

## 1 Introduction

Computational geometry is the design and analysis of algorithms for geometric problems that arise in low dimensions, typically two or three dimensions. Many elegant algorithmic design and analysis techniques have been devised to attack geometric problems. This is why I've included this topic in this course.

Some applications of CG:

Computer Graphics

- images creation
- hidden surface removal
- illumination

Robotics

- motion planning

Geographic Information Systems

- Height of mountains
- vegetation
- population
- cities, roads, electric lines

CAD/CAM computer aided design/computer aided manufacturing

Computer chip design and simulations

Scientific Computation

- Blood flow simulations
- Molecular modeling and simulations

Basic algorithmic design approaches:

- Divide-and-Conquer
- Line-Sweep (typically in 2D)
- Random Incremental

In this course there will be three lectures on computational geometry covering the following topics:

- Geometric primitives
- Convex hull in 2D
- Sweep line algorithm for intersecting a set of segments
- Two algorithms for the point location problem

## 1.1 Representations

The basic approach used by computers to handle complex geometric objects is to decompose the object into a large number of very simple objects. Examples:

- An image might be a 2D array of dots.
- An integrated circuit is a planar triangulation.
- Mickey Mouse is a surface of triangles

It is traditional to discuss geometric algorithms assuming that computing can be done on ideal objects, such as real valued points in the plane. The following chart gives some typical examples of representations.

Abstract Object	Representation
Real Number	Floating Point Number, Big Number
Point	Pair of Reals
Line	Pair of Points, An Equation
Line Segment	Pair of Endpoints
Triangle	Triple of points
Etc	

## 1.2 Using Points to Generate Objects

Suppose  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Below are several ways to use these points to generate more complex objects.

### Linear Combination


$$\text{Subspace} = \sum \alpha_i P_i \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

### Affine Combination

$$\text{Plane} = \sum \alpha_i P_i \quad \text{where} \quad \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

### Convex Combination

$$\text{Body} = \sum \alpha_i P_i \quad \text{where} \quad \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

e.g.   $= \left\{ \alpha p + \beta q + \gamma r \mid \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0 \right\}$

## 2 Primitive Operations



I'll be giving integer implementations of these primitives in ocaml. Let's start with some basic operations on vectors in 2D. The code below defines vector addition subtraction, cross product, dot product and the sign of a number.

```
let (--) (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1-x2, y1-y2)
let (++) (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1+x2, y1+y2)
let cross (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1*y2) - (y1*x2)
let dot (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1*x2) + (y1*y2)
let sign x = compare x 0
(* returns -1 if x<0, 0 if x=0 and 1 if x>0 *)
```

### Line Side Test

Given three points  $P_1, P_2, P_3$ , the output of the *line side test* is “LEFT” if the point  $P_3$  is to the left of ray  $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ , “RIGHT” if the point  $P_3$  is to the right of ray  $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ , and “ON” if it is on that ray.

The algorithm is to construct vectors  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  by subtracting  $P_1$  from  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  respectively. Then take the cross product of  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  and look at its value compared to 0.




$V_2 \times V_3 = \text{Signed area of parallelogram}$   
(in this case negative by the right hand rule.)

Here is an implementation of this test in ocaml which returns 1 if  $p_3$  is LEFT of ray  $p_1 \rightarrow p_2$ , -1 if RIGHT, and 0 if ON.

```
let line_side_test p1 p2 p3 = sign (cross (p2--p1) (p3--p1))
```

### Line segment intersection testing

Here we are given two line segments  $(a,b)$  and  $(c,d)$  (where  $a,b,c,d$  are points), and we have to determine if they cross. We can do this using four line-side tests, as illustrated here.



