15-410

"Computers make very fast, very accurate mistakes."
--Brandon Long

Hardware Overview
Sep. 7, 2005

Dave Eckhardt

Bruce Maggs

Synchronization

Today's class

- Not exactly Chapter 2 or 13

Project 0

- Due at midnight
- Consider *not* using a late day
  - Could be a valuable commodity later
- Remember, this is a warm-up
  - Reliance on these skills will increase rapidly

Upcoming

- Project 1
- Lecture on “The Process”

Synchronization

Personal Simics licenses

- Simics machine-simulator software is licensed
- We have enough "seats" for the class
  - Should work on most CMU-network machines
  - Will *not* work on most non-CMU-network machines
- Options
  - CMU "Address extension" service (non-encrypted VPN)
  - "Personal academic license" for a personal Linux box
    - » locked to your personal machine (MAC address)
    - » apply at www.simics.net (top right of page)

Synchronization

Simics on Windows?

- Simics simulator itself is available for Windows
- 15-410 build/debug infrastructure is not

Options

- Dual-boot, VMware
- Usability via X depends on network latency
  - Translation: performance ranges from “slow” to “unusably slow”
- Port to cygwin (may be non-trivial)
- There *are* those Andrew cluster machines...

Outline

Computer hardware

CPU State

Fairy tales about system calls

CPU context switch (intro)

Interrupt handlers

Interrupt masking

Sample hardware device – countdown timer

Inside The Box - Historical/Logical



Inside The Box - Really



CPU State

User registers (on Planet Intel)

- General purpose - %eax, %ebx, %ecx, %edx
- Stack Pointer - %esp
- Frame Pointer - %ebp
- Mysterious String Registers - %esi, %edi

CPU State

Non-user **registers, a.k.a....**

Processor status register(s)

- **Currently running: user code / kernel code?**
- **Interrupts on / off**
- **Virtual memory on / off**
- **Memory model**
  - **small, medium, large, purple, dinosaur**

CPU State

Floating Point Number registers

- Logically part of “User registers”
- Sometimes another “special” set of registers
  - Some machines don't have floating point
  - Some processes don't use floating point

Story time!

Time for some fairy tales

- The getpid() story (shortest legal fairy tale)
- The read() story (toddler version)
- The read() story (grade-school version)

The Story of getpid()

User process is computing

- User process calls getpid() library routine
- Library routine executes TRAP $314159
  - In Intel-land, TRAP is called “INT” (because it isn't one)

The world changes

- Some registers dumped into memory somewhere
- Some registers loaded from memory somewhere

The processor has *entered kernel mode*

User Mode



Entering Kernel Mode



Entering Kernel Mode



The Kernel Runtime Environment

Language runtimes differ

- ML: may be no stack ("nothing but heap")
- C: stack-based

Processor is more-or-less agnostic

- Some assume/mandate a stack

Trap handler builds kernel runtime environment

Depending on processor

- Switches to correct stack
- Saves registers
- Turns on virtual memory
- Flushes caches

The Story of getpid()

Process in kernel mode

- `running->u_reg[R_EAX] = running->u_pid;`

Return from interrupt

- Processor state restored to user mode
  - (modulo %eax)

User process returns to computing

- Library routine returns %eax as value of getpid()

Returning to User Mode



The Story of getpid()

What's the getpid() system call?

- C function you call to get your process ID
- “Single instruction” which modifies %eax
- Privileged code which can access OS internal state

A Story About read()

User process is computing

```
count = read(0, buf, sizeof (buf));
```

User process "goes to sleep"

Operating system issues disk read

Time passes

Operating system copies data to user buffer

User process "wakes up"

Another Story About read()

P1: read()

- Trap to kernel mode

Kernel: tell disk: "read sector 2781828"

Kernel: switch to running P2

- Return to user mode - but to P2, not P1!
- P1 is "blocked in system call"
  - Part-way through driver code
  - Marked "unable to execute more instructions"

P2: compute 1/3 of Mandelbrot set

Another Story About read()

Disk: done!

- Asserts “interrupt request” signal
- CPU stops running P2's instructions
- Interrupt to kernel mode
- Run “disk interrupt handler” code

Kernel: switch to P1

- Return from interrupt - but to P1, not P2!
- P2 is able to execute instructions, but not doing so

Interrupt Vector Table

How should CPU handle *this particular* interrupt?

