# Lecture 7: Fingerprinting 

David Woodruff

## How to Pick a Random Prime

- How to pick a random prime in the range $\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{M}\}$ ?
- Pick a random integer $X$ in the range $\{1, \ldots, M\}$.
- Check if $X$ is a prime. If so, output it. Else go back to the first step.
- How to pick a random integer X?
- Pick a uniformly random bit string of length $\left\lfloor\log _{2} \mathrm{M}\right\rfloor+1$
- If it represents a number $\leq M$, output $X$. Else go back to the last step
- In expectation, repeat this step at most twice
- How to check if X is prime?
- Miller-Rabin primality test very efficient but fails with tiny probability
- Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena has a worse running time, but deterministic
- How likely is X to be prime?


## Density of Primes

- Let $\pi(n)$ be the number of primes in the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$
- Prime Number Theorem: $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{\mathrm{n} / \ln \mathrm{n}}=1$
- Chebyshev: $\pi(\mathrm{n})>\mathrm{n} / \ln \mathrm{n}$ for every $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$
- If we want at least k primes in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, then $\mathrm{n} \geq 2 \mathrm{k} \lg \mathrm{k}$, if $\mathrm{k} \geq 4$
- Dusart: For $\mathrm{n}>60184$, we have $\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\ln \mathrm{n}-1.1}>\pi(\mathrm{n})>\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\ln \mathrm{n}-1}$


## String Equality Problem



- $x$ and $y$ are $N$-bit strings
- Alice and Bob want to exchange messages to decide if $x=y$
- Alice could send x to Bob but this takes N communication
- Is there a more efficient scheme?


## String Equality Problem

- Suppose we are OK if we achieve a probabilistic guarantee:
- If $x=y$, then $\operatorname{Pr[Bob~says~equal]~}=1$
- If $x \neq y$, then $\operatorname{Pr[Bob}$ says unequal $] \geq 1-\delta$
- Protocol
- Alice chooses a random prime $p$ from $\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$ for $M=\lceil 2 \cdot(5 N) \cdot \lg (5 N)\rceil$
- She sends Bob $p$ and the value $h_{p}(x)=x$ mod $p$, where we think of $x$ as an integer in $\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, 2^{\mathrm{N}}-1\right\}$
- If $h_{p}(x)=y$ mod $p$, Bob says equal, else he says unequal


## String Equality Problem

- Lemma: If $x=y$, then Bob always says equal
- Proof: If $x=y$, then $x \bmod p=y$ mod $p$. So Bob's test will always succeed
- Lemma: If $x \neq y$, then $\operatorname{Pr[Bob~says~equal]~} \leq .2$
- Proof: Interpret $x, y \in\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, 2^{N}-1\right\}$

If Bob says equal, then $x \bmod p=y \bmod p$, i.e., $(x-y)=0 \bmod p$
So $p$ divides $D=|x-y|$, and $D<2^{N}$
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{p}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ for primes $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ which may repeat
Since each $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \geq 2$, we have $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{N}$
$\operatorname{Pr}[p$ divides D$] \leq \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\text { number of primes in }\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{M}\}} \leq \frac{\mathrm{N}}{5 \mathrm{~N}}=\frac{1}{5}$ why?

## Communication Cost

- If Alice were to naively send $x$ to Bob, would take $N$ bits of communication
- Instead she sends a prime $p$ and $x \bmod p$, where $p$ is in $\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$ and $M=\lceil 2 \cdot(5 N) \cdot \lg (5 N)\rceil$
- Communication $=\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{M})=\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N}+\log \log \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$ bits


## Reducing the Error Probability

- We have $20 \%$ error probability, how to reduce it to $\delta$ ?
- Repeat the scheme $r=\log _{5}\left(\delta^{-1}\right)$ times independently with primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r} \in\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$, and $M=\lceil 2 \cdot(5 N) \cdot \lg (5 N)]$
- Bob outputs equal if and only if $x=y \bmod p_{i}$ for each $i$
- If $x=y$, Bob outputs equal with probability 1
- If $x \neq y$, Bob outputs equal with probability at most $\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{\lg _{5}\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)} \leq \delta$
- Communication cost is $\mathrm{O}(\log (1 / \delta) \log \mathrm{N})$. Can we do better?
- If instead Alice sets $\mathrm{M}=2 \cdot \mathrm{sN} \lg (\mathrm{sN})$, the number of primes in $\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{M}\}$ is at least $s \mathrm{~N}$, and so error probability is $1 / \mathrm{s}$. Set $\mathrm{s}=1 / \delta$.
- Communication is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{M})=\mathrm{O}(\log s+\log \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{O}(\log (1 / \delta)+\log \mathrm{N})$


## Fingerprinting (the Karp-Rabin Method)

- In the string-matching problem, we have
- A text T of length $m$
- A pattern $P$ of length $n$
- Goal: output all occurrences of the pattern P inside the text T
- If $\mathrm{T}=$ abracadabra and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{ab}$, the output should be $\{0,7\}$


## abracadabra

- Consider $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ for $\mathrm{x} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$, where we think of x as an integer in $\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right\}$