Segments cross iff  
 $c$  &  $d$  on opp. sides of  $a \rightarrow b$   
 $a$  &  $b$  on opp. sides of  $c \rightarrow d$

```

let segments_intersect (a,b) (c,d) =
  (line_side_test a b d) * (line_side_test a b c) <= 0 &&
  (line_side_test c d a) * (line_side_test c d b) <= 0

```

By changing the  $\leq$  into a  $<$ , this can be changed into a strict intersection test, which would require the segments to intersect at a point interior to both of them.

## In-circle test

Three non-colinear points determine a circle. The *in-circle test* will tell us the relationship of a fourth point to the circle determined by the other three points. So the test takes points  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  as inputs, and returns 1, 0, or  $-1$  as follows:

This returns 0 if the four points are on the same circle (or straight line.) Suppose I walk forward around the circle with my right hand on the circle from  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c$ . It returns 1 if  $d$  is on the same side of the circle as my body, and  $-1$  otherwise. It's a fourth degree function in the given coordinates.

```


let incircle (ax,ay) (bx,by) (cx,cy) (dx,dy) =
  let det ((a,b,c),(d,e,f),(g,h,i)) =
    a*(e*i - f*h) - b*(d*i - f*g) + c*(d*h - e*g)
  in

  let row ax dx ay dy =
    let a = ax - dx in
    let b = ay - dy in
    (a, b, (a*a) + (b*b))
  in
  sign (det (row ax dx ay dy, row bx dx by dy, row cx dx cy dy))

```

## Incircle

Does  $d$  lie on, inside, or  
outside of  $abc$ ?



$$\begin{vmatrix} a_x & a_y & a_x^2 + a_y^2 & 1 \\ b_x & b_y & b_x^2 + b_y^2 & 1 \\ c_x & c_y & c_x^2 + c_y^2 & 1 \\ d_x & d_y & d_x^2 + d_y^2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_x - d_x & a_y - d_y & (a_x - d_x)^2 + (a_y - d_y)^2 \\ b_x - d_x & b_y - d_y & (b_x - d_x)^2 + (b_y - d_y)^2 \\ c_x - d_x & c_y - d_y & (c_x - d_x)^2 + (c_y - d_y)^2 \end{vmatrix}$$

The picture above illustrates a case when the incircle test would return 1. (This figure was taken from <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~quake/robust.html>)

### 3 Computing the Convex Hull

This is the “sorting problem” of computational geometry. There are many algorithms for the problem, and there are often analogous to well-known sorting algorithms.

A point set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is *convex* if it is closed under convex combinations. That is, if we take any convex combination of any two points in  $A$ , the result is a point in  $A$ . In other words if when we walk along the straight line between any pair of points in  $A$  we find that the entire path is also inside of  $A$ , then the set  $A$  is convex.

We saw convex sets before when we talked about linear programming. One observation we used at that time is that the intersection of any two convex sets is convex.

**Definition:**  $\text{ConvexClosure}(A)$  = smallest convex set containing  $A$

This is well-defined and unique for any point set  $A$ . (We won’t prove this.) Assuming that the set  $A$  is a closed set of points we can define the convex hull of  $A$  as follows:


**Definition:**  $\text{ConvexHull}(A)$  = boundary of  $\text{ConvexClosure}(A)$ . (A point  $p$  is on the boundary of  $S$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a point within  $\epsilon$  of  $p$  that is inside  $S$  and also another point with  $\epsilon$  of  $p$  that is outside of  $S$ .)

These definitions are general and apply to any closed set of points.

For our purposes we’re only interested in the  $\text{ConvexClosure}(A)$  and  $\text{ConvexHull}(A)$  when  $A$  is a finite set of points. In this case the  $\text{ConvexClosure}$  will be a closed polyhedron.

A computer representation of a convex hull must include the combinatorial structure. In two dimensions, this just means a simple polygon in, say counter-clockwise order. (In three dimensions it’s a planar graph of vertices edges and faces) The vertices are a subset of the input points.

So in this context, a 2D convex hull algorithm takes as input a finite set of  $n$  points  $A \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and produces a list  $L$  of points from  $A$  which are the vertices of the  $\text{ConvexHull}(A)$  in counter-clockwise order.



