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• What to do instead

Hey, buddy! Why all the gloom and doom? Don't you *want* people to take this class?
Motivation

- A question from an F'14 student
  - “Does this class really have 'D' grades?”
  - “Is there some 'extra credit' so I can graduate?”
Core Issue

- There is a pattern of things to avoid
  - Don't come to class
  - Start projects late
  - Code to the test suite
  - Fail exams
  - Fail kernel project

- Some of these practices have worked for you in other classes
  - This class is different
This is a *Transformative* Class

- Genuine achievement, available to you
  - What is an OS, *really*?
  - Concurrency (locks, races, deadlock)
  - What is VM, really?
  - Process model, C run-time model
  - Interrupts
  - Design synthesis, planning
  - Serious competence in debugging!

- If that sounds like a lot, it is!
This Is a *Hard* Class

- CS doesn't have “capstone” classes, but similar...
- Traditional hazards
  - 410 letter grade one lower than typical classes
  - All *other* classes this semester: one grade lower
- Aim
  - If you aim for a B you might not get one
  - If you aim for a C you might not get one
  - “I'll drop if I can't get an A”
    - (You *must* discuss this with your partner *early*)
Grading philosophy

- C – all parts of problem addressed
- B – solution is complete, stable, robust
- A – excellent
  - Somebody might want to re-use some of your code
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- “Passes most of the test suite” isn't even a 'D'???
  - Sometimes it is
  - But “passes most of the test suite” can still mean failing the kernel project
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• Key issue
  – Robust code is *structurally different* than fragile code

• Surprising problems
  – You can't ship “hacked on madly until it sort of passes the test suite” to customers
  – Can't write bad code, *then* make it robust, *then* design it to be modular
  – Can't write race-infested code and then add locking
  – Can't write non-preemptible code and then make it preemptible
Grading philosophy

- C – all parts of problem addressed
- B – solution is \textit{complete, stable, robust}
- A – excellent
  - Somebody might want to re-use some of your code
- Numbers?
  - A = 90-100\%, B = 80-90\%, ... (roughly)
- “Curving”? Maybe, not necessarily
  - Lots of A's would be \textit{fine with us}
  - \textit{But this requires clean, communicative code!}
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Grading philosophy

• C – all parts of problem addressed
• B – solution is complete, stable, robust
• A – excellent
  – Somebody might want to re-use some of your code
• By the way, there are grades above A!
  – “Recommended for 15-712”
  – “TA candidate”
  – “Recommended for research / Ph.D. programs”
  – These pretty much require starting early + excellence
The Shape of Some Class

Effort/Excitement by Project

- Yow!
- Focus...
- Feasible!
- Workable
- Groovin'
- Hard!
- Challenge!!
- Done!!!
Effort/Excitement by Project

- P0
- P1
- P2
- P3
- P4
Scale Matters!

Effort/Excitement by Project
Implications

• “Trouble with one assignment” is real trouble
  - You can't just “swing at the next ball”
    • The next ball is two to four times faster!
  - Each project is training for the next (like Math)
    • If you skip part of one project, the next one might be unachievable.

• So...
  - Aim to do really well on P0
    • Start the first day (for sure by the second)
  - Then recover, aim to do even better on P1
Academic Integrity

• This is a design class
  – Not a “cut&paste class” or a “looking things up” class
  – We expect you to practice solving design problems

• Model: our spec ⇒ your ideas ⇒ your code
  – Not: copy code from Linux kernel
  – Not: port code from some other OS class / web site
  – Completely not: use some other student's code

• There are exceptions
  – Some uses of some outside code are ok: see syllabus!
Academic Integrity

• “We expect you to fail”
  – It is possible to fail an assignment and pass the class
    • (If you come from another university this may be new)
  – It is not possible to copy or cheat on an assignment and pass the class
    • Beyond failing, other dreadful things happen too
      – Dean of Student Affairs
      – Scholarship problems
      – Graduation delays
  • Please don't turn a simple failure into a giant catastrophe
Work Flow – You may be used to...

- Assignment handout ⇒ code outline
- Compilation implies correctness
- Graded by a script
- All done!
  - Never use it again
  - Delete it at end of semester
- *Total opposite of real life*
Work Flow – 410 Additions

- Design
- Divide into parts
- Manage your partner
- Merge
- Debug *hard* problems
Surprises

- “Code complete” means “I am far behind”
  - Merge can take three days
  - Then you start to find bugs (1-2 weeks)
- Code with “the right idea” will immediately crash
  - If you're lucky!
- This is not a “basic idea is right” class
  - You can't ship “basic ideas” to customers
  - Understand all details—then you have the basic idea
As soon as we started programming, we found to our surprise that it wasn't as easy to get programs right as we had thought. Debugging had to be discovered. I can remember the exact instant when I realized that a large part of my life from then on was going to be spent in finding mistakes in my own programs.

