15-410

"...Should we "crash"?..."

Errors
Sep. 25, 2006

Dave Eckhardt

Bruce Maggs

Error Handling

Three kinds of error

- **Hmm...**
- **That's not right...**
- **Uh-oh...**

Important to classify & react appropriately

“New Player” - Take 1

```
// Improve memory locality:
// store players in array
struct player players[MAX];
struct player *new_player(int team, int num)
{
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1)
    /* OH NO!!! */
    MAGIC_BREAK;
  }
  ...
}
```

“New Player” - Take 2

```
// Improve memory locality:
// store players in array
struct player players[MAX];
struct player *new_player(int team, int num)
{
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1)
    /* OH NO!!! */
    while(1);
  }
  ...
}
```

What's Going On?

"Out of table slots" - what kind of thing?

- Should really never happen?
- Might happen sometimes?
- Likely to happen once a day?
  - Remember: users always want 110%!

What to do?

- *Resolve* reasonable issues when possible
  - How to resolve this one?

“New Player” - Take 3

```
struct player *players;
int playerslots;
struct player *new_player(int team, int num)
{
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1)
    if ((i = grow_table_and_alloc()) == -1)
      /* OH NO!!! */
      while(1);
  }
  ...
}
```

What's Going On?

“Out of heap space” - what kind of thing?

- **Should really never happen?**
- **Might happen sometimes?**
- **Likely to happen once a day?**

What's Going On?

"Out of heap space" - what kind of thing?

- Should really never happen?
- Might happen sometimes?
- Likely to happen once a day?

My suggestion

- "Might happen sometimes"

What to do?

- Hard to say what the right thing is for all clients
  - Is it fatal or not?
- Often: pass the buck

“New Player” - Take 4

```
struct player *players;
int playerslots;
struct player *new_player(int team, int num)
{
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1)
    if ((i = grow_table_and_alloc()) == -1)
      return (NULL);
  }
  ...
}
```

“Free Player” - Take 1

```
void free_player(struct player *p)
{
  switch(player->role) {
  case CONTENDER:
    free(p->cstate); break;
  case REFEREE:
    free(p->refstate); break;
  }
  free(p->generic);
  mark_slot_available(p - players);
}
```

What's Wrong?

There is a sanity-check missing...

- **Probably somebody will make a mistake eventually**
- **Let's catch it**

“Free Player” - Take 2

```
void free_player(struct player *p)
{
  switch(player->role) {
  case CONTENDER:
    free(p->cstate); break;
  case REFEREE:
    free(p->refstate); break;
  default: return;
  }
  free(p->generic);
  mark_slot_available(p - players);
}
```

All Fixed?

No!

- The program *has a bug*
  - Maybe the client is passing us random player pointers
  - Maybe we are handing out invalid p->role values
- We happened to catch the bug this time
- We might not catch it every time!
  - A random player pointer might have a “valid” p->role

The program is *broken*

- Hiding the problem isn't our job
- Hiding the problem isn't even *defensible*

Should We “Crash”?

If the program is “broken”, should we “crash”?

- **Often: yes**
  - **Dumping core allows debugger inspection of the problem**
  - **Throwing running program into a debugger is probably nicer**

Summary

Three kinds of error

- Hmm...
  - Try to *resolve*
- That's not right...
  - Try to *report*
- Uh-oh...
  - Try to *help the developer* find the problem faster