This figure shows the convex hull of 10 points.

Today we’re going to focus on algorithms for convex hulls in 2-dimensions. We first present an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm, than refine it to run in  $O(n \log n)$ . To slightly simplify the exposition we’re going to assume that no three points of the input are colinear.

### 3.1 An $O(n^2)$ Algorithm for 2D Convex Hulls

First we give a trivial  $O(n^3)$  algorithm for convex hull. The idea is that a directed segment between a pair of points  $(P_i, P_j)$  is on the convex hull iff all other points  $P_k$  are to the left of the ray from  $P_i$  to  $P_j$ . Note that no point to the right of the ray can be in the convex hull because that entire half-plane is devoid of points from the input set. And the points on the segment  $(P_i, P_j)$  are in the ConvexClosure of the input points. Therefore the segment is on the boundary of the ConvexClosure. Therefore it is on the convex hull.

Here's the pseudo-code for this algorithm.

```


Slow-Hull( $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ ):
  For each distinct pair of indices  $(i, j)$  do
    if for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $k \neq i$  and  $k \neq j$ 
      it is the case that  $P_k$  is to the left of segment  $(P_i, P_j)$ 
    Then output  $(i, j)$ .
  done

```

(To make this into a proper convex hull algorithm, a final pass would be required to turn this list of pairs of indices into an ordered list of points in counterclockwise order.)

To get this to run in  $O(n^2)$  time we just have to be a bit more organized.

The first observation is that if we take the point with the lowest  $y$ -coordinate, this point must be on the convex hull. Call it  $P_s$ . Suppose we now measure the angle from  $P_s$  to all the other points. These angles range from 0 to  $\pi$ . If we take the point  $P_t$  with the smallest such angle, then we know that  $(P_s, P_t)$  is on the convex hull. The following figure illustrates this.



All the other points must be to the left of segment  $(P_s, P_t)$ . We can continue this process to find the point  $P_u$  which is the one with the smallest angle with respect to  $(P_s, P_t)$ . This process is continued until all the points are exhausted. The running time is  $O(n)$  to find each segment. There are  $O(n)$  segments, so the algorithm is  $O(n^2)$ .

Actually we don't need to compute angles. The line-side-test can be used for this instead. For example look at what happens after we've found  $P_s$  and  $P_t$ . We process possibilities for the next point in any order. Say we start from  $a$  in the figure. Then we try  $b$ , and note that  $b$  is on the right side of segment  $(P_t, a)$  so we jettison  $a$  and continue with  $(P_t, b)$ . But then we then throw out  $b$  in


favor of  $c$ . It turns out that the remaining points are all to the left of segment  $(P_t, c)$ . Thus  $c = P_u$  is the next point on the convex hull.

### 3.2 Graham Scan, an $O(n \log n)$ Algorithm for 2D Convex Hulls


We can convert this into an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm with a slight tweak. Instead of processing the points in an arbitrary order, we process them in order of increasing angle with respect to point  $p_s$ .

Let's relabel the points so that  $P_0$  is the starting point, and  $P_1, P_2 \dots$  are the remaining points in order of increasing angle with respect to  $P_0$ . From the discussion above we know that  $(P_0, P_1)$  is an edge of the convex hull.


The Graham Scan works as follows. We main a “chain” of points that starts with  $P_0, P_1, \dots$ . This chain has the property that each step is always a left turn with respect to the previous element of the chain. We try to extend the chain by taking the next point in the sorted order. If this has a left turn with respect to the current chain, we keep it. Otherwise we remove the last element of the chain (repeatedly) until the chain is again restored to be all left turns. Here's an example of the algorithm.





At this point we've formed the chain  $P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$ . But the last step (from  $P_3$  to  $P_4$ ) is a right turn. So we delete  $P_3$  from the chain. Now we have:



Now at  $P_2$  we have a right turn, so we remove it, giving:



Now the process continues with points  $P_5$  and  $P_6$ . When  $P_6$  is added,  $P_5$  becomes a right turn, so it's removed.



