

# SML Recitation Notes Week 2:

## Convexity

Min Xu

January 21, 2011

### 1 Geometry Fundamentals

We can describe a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as a normal vector  $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and an offset  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- If  $x$  is on the plane, then  $h^\top(x - x_0) = 0$
- If  $x$  is on one side of the plane, then  $h^\top(x - x_0) > 0$
- If  $x$  is on the other side, then  $h^\top(x - x_0) < 0$
- we can multiply  $h$  by non-zero scalar and still describe the same plane
- Since  $h^\top x = h^\top x_0$  for all  $x$  on the plane, we can change our offset to be another point  $x'_0$  on the plane and still describe the same plane

**Theorem 1.** (*Supporting Hyperplane Theorem*)

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a convex set. Let  $x_0 \in \text{boundary}(X)$ , then there exist a hyperplane  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : h^\top(x - x_0) = 0\}$  with normal vector  $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and offset  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $h^\top(x - x_0) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Intuitively, this means that the supporting hyperplane touches the convex set but never crosses it; the entire convex set is on the same side of the hyperplane.

*Remark 1.* Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a convex function, then the **epigraph** of  $f$  is the set of  $n + 1$ -dimensional points  $\{(x, z) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}, z \geq f(x)\}$ . The epigraph is a **convex set**. The boundary of the epigraph is the set of  $n + 1$ -dimensional points  $\{(x, f(x))\}$ ; this is **graph** of  $f$ .

### 2 Geometry of Subgradient

**Definition 2.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a convex function.

Let  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we say  $w \in \partial f(x_0)$  if for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f(x) \geq w^\top(x - x_0) + f(x_0)$

Geometrically, the subgradient of  $f$  at  $x_0$  forms a supporting hyperplane of the epigraph of  $f$  at  $x_0$ . We can see this with a little algebra:

$$f(x) \leq w^\top(x - x_0) + f(x_0) \tag{2.1}$$

$$w^\top x_0 - f(x_0) \leq w^\top x - f(x) \tag{2.2}$$

$$(w, -1)^\top(x_0, f(x_0)) \leq (w, -1)^\top(x, f(x)) \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $(w, -1)^\top(x_0, f(x_0)) \leq (w, -1)^\top(x, f(x))$  for all  $x$ , the  $n+1$ -dimensional plane specified by normal vector  $(w, -1)$  and offset  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  is a supporting hyperplane for the epigraph.

Similarly, any supporting hyperplane of epigraph at offset  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  with normal vector  $(w, -1)$  (possibly need scaling) specifies a subgradient of  $f$  at  $x_0$ . By the supporting hyperplane theorem,  $\partial f(x_0)$  is non-empty for all  $x_0$  such that  $f(x_0) \neq \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.** *A convex function  $f$  is minimized at  $x_0$  if and only if  $\bar{0} \in \partial f(x_0)$ .*

A direct proof is easy, but we can also intuitively confirm this theorem by considering subgradient as supporting hyperplane.

**Remark 2. (A Quick Digression into Infinity)**

Let  $f$  be a convex function. If  $f(x_0) = -\infty$  and  $f(x_1)$  is finite, then  $f(tx_0 + (1-t)x_1) \leq tf(x_0) + (1-t)f(x_1) \leq -\infty$  and so  $f$  can only be finite on a scattered set of points. Thus, we generally assume convex functions are proper, i.e., cannot be  $-\infty$  anywhere and cannot be  $\infty$  everywhere.

In contrast, a lot of important convex functions will map points to  $\infty$ ; we must take special care however when  $f$  is infinity. For example, if  $f(x_0) = \infty$  and  $f$  is finite somewhere else, then  $f$  does not have a subgradient at  $x_0$ .

### 3 Geometry of Conjugate Dual

**Definition 4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper convex function. The conjugate dual is a function  $f^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined as

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f(x)$$

Suppose  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f(x)$  is achieved at  $x_0$ , then

$$x_0^\top y - f(x_0) \geq x^\top y - f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3.1)$$

$$f(x) \geq y^\top(x - x_0) + f(x_0) \quad (3.2)$$

And so  $y \in \partial f(x_0)$ . We can reverse the reasoning to show that if  $y \in \partial f(x_0)$ , then  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f(x)$  will be achieved at  $x_0$ .

