Great Ideas in Theoretical CS

Lecture 9: Time Complexity

Anil Ada
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ADDING TWO $n$-BIT NUMBERS
Adding two \( n \)-bit numbers

Grade school addition
Time Complexity

• $T(n) =$ amount of time grade school addition takes to add two $n$-bit numbers
• What do we mean by “time”? 
• Given algorithm will take different amounts of time on the same input depending on hardware, compiler, ...

How do I define “time” in a way that transcends implementation details?
A GREAT IDEA

- On any reasonable computer, adding 3 bits and writing down the 2 bit answer can be done in constant time.
- For a computer $M$, let $c$ be the time it takes to perform $\square$ on $M$.
- The total time to add two $n$-bit numbers using grade school addition on $M$ is $c \cdot n$.
- On $M'$, the time to perform $\square$ could be $c'$.
- The total time on $M'$ is $c' n$. 
A GREAT IDEA

- The fact that we get a line is invariant under different implementations.
- Different machines result in different slopes, but the running time grows linearly.
A GREAT IDEA

• Conclusion: Grade school addition is a linear time algorithm

This process of abstracting away details and determining the rate of resource usage in terms of the problem size $n$ is one of the fundamental ideas in computer science.
MULTIPLYING TWO $n$-BIT NUMBERS

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\times \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast & \ast \\
\end{array}
\]

$n^2$
LINEAR VS. QUADRATIC

• Total time to multiply: $cn^2$
• Addition is linear time, multiplication is quadratic time
• Regardless of the constants, the quadratic curve will eventually dominate the linear curve
NURSERY SCHOOL ADDITION

• To add two \( n \)-bit numbers \( a \) and \( b \), start at \( a \) and increment (by 1) \( b \) times
• What is \( T(n) \)?
• If \( b = 00 \cdots 0 \), NSA takes almost no time
• Poll 1: If \( b = 11 \cdots 1 \), NSA takes time
  1. \( c (\log n)^2 \)
  2. \( cn \log n \)
  3. \( cn^2 \)
  4. \( cn2^n \)
WORST CASE TIME

Worst-case running time $T(n)$ of algorithm $A = \text{the maximum over all feasible inputs } x \text{ of size } n$ of the running time of $A$ on $x$
MORE FORMALLY: $O$

- For a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) = O(n)$ if there exists a constant $c$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$, $f(n) \leq cn$
- Informally: There is a line that can be drawn that stays above $f$ from some point on
More formally: $\Omega$

- For a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) = \Omega(n)$ if there exists a constant $c$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$, $f(n) \geq cn$
- Informally: There is a line that can be drawn that stays below $f$ from some point on.
More formally: $\Theta$

- For a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) = \Theta(n)$ if $f(n) = O(n)$ and $f(n) = \Omega(n)$
- Informally: $f$ can be sandwiched between two lines from some point on
MORE FORMALLY AND GENERALLY

- $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if there exists a constant $c$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$, $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$
- $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ if there exists a constant $c$ such that for all sufficiently large $n$, $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
EXERCISES

- $n^4 + 3n + 22 = O(n^4)$?
- $n^4 + 3n + 22 = \Omega(n^4 \log n)$?
- **Poll 2:** Which of the following statements is true:
  1. $\ln n = O(\log_2 n)$
  2. $\ln n = \Omega(\log_2 n)$
  3. Both
  4. Neither
EXERCISES

• Poll 3: \( \log(n!) = ? \)
  1. \( \Theta(n) \)
  2. \( \Theta(n \log n) \)
  3. \( \Theta(n^2) \)
  4. \( \Theta(2^n) \)

• Poll 4: Which of the following statements is true:
  1. \( f = O(g) \) and \( g = O(h) \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( f = O(h) \)
  2. \( f = O(h) \) and \( g = O(h) \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( f = O(g) \)
  3. Both
  4. Neither
**Names for Growth Rates**

- **Linear time:** $T(n) = O(n)$
- **Quadratic time:** $T(n) = O(n^2)$
- **Polynomial time:** there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T(n) = O(n^k)$
  - Example: $13n^{28} + 11n^{17} + 2$

