?*
  - Annotate method: **`@requiresLock FL`**

Concurrency: Summary

- Many ways to make concurrency safe
  - Single-threaded data
  - Locks
  - Disabled interrupts
  - Analysis of interleavings (simple settings)
  - Transactions (future)

- Design intent useful
  - Document assumptions for team
  - Aids in manual analysis
  - Enables (eventual) automated analysis

Questions?