We can now think about conjugate dual geometrically. Given a plane passing through origin with normal vector  $(y, -1)$ ,  $f^*(y)$  is the distance we have to shift this plane up and down until this plane becomes a supporting hyperplane of  $f$ .  $f^*(y)$  is negative if we have to shift the plane up, positive if we shift the plane down.

**Remark 3.** There are cases where  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f(x)$  is not achieved at any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For example, it might be that  $x^\top y - f(x)$  increases as  $x$  gets farther and farther away and  $f^*(y) = \infty$ . As another less important example, it might be that the gradient of  $f$  levels off as  $x$  goes to infinity.

**Theorem 5. (Dual at Zero Theorem)**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper convex function. Then

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) = -f^*(\bar{0})$$

$(\bar{0}, -1)$  specifies precisely the hyperplane parallel to the  $n$ -dimensional domain of  $f$  so the theorem intuitively makes sense. The proof is also easy:

*Proof.*

$$-f^*(\bar{0}) = -\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top \bar{0} - f(x)\right) \quad (3.3)$$

$$= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad (3.4)$$

□

Taking the conjugate dual of convex functions is a sensitive operation. For instance,  $(f + g)^* \neq f^* + g^*$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be closed-proper-convex functions. Then*

$$(f + g)^*(y) = \inf_{u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n : u + w = y} f^*(u) + g^*(w)$$

Hand-wavily, we can see that this theorem is natural because  $\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$ . So we can form one subgradient of  $f + g$  by taking many different sums of a subgradient of  $f$  and a subgradient at  $g$ .

*Proof.* First, we recall that if  $f$  is closed-proper-convex, then  $f^{**} = f$ . Hence:

$$(f + g)^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f(x) - g(x) \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f^{**}(x) - g^{**}(x) \quad (3.6)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - \left(\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} u^\top x - f^*(u)\right) - \left(\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} w^\top x - g^*(w)\right) \quad (3.7)$$

In general, we know that  $-\sup_x f(x) = \inf_x -f(x)$ . Hence we can continue:

$$(f + g)^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y + \left(\inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} -u^\top x + f^*(u)\right) + \left(\inf_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} -w^\top x + g^*(w)\right) \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \inf_{u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - u^\top x - w^\top x + f^*(u) + g^*(w) \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \inf_{u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top (y - (u + w)) + f^*(u) + g^*(w) \quad (3.10)$$

Let  $u', w'$  minimize  $\inf_{u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top (y - (u + w)) + f^*(u) + g^*(w)$  (to be fully rigorous, we cannot assume such  $u', w'$  exist). Suppose also that  $(y - (u' + w')) \neq \bar{0}$ . Then  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top (y - (u' + w')) + f^*(u) + g^*(w)$  is infinity because as we increase magnitude of  $x$ , we can make  $x^\top (y - (u' + w'))$  arbitrarily large while  $f^*(u)$  and  $g^*(w)$  do not change. Thus, it must be that  $(y - (u' + w')) = \bar{0}$  and we can argue:

$$(f + g)^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \inf_{u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n : u + w = y} x^\top \bar{0} + f^*(u) + g^*(w) \quad (3.11)$$

$$= \inf_{u, w \in \mathbb{R}^n : u + w = y} f^*(u) + g^*(w) \quad (3.12)$$

□

We can now derive Fenchel Duality Theorem as a corollary:

**Corollary 7. (Fenchel Duality Theorem)**

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be closed-proper-convex functions. Then:

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (f + g)(x) = -(f + g)^*(\bar{0}) \quad (3.13)$$

$$= -(\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n} f^*(\lambda) + g^*(-\lambda)) \quad (3.14)$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n} -f^*(\lambda) - f^*(-\lambda) \quad (3.15)$$

## 4 Connecting Subgradient and Conjugate Dual

There is an interesting connection between subgradient of the conjugate dual function and the subgradient of the original function.

