

## Solutions to Assignment 4

Solutions to problems 1,2 and 3 were obtained from Qirong Ho.

1

(a)

We seek a bound on the bias of  $\hat{p}_{n,s}(x)$  at a point  $x_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0)] &\leq \left| \mathbb{E} [\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0)] \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{nh^{s+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{X_i - x_0}{h}\right) - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{h^{s+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[ K\left(\frac{X - x_0}{h}\right) \right] - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{h^{s+1}} \int K\left(\frac{x - x_0}{h}\right) p(x) dx - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t = \frac{x-x_0}{h}$ ,  $\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{1}{h}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| \frac{1}{h^s} \int K(t) p(th + x_0) dt - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right| \\ &= \left| \int K(t) h^{-s} (p(th + x_0) - p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0)) dt + \int K(t) h^{-s} p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0) dt - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int K(t) h^{-s} (p(th + x_0) - p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0)) dt \right| + \left| \int K(t) h^{-s} p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0) dt - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right| \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{x_0, \beta}(u)$  is the  $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ -order Taylor expansion of  $p(u)$  at a point  $x_0$ :

$$p_{x_0, \beta}(u) = \sum_{|i| \leq \lfloor \beta \rfloor} \frac{(u - x_0)^i}{i!} p^{(i)}(x_0)$$

Since  $p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)$ , we have that

$$|p(th + x_0) - p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0)| \leq L |th|^\beta$$

and therefore the first term in Eq. (1) is bounded:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int K(t) h^{-s} (p(th + x_0) - p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0)) dt \right| &\leq \left| \int K(t) h^{-s} L |th|^\beta dt \right| \\ &= h^{\beta-s} L \int K(t) |t|^\beta dt \\ &= h^{\beta-s} c \end{aligned}$$

where  $c = L \int K(t) |t|^\beta dt > 0$ . Next, observe that  $p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0)$  is polynomial in  $t$  with degree  $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ . In particular, the  $s$ -th order term is  $\frac{(th)^s}{s!} p^{(s)}(x_0)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int K(t) h^{-s} p_{x_0, \beta}(th + x_0) dt &= \int K(t) h^{-s} \frac{(th)^s}{s!} p^{(s)}(x_0) dt \quad (\text{only the } s\text{-th moment of } K \text{ is nonzero}) \\ &= p^{(s)}(x_0) \int K(t) \frac{t^s}{s!} dt \\ &= p^{(s)}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the second term in Eq. (1) is zero. We therefore conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} [\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0)] \leq h^{\beta-s} c \quad \forall p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)$$

and thus

$$\sup_{p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \mathbb{E} [\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0)] \leq h^{\beta-s} c$$

(b)

We seek a bound on the variance of  $\hat{p}_{n,s}(x)$  at a point  $x_0$ . Let

$$Z_i = \frac{1}{h^{s+1}} K \left( \frac{X_i - x_0}{h} \right)$$

so that

$$\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(Z_i) = \mathbb{V}(Z) &\leq \mathbb{E}(Z^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{h^{2s+2}} \int K^2 \left( \frac{x - x_0}{h} \right) p(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t = \frac{x-x_0}{h}$ ,  $\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{1}{h}$ :

$$= \frac{1}{h^{2s+1}} \int K^2(t) p(th + x_0) dt$$

$p(x) \in \Sigma(\beta, L)$  implies that  $p(x)$  has a bounded first derivative (assuming  $\beta \geq 1$ ), and therefore  $\sup_{x_0} p(x_0)$  is finite. Hence we can bound  $p(th + x_0)$  inside the integral by  $\sup_{x_0} p(x_0)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{h^{2s+1}} \int K^2(t) \sup_{x_0} p(x_0) dt \\ &= \frac{\sup_{x_0} p(x_0)}{h^{2s+1}} \int K^2(t) dt \\ &= \frac{c'}{h^{2s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c' = \sup_{x_0} p(x_0) \int K^2(t) dt > 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0)) &= \mathbb{V}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\right) \\ &\leq \frac{c'}{nh^{2s+1}} \quad \forall p \in \Sigma(\beta, L) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \mathbb{V}(\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0)) \leq \frac{c'}{nh^{2s+1}}$$

(c)

Combining (a), (b) gives us a bound on the MSE:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] &= \sup_{p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \text{Bias}^2(\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0)) + \mathbb{V}(\hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0)) \\ &\leq h^{2\beta-2s} c^2 + \frac{c'}{nh^{2s+1}} \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] \leq h^{2\beta-2s} c^2 + \frac{c'}{nh^{2s+1}}$$