- Disk interrupt ⇒ invoke disk driver
- Mouse interrupt ⇒ invoke mouse driver

Need to know

- Where to dump registers
  - Often: property of current process, not of interrupt
- New register values to load into CPU
  - Key: new program counter, new status register
    - » These define the new execution environment

Interrupt Dispatch/Return

Table lookup

- Interrupt controller says: this is interrupt source #3
- CPU fetches table entry #3
  - Table base-pointer programmed in OS startup
  - Table-entry size defined by hardware

Save old processor state

Modify CPU state according to table entry

Start running interrupt handler

“Return from interrupt” process

- Load saved processor state back into registers
- Restoring program counter reactivates “old” code

Example: x86/IA32

CPU saves old processor state

- Stored on “kernel stack”

CPU modifies state according to table entry

- Loads new privilege information, program counter

Interrupt handler begins

- Uses kernel stack for its own purposes

Interrupt handler completes

- Empties stack back to original state
- Invokes “interrupt return” (IRET) instruction
  - Registers loaded from kernel stack
  - Mode switched from “kernel” to “user”

IA32 Single-Task Mode Example



From intel-sys.pdf

Picture: Interrupt/Exception while in kernel mode (Project 1)

Hardware pushes registers on current stack, NO STACK CHANGE

- **EFLAGS (processor state)**
- **CS/EIP (return address)**
- **Error code (certain interrupts/faults, not others: see intel-sys.pdf)**
- **IRET restores state from EIP, CS, EFLAGS**

Race Conditions

Two concurrent activities

- Computer program, disk drive

Execution sequences produce various "answers"

- Disk interrupt *before* or *after* function call?

Execution orders are not controlled

- Either outcome is possible "randomly"

System produces random "answers"

- One answer or another "wins the race"

Race Conditions – Disk Device Driver

"Top half" wants to launch disk-I/O requests

- If disk is idle, send it the request
- If disk is busy, queue request for later

Interrupt handler action depends on queue status

- Queue empty ⇒ let disk go idle
- Queue non-empty ⇒ feed disk queued request

Various outcomes possible

- Disk interrupt *before* or *after* "queue empty" test?

System produces random "answers"

- Queue non-empty ⇒ transmit next request
- Queue non-empty ⇒ let disk go idle

Race Conditions – Driver Skeleton

```
dev_start(request) {
  if (device_idle)
    start_device(request);
  else
    enqueue(request);
}

dev_intr() {
  ...finish up previous request...
  if (new_request = head()) {
    start_device(new_request);
  }
}
```

Race Conditions – Good Case

aaaaaa

User process	*Interrupt handler*
`if (device_idle)`	
`/* no, so... */`	
`enqueue(request)`	
	`INTERRUPT`
	`...`
	`start_device (new_request);`
	`RETURN FROM INTERRUPT`

Race Conditions – Bad Case

aaaaaa

User process	*Interrupt handler*
`if (device_idle)`	
`/* no, so... */`	
	`INTERRUPT`
	`...`
	`device_idle = 1;`
	`RETURN FROM INTERRUPT`
`enqueue(request)`	

What Went Wrong?

"Top half" ran its algorithm

- Examine state
- Commit to action

Interrupt handler ran *its* algorithm

- Examine state
- Commit to action

Various outcomes possible

- Depends on exactly when interrupt handler runs

System produces random "answers"

- Study, avoid this in your P1!

Interrupt Masking

Two approaches

- Temporarily suspend/mask/defer device interrupt while checking and enqueueing
  - Will cover further before Project 1
- Or use a lock-free data structure
  - [left as an exercise for the reader]

Considerations

- Avoid blocking *all* interrupts
  - [not a big issue for 15-410]
- Avoid blocking too long
  - Part of Project 1, 3 grading criteria

Timer – Behavior

Simple behavior

- Count something
  - CPU cycles, bus cycles, microseconds
- When you hit a limit, signal an interrupt
- Reload counter to initial value
  - Done “in background” / “in hardware”
  - (Doesn't wait for software to do reload)

Summary

- No “requests”, no “results”
- Steady stream of evenly-distributed interrupts

Timer – Why?

Why interrupt a perfectly good execution?

Avoid CPU hogs

```
while (1)
  continue;
```

Maintain accurate time of day

- Battery-backed calendar counts only seconds (poorly)

Dual-purpose interrupt

- Timekeeping

```
++ticks_since_boot;
```

- Avoid CPU hogs: force process switch

Summary

Computer hardware

CPU State

Fairy tales about system calls

CPU context switch (intro)

Interrupt handlers

Interrupt masking

Sample hardware device – countdown timer