## Fingerprinting (the Karp-Rabin Method)

- $h_{p}(x)=x \bmod p$ for $x \in\{0,1\}^{n}$
- Create $x^{\prime}$ by dropping the most significant bit of $x$, and appending a bit to the right
- E.g., if $x=0011001$, then $x^{\prime}$ could be 0110010 or 0110011
- Given $h_{p}(x)=z$, can we compute $h_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ quickly?
- Suppose $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{lb}}^{\prime}$ is the lowest-order bit of $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hb}}$ is the highest order bit of x
- $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=2\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hb}} \cdot 2^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{lb}}{ }^{\prime}$
- Since $h_{p}(a+b)=\left(h_{p}(a)+h_{p}(b)\right) \bmod p$, and $h_{p}(2 a)=2 h_{p}(a) \bmod p$,

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)=\left(2 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hb}} \cdot \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{lb}}^{\prime}\right) \bmod \mathrm{p}
$$

- Given $h_{p}(x)$ and $h_{p}\left(2^{n}\right)$, this is just $O(1)$ arithmetic operations mod $p$


## Fingerprinting (the Karp-Rabin Method)

- $T_{a \ldots b}$ denotes the string from the $a$-th to $b$-th positions of $T$, inclusive
- Goal: output all locations a in $\{0,1, \ldots, m-n\}$ such that $T_{a} \ldots a+(n-1)=P$

1. Pick a random prime $p \in\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$ with $M=\lceil 2 s n \lg (s n)\rceil$ for some $s$
2. Compute $h_{p}(P)$ and $h_{p}\left(2^{n}\right)$ and store the results
3. Compute $h_{p}\left(T_{0 \ldots n-1}\right)$ and check if it equals $h_{p}(P)$. If so, output match at location 0
4. For each $i \in\{0, \ldots, m-n-1\}$, compute $h_{p}\left(T_{i+1 \ldots i+n}\right)$ using $h_{p}\left(T_{i \ldots i+n-1}\right)$ and $h_{p}\left(2^{n}\right)$. If $h_{p}\left(T_{i+1 \ldots i+n}\right)=h_{p}(P)$, output match at location $i+1$

## Error Probability

- $m-n+1 \leq m$ comparisons, each with probability at most $1 / s$ of failure
- By a union bound, the probability there is at least one failure is at most $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
- If $s=100 m$, we succeed on all comparisons with probability $\geq 99 / 100$
- $M=\lceil 2 s n \lg (s n)\rceil=O(m n \log (m n))$, so $O(\log m+\log n)$ bits to store
- Since $p$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, M\}, p$ takes $O(\log m+\log n)$ bits to store
- Assume unit-cost RAM model, so operations on $O(\log (m n))$ bits take $O(1)$ time


## Running Time

- Computing $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})$ for n -bit x can be done in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ time. Why?
- Generate powers of 2 , or use shifting
- So $h_{p}(P), h_{p}\left(2^{n}\right)$, and $h_{p}\left(T_{0, \ldots, n-1}\right)$ can be computed in $O(n)$ time
- Computing $h_{p}\left(T_{i+1 \ldots . . i+n}\right)$ using $h_{p}\left(T_{i . . . i+n-1}\right)$ and $h_{p}\left(2^{n}\right)$ can be done in $\mathrm{O}(1)$ time!
- Total time is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n})$, which is optimal


## Fingerprinting Extensions

- Fingerprinting also works for strings $\mathrm{x} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{q}-1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$
- Think of $x$ as an integer $\sum_{i=0, \ldots, n-1} q^{i} \cdot x_{i}$ in its $q$-ary representation
- Drop the leftmost digit of $x$ to create $x^{\prime}$, and append a digit to the right
- If $x=x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-3}, \ldots, x_{0}$, then $x^{\prime}=x_{n-2}, x_{n-3}, \ldots, x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}$
- $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \cdot \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\mathrm{x}_{0}{ }^{\prime}$
- $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \cdot \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\prime}\right) \bmod \mathrm{p}$
- Given $h_{p}(x)$ and $h_{p}\left(q^{n}\right)$, if $q<p$, computing $h_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ requires $O(1)$ arithmetic operations mod $p$


## Extensions

- How would you solve the following?
- Given an $\mathrm{m}_{1} \times \mathrm{m}_{2}$-bit rectangular binary text T , and an $\mathrm{n}_{1} \times \mathrm{n}_{2}$ - bit pattern $P$, where $n_{1} \leq m_{1}$ and $n_{2} \leq m_{2}$, find all occurrences of $P$ inside $T$. Show how to do this in $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right)$ time
- Assume you can do modular arithmetic of integers at most poly $\left(\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right)$ in $\mathrm{O}(1)$ time


## Extensions

- Walk through the columns of $T$, and create fingerprints $h_{q}\left(T_{\left[i, i+n_{1}-1\right], j}\right)$ of the $n_{1}$ values

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{n}_{1}-1, \mathrm{j}}
$$

- $\mathrm{q} \leq \operatorname{poly}\left(\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{1}\right)$
- Walk through the rows of $T$, and for the ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ )-th entry, create a fingerprint of the $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ values

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\left[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{n}_{1}-1\right], \mathrm{j}}\right), \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\left[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{n}_{1}-1\right], \mathrm{j}+1}\right), \ldots, \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\left[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{n}_{1}-1\right], \mathrm{j}+\mathrm{n}_{2}-1}\right)
$$

- Note: the fingerprints are of q-ary instead of binary strings, but when fingerprinting these strings we can use a prime $p \leq \operatorname{poly}\left(m_{1} m_{2} n_{1} n_{2}\right)$. Show this!
- Walking through the columns and rows and creating the fingerprints, and comparing with the hash of the pattern P , takes $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right)$ time