Choosing the optimal bandwidth implies minimizing the RHS wrt  $h$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dh} h^{2\beta-2s} c^2 + \frac{c'}{nh^{2s+1}} &= 0 \\ (2\beta - 2s) h^{2\beta-2s-1} c^2 + \frac{(-2s-1)c'}{nh^{2s+2}} &= 0 \\ (2\beta - 2s) h^{2\beta-2s-1} c^2 &= \frac{(2s+1)c'}{nh^{2s+2}} \\ h^{2\beta+1} &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{(2s+1)c'}{c^2(2\beta-2s)} \\ h &= n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} C_0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_0 = \left( \frac{(2s+1)c'}{c^2(2\beta-2s)} \right)^{1/(2\beta+1)}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{p \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \hat{p}_{n,s}(x_0) - p^{(s)}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] &\leq \left( n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} C_0 \right)^{2\beta-2s} c^2 + \frac{c'}{n \left( n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} C_0 \right)^{2s+1}} \\ &= n^{-\frac{2(\beta-s)}{2\beta+1}} \left( C_0^{2(\beta-s)} c^2 \right) + \frac{c'}{nn^{-\frac{2s+1}{2\beta+1}} C_0^{2s+1}} \\ &= n^{-\frac{2(\beta-s)}{2\beta+1}} \left( C_0^{2(\beta-s)} c^2 \right) + \frac{c'}{n^{-\frac{2s-2\beta}{2\beta+1}} C_0^{2s+1}} \\ &= n^{-\frac{2(\beta-s)}{2\beta+1}} \left( C_0^{2(\beta-s)} c^2 + \frac{c'}{C_0^{2s+1}} \right) \\ &= C n^{-\frac{2(\beta-s)}{2\beta+1}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = C_0^{2(\beta-s)} c^2 + \frac{c'}{C_0^{2s+1}}$ . The above argument holds for all  $n$ , so it also holds as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(d)

We are given

$$\begin{aligned} K(u) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \phi_m(u) \mathbb{I}\{|u| \leq 1\} \\ \phi_0(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1] \\ \phi_m(x) &= \sqrt{\frac{2m+1}{2}} \frac{1}{2^m m!} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left[ (x^2 - 1)^m \right], \quad \forall m \geq 1, x \in [-1, 1] \\ \int_{-1}^1 \phi_j(x) \phi_k(x) dx &= \delta_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\phi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal basis, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} u^j &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i \phi_i(u) \\ \theta_i &= \int_{-1}^1 u^j \phi_i(u) du \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int u^j K(u) du &= \int_{-1}^1 u^j \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \phi_m(u) du \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \int_{-1}^1 u^j \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \phi_m(u) du \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \int_{-1}^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_{ji} \phi_i(u) \right) \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \phi_m(u) du \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_{ji} \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i(u) \phi_m(u) du \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \theta_m \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \end{aligned}$$

We now consider cases:

- Case 1  $j < s$ . Observe that  $\phi_m^{(s)}(0) = 0$  whenever  $m < s$ , since  $\phi_m$  is an  $m$ -th degree polynomial. Hence

$$\int u^j K(u) du = \sum_{m=s}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \theta_m \phi_m^{(s)}(0)$$

Because  $u^j$  is a  $j$ -th degree polynomial,  $\theta_i = 0$  for all  $i > j$ . Therefore

$$\int u^j K(u) du = \sum_{m=s}^j \theta_m \phi_m^{(s)}(0)$$

But  $j < s$ , so the sum is empty, and therefore equals zero.

- Case 2  $j = s$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u^j &= \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i(u) \\ \frac{d^j}{du^j} u^j &= \frac{d^j}{du^j} \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i(u) \\ j! &= \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i^{(j)}(u) \\ j! &= \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i^{(j)}(0) \quad (\phi_i^{(j)}(u) \text{ is constant for all } i \leq j) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore when  $j = s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\int u^j K(u) du &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^s \theta_i \phi_i(u) \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \phi_m(u) du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^s \theta_i \phi_i^{(s)}(0) \\ &= s!\end{aligned}$$

- Case 3  $j > s$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}u^j &= \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i(u) \\ \frac{d^s}{du^s} u^j &= \frac{d^s}{du^s} \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i(u) \\ \frac{j!}{(j-s)!} u^{j-s} &= \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i^{(s)}(u)\end{aligned}$$

When  $u = 0$ , we get

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^j \theta_i \phi_i^{(s)}(0)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int u^j K(u) du &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i(u) \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} \phi_m^{(s)}(0) \phi_m(u) du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^j \theta_i \phi_i^{(s)}(0) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

2

(a)

We handle the proof by cases:

- Clearly the equation equals 1 when both  $j, k = 1$ .
- Suppose WLOG that  $j = 1, k$  is even. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi ks}{n}\right) = 0$$

- Suppose WLOG that  $j = 1, k > 1$  is odd. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{\pi(k-1)s}{n}\right) = 0$$

- Suppose that  $j, k$  are even. If  $j \neq k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi j s}{n}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi k s}{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j-k)s}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j+k)s}{n}\right) \\
&= 0 + 0 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