Polynomial time = computationally efficient
Names of Growth Rates

• **Exponential time:** there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T(n) = O(k^n)$
  - Example: $T(n) = n2^n = O(3^n)$

• **Logarithmic time:** $T(n) = O(\log n)$
  - A logarithmic-time algorithm can’t read all of its input
  - The running time of binary search is logarithmic
LIMITS OF THE POSSIBLE

Log-log plot with 1 step = 1μs
**Two Similar Problems**

- **Eulerian-Cycle:**
  - Instance: A connected graph
  - Input size: Number of vertices
  - Question: Is there a tour visiting each edge exactly once?

- Algorithm: The answer is “yes” if and only if each vertex has even degree; complexity $O(n^2)$

- **Theorem (Euler):** The algorithm correctly solves **Eulerian-Cycle**
APPLICATION: DRAGON AGE

This is nothing but the EULERIAN-PATH problem!
TWO SIMILAR PROBLEMS

• **HAMILTONIAN-CYCLE:**
  - Instance: A connected graph
  - Input size: Number of vertices
  - Question: Is there a tour visiting each vertex exactly once?

• Complexity:
  - Brute force algorithm: $n!$
  - 1970: $2^n$
  - 2010: $1.657^n$
The huge gap in running time between polynomial time and exponential time usually corresponds to a huge gap in our understanding of the problem.
**Representation**

- The way a problem is represented can have a huge impact on its complexity

**Knapsack:**

- Instance: $m$ items $1, \ldots, m$ with values $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ and weights $w_1, \ldots, w_m$, capacity $B$, value $V$
- Input size: We’ll talk about this later
- Question: Is there a subset of items $S$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq B$ and $\sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq V$
Representation

- Dynamic programming algorithm for **Knapsack**:
  - $m \times B$ matrix $A$
  - $A(i, j) = \max\{A(i - 1, j), A(i - 1, j - w_i) + v_i\}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$B = 5$

Items allowed

Capacity allowed
REPRESENTATION

• Running time of the dynamic programming algorithm: $\Theta(mB)$

• **Binary** representation for **KNAPSACK**:
  - Input size: $n \approx 2m \cdot \max\{\log B, \log V\}$
  - Exponential running time!

• **Unary** representation for **KNAPSACK**:
  - Input size: $n \approx 2m \cdot \max\{B, V\}$
  - Linear running time!
Cool growth rates: 2STACK

- \(2\text{STACK}(0) = 1\)
- \(2\text{STACK}(n) = 2^{2\text{STACK}(n-1)}\)
- Examples:
  - \(2\text{STACK}(1) = 2\)
  - \(2\text{STACK}(2) = 4\)
  - \(2\text{STACK}(3) = 16\)
  - \(2\text{STACK}(4) = 65536\)
  - \(2\text{STACK}(5) = \text{yikes!}\)
COOL GROWTH RATES: \text{log}^*  

- \text{log}^*(n) = \# \text{times you have to apply the log function to } n \text{ to make it } \leq 1

- Examples:
  - 2STACK(1) = 2 \quad \text{log}^*(2) = 1
  - 2STACK(2) = 4 \quad \text{log}^*(4) = 2
  - 2STACK(3) = 16 \quad \text{log}^*(16) = 3
  - 2STACK(4) = 65536 \quad \text{log}^*(65536) = 4
  - 2STACK(5) = yikes! \quad \text{log}^*(\text{yikes!}) = 5
COOL GROWTH RATES: $\log^*$

- There’s no way $\log^*$ is actually useful, right?
- Multiplication takes $O(n \log n \ 2^{\log^* n})$

Optimal Social Choice Functions: A Utilitarian View
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THEOREM 3.3. There exists a randomized social choice function $f$ such that for every $\bar{\sigma} \in (S_m)^n$, $\text{dist}(f, \bar{\sigma}) = O(\sqrt{m} \cdot \log^* m)$. 
SUMMARY

• Terminology:
  o Big O notation
  o Names for growth rates

• Principles:
  o Why polynomial time?
  o Representation matters