After all the points are processed in this way, we can just add the last segment from  $P_{n-1}$  to  $P_0$ , to close the polygon, which will be the convex hull.

Each point can be added at most once to the sequence of vertices, and each point can be removed at most once. Thus the running time of the scan is  $O(n)$ . But remember we already paid  $O(n \log n)$  for sorting the points at the beginning of the algorithm, which makes the overall running time of the algorithm  $O(n \log n)$ .

The reason this algorithm works is because whenever we delete a point we have implicitly shown that it is a convex combination of other points. For example when we deleted  $P_3$  we know that it is inside of the triangle formed by  $P_0$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_4$ . Because of the presorting  $P_3$  is to the left of  $(P_0, P_2)$ , and to the right of  $(P_0, P_4)$ . And because  $(P_2, P_3, P_4)$  is a right turn,  $P_3$  is to the left of  $(P_2, P_4)$ .

At the end the chain is all left turns, with nothing outside of it. Therefore it must be the convex hull.


Complete ocaml code for the graham scan is at the end of these notes.

### 3.3 Lower bound for computing the convex hull

Suppose the input to a sorting problem is  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Consider computing the convex hull of the following set of points:

$$(X_1, X_1^2), \dots, (X_n, X_n^2)$$

All of these points are on the convex hull (they're on a parabola). Thus they are returned in the order they appear along the parabola. No matter which convex hull algorithm is used, the points can be reflected and/or cyclically shifted so that their x coordinates are in sorted order. Thus, they can be sorted by computing a convex hull followed by  $O(n)$  additional work. Thus any comparison based convex hull algorithm must make  $\Omega(n \log n)$  comparisons. The figure below illustrates this phenomenon.



### 3.4 Ocaml code for the Graham Scan convex hull algorithm

```
let (--) (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1-x2, y1-y2)
let (++) (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1+x2, y1+y2)
let cross (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1*y2) - (y1*x2)
let dot (x1,y1) (x2,y2) = (x1*x2) + (y1*y2)
let sign x = compare x 0

let line_side_test p1 p2 p3 = sign (cross (p2--p1) (p3--p1))
(* Which side of ray p1-->p2 is p3 on? This returns 1 for
   "LEFT", 0 for "ON" and -1 for "RIGHT". *)

let len (x,y) =
  let sq a = a*.a in
  let (x,y) = (float x, float y) in
  sqrt ((sq x) +. (sq y))

let graham_convex_hull points =
  let inf = max_int in
  let base = List.fold_left min (inf,inf) points in

  let points = List.sort (
    fun pi pj ->
      if pi=pj then 0
      else if pi=base then 1
      else if pj=base then -1
      else line_side_test base pj pi
  ) points in

  (* now the list starts at p1, and base is at the end of the list *)
  let rec scan chain points =
    let (c1,c2,chainx) = match chain with
      | c1::((c2::_) as chainx) -> (c1,c2,chainx)
      | _ -> failwith "chain must have length at least 2"
    in
    match points with [] -> chain
    | pt::tail ->
      match line_side_test c2 c1 pt with
      | 1 -> scan (pt::chain) tail
      | -1 -> scan chainx points
      | _ ->
        if len (pt--c2) > len (c1--c2)
        then scan (pt::chainx) tail
        else scan chain tail
  in
  match points with
  | (p1::(_::_) as rest) -> List.tl(scan [p1;base] rest);
  | _ -> points (* do nothing if < 3 points *)

let print_list l =
  List.iter (fun (x,y) -> Printf.printf "(%d,%d) " x y) l;
  print_newline()
let () = print_list (graham_convex_hull [(0,0);(0,2);(2,2);(2,0);(1,1)])

-----
output:
(0,2) (2,2) (2,0) (0,0)
```