**Theorem 8. (Dual Subgradient Theorem)**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper convex function. Let  $f^*$  be its conjugate dual. Then for all  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$y_0 \in \partial f(x_0) \text{ if and only if } x_0 \in \partial f^*(y_0)$$

This theorem says that “gradient” and “position” switches role for the conjugate dual. If  $f$  has gradient  $y_0$  at position  $x_0$ , then  $f^*$  has gradient  $x_0$  at position  $y_0$ .

To get an intuition for this theorem, let us consider the case where  $x_0 = \bar{0}$  and let us suppose  $f(\bar{0}) = 0$  and  $f$  has subgradient  $y_0$  at  $x_0 = \bar{0}$ . In this case, the Dual Subgradient Theorem says that  $\bar{0} \in \partial f^*(y_0)$  which means that  $f^*$  achieves its minimum at  $y_0$ .

Why is this so? If  $f(\bar{0}) = 0$ , then the origin in the  $n + 1$ -dimensional space lies at the boundary of the epigraph of  $f$ . Hence, the hyperplane passing through origin with normal vector  $(y_0, -1)$  is the supporting hyperplane of the epigraph and it touches the epigraph at the origin. If we change  $y_0$  by a bit, the hyperplane will “cut” into the epigraph and hence increase the value of  $f^*$ .

We can see this intuition appear in the proof as well:

**Proof. (Dual Subgradient Theorem)**

Step 1: We will first prove this for case where  $x_0 = \bar{0}$  and  $f(\bar{0}) = 0$ . Let  $y_0 \in \partial f(\bar{0})$ , then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$f(x) \geq y_0^\top (x - x_0) + f(x_0) \quad (4.1)$$

$$0 \geq y_0^\top x - f(x) \quad (4.2)$$

$$0 \geq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} y_0^\top x - f(x) \quad (4.3)$$

$$0 \geq f^*(y_0) \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand,  $f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} y^\top x - f(x) \geq y^\top x_0 - f(x_0) \geq 0$ . Hence,  $f^*$  is minimized at  $y_0$  and  $\bar{0} \in \partial f^*(y_0)$ . We can reverse the reasoning to prove the converse.

Step 2: now we prove the theorem for the general case. Suppose  $y_0 \in \partial f(x_0)$ . Define function  $g(x) = f(x + x_0) - f(x_0)$  (note that  $f(x_0) < \infty$  since  $f$  has subgradient at  $x_0$ ). Then we see that  $g(\bar{0}) = 0$  and  $\partial g(\bar{0}) = \partial f(x_0)$ . Hence,  $y_0 \in \partial f(x_0)$  if and only if  $y_0 \in \partial g(\bar{0})$ . By step 1, we know that  $\bar{0} \in \partial g^*(y_0)$  and so we need to relate  $\partial g^*$  to  $\partial f^*$

$$g^*(y_0) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y_0 - g(x) \quad (4.5)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y_0 - f(x + x_0) + f(x_0) \quad (4.6)$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (x + x_0)^\top y_0 - f(x + x_0) - x_0^\top y_0 + f(x_0) \quad (4.7)$$

$$= f^*(y_0) - x_0^\top y_0 + f(x_0) \quad (4.8)$$

Hence,  $\partial g^*(y_0) = \partial f^*(y_0) - x_0$  and we see that  $\bar{0} \in \partial g^*(y_0)$  is equivalent to  $x_0 \in \partial f^*(y_0)$ .  $\square$

We see then that the original function, the conjugate dual, and the subgradient have the following interesting connection:

- Finding the minimum value of  $f$  is equivalent to evaluating  $f^*$  at  $\bar{0}$ .

$$\inf_x f(x) = -f^*(\bar{0})$$

- Finding  $x$  that achieves minimum value of  $f$  is equivalent to finding the subgradient of  $f^*$  at  $\bar{0}$ .

$$\bar{0} \in \partial f(x) \text{ if and only if } x \in \partial f^*(\bar{0})$$