– Maurice Wilkes (1949)
Debugging

- Bugs aren't just last-minute glitches
- They are crucial learning experiences
  - Learning a lot can take a lot of time
What Does A Bug Mean?

• “It tells me 'triple fault' – why??”
  – Research: 20 minutes
  – Think: 20 minutes
  – Debug: 2 hours.
  – ...three times.

• May need to *write code* to trap a bad bug
  – Asserts or more-targeted debug module

• Then you will find your design was wrong!
  – Don't be shocked – this is part of 410 / life
“All Done”? 

• Finally, when you're done...
  – You will use your code for the next assignment!
  – We will read it (goal: every line)
The deadline disaster

- “If you wait until the last minute, it takes only a minute!” -- Vince Cate
- Small problem
  - Your grade will probably suffer
- Big problem
  - *Learning* and *retention* require sleep
  - Why work super-hard only to forget?
How to Have Trouble

• How to get an R
  – Arrive unprepared (e.g., barely escape 213)
  – Do everything at the last minute
  – Don't read the book or come to class
  – Hide from course staff no matter what

• How to get a D
  – Don't get the kernel project genuinely working
    • (There are other ways, but this one is popular)
How to do well!

● Confront the material
  – Come to class!
● Confront debugging
● Embrace the experience
  – Unix, Simics, revision control
● Invest in good code
● Start unbelievably early
● Read your partner's code
● Leave time for design
Confront the Material

- We are doing printf() *all the way down*
  - Subroutine linkage, how & why
  - Stub routine, IDT entry, trap handler wrapper
  - Output/input-echo interlock
  - Logical cursor vs. physical cursor
  - Video memory (what does scrolling mean?)
- Can't really gloss over *anything*
Confront Debugging

• Real life: you will debug other people's code
  – Any bug could be yours, partner's, ours, or Simics; you need to *find* it.

• *Can't* debug using only printf()
  – printf() *changes your code*
  – printf() may be broken by whatever breaks your code
  – Learn the Simics debugger
  – Assertions, consistency checks
  – Debugging code
On Investing

• A week of coding can sometimes save an hour of thought.
  – Josh Bloch
Confront Debugging

• \(\frac{1}{2}\) hour of studying the debugger
  – vs. 2 days of thrashing
• Papering over a problem
  – Re-ordering object files to avoid crash
Doing Well – Embrace the Experience

• Embrace the Unix development experience
  – If you try to keep it at arm's length it will slow you down

• Embrace the Simics debugger
  – If you try to keep it at arm's length it will slow you down

• Embrace source control
  – If you keep it at arm's length ...
Doing Well – Invest in Good Code

• Mentally commit to writing good code
  – Not just something kinda-ok
  – You will depend on your code

• Anand Thakker (Fall 2003)
  – Remind yourself that you love yourself...
  – ...so you should write good code for yourself
Doing Well – Start Early

• Starting a week late on a 2-week project will be bad

• Not making “just one” checkpoint can be bad
  – Missing two kernel-project checkpoints...
    • ...may make passing impossible.
Doing Well – Read Partner's Code

• You will *need* to read everything your partner wrote
  – (and answer test questions about it)

• Set up a mechanism
  – Daily meeting? Careful reading of merge logs?

• Do “one of each”
  – Partner does N-1 stub routines, you should do the hardest
Doing Well – Time for Design

- “Design” means you may need to think overnight
How to get an A

• Understand *everything*
  – (consider 2-3 ways to do each thing, pick the best)

• Write *genuinely excellent code*
  – asserts, good variable names, source control

• Document *before* coding
  – Actual 15-410 students do this!

• Read *all of* your partner's code

• Work *with* your partner (merge *continuously*)

• Be “done” *early, “just in case”*
First Item of Work

• Read the syllabus
  – It contains things you need to know
    • Things which will be painful surprises if you don't know them

• Thanks!
Further Reading

• “Sleep to Remember”
  - Matthew P. Walker
  - American Scientist, July/August 2006
  - “The brain needs sleep before and after learning new things, regardless of the type of memory. Naps can help, but caffeine isn't an effective substitute.”