If  $j = k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos(0) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j s}{n}\right) \\
&= 1 + 0 = 1
\end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that  $j, k > 1$  are odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi(j-1)s}{n}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi(k-1)s}{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j-k)s}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi(j+k-2)s}{n}\right) \\
&= 0 - 0 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

If  $j = k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos(0) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(j-1)s}{n}\right) \\
&= 1 - 0 = 1
\end{aligned}$$

- Finally, suppose WLOG that  $j$  is even and  $k > 1$  is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \varphi_j(s/n) \varphi_k(s/n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi j s}{n}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi(k-1)s}{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{\pi(j+k-1)s}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{\pi(j-k+1)s}{n}\right) \\
&= 0 - 0 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \varphi_j(X_i)\right] - \int_0^1 f(x) \varphi_j(x) dx \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) + \epsilon_i) \varphi_j(X_i)\right] - \int_0^1 f(x) \varphi_j(x) dx \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) \varphi_j(X_i)\right] - \int_0^1 f(x) \varphi_j(x) dx \quad (\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i] = 0) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) - \int_0^1 f(x) \varphi_j(x) dx \quad (X_i = i/n \text{ are nonrandom}) \\
&= \alpha_j
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta}_j - \mathbb{E} [\hat{\theta}_j])^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} [\hat{\theta}_j^2] - \mathbb{E} [\hat{\theta}_j]^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) + \epsilon_i) \varphi_j(X_i) \right)^2 \right] - (\alpha_j + \theta_j)^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^n (f(X_i) + \epsilon_i) \varphi_j(X_i) (f(X_h) + \epsilon_h) \varphi_j(X_h) \right] - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right)^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) \varphi_j(X_i) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2 \varphi_j(X_i)^2 \right] - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right)^2 \quad (\mathbb{E} [\epsilon_i] = 0) \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\epsilon_i^2 \varphi_j(i/n)^2] - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 \varphi_j(i/n)^2 \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_j(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j)^2 \right] &= \text{MSE}_{\theta_j} (\hat{\theta}_j) \\
&= \mathbb{E} [\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j]^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta}_j - \mathbb{E} [\hat{\theta}_j])^2 \right] \\
&= \alpha_j^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \hat{f}_{nN}(x) - f(x) \right)^2 dx \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\theta}_j \varphi_j(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 dx \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j) \varphi_j(x) - \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 dx \right] \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j) \varphi_j(x) - \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 \right] dx \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j) \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E} [\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j] \varphi_j(x) \right) \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right) + \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 dx \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j) (\hat{\theta}_k - \theta_k) \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \varphi_j(x) \right) \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right) + \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 dx \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j) (\hat{\theta}_k - \theta_k) \int_0^1 \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) dx \right] \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right)^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \varphi_j(x) \right) \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \varphi_j(x) \right) dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j)^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j \theta_k \int_0^1 \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) dx - 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \theta_k \int_0^1 \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) dx \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2 N}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j^2 + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j^2
\end{aligned}$$

(f)

For all  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |\alpha_j| &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{\theta}_j \right] - \theta_j \right| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) - \theta_j \right| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k \varphi_k(i/n) \right) \varphi_j(i/n) - \theta_j \right| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) - \theta_j \right| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \theta_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) - \theta_j \right| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta_k \delta_{kj} - \theta_j + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \theta_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \theta_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| \theta_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that  $|\varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n)| \leq 2$  for all  $k, j, i, n$ , which also implies  $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k(i/n) \varphi_j(i/n) \right| \leq 2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\theta_k(2)| \\
&= 2 \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\theta_m|
\end{aligned}$$

(g)

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\theta_m| &\leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m-1)^{\beta}} |\theta_m| \quad \left(\frac{a_m}{(m-1)^{\beta}} \geq 1\right) \\
&\leq \sqrt{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1)^{2\beta}}} \sqrt{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2} \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\
&\leq \sqrt{\int_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2\beta}} dx} \sqrt{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2} \quad (\text{integral is an upper bound to the sum}) \\
&= \sqrt{\left[\frac{x^{-2\beta+1}}{-2\beta+1}\right]_n^{\infty}} \sqrt{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\left[0 - \frac{n^{-2\beta+1}}{-2\beta+1}\right]} \sqrt{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2} \\
&= \frac{n^{-\beta+1/2}}{2\beta-1} \sqrt{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2} \\
&\leq \frac{n^{-\beta+1/2}}{2\beta-1} \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2} \\
&\leq \frac{n^{-\beta+1/2}}{2\beta-1} \sqrt{Q}
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |\alpha_j| &\leq 2 \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |\theta_m| \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{Q}}{2\beta-1} n^{-\beta+1/2} \\
&= C n^{-\beta+1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C = \frac{2\sqrt{Q}}{2\beta-1}$ .

(h)

We have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \hat{f}_{nN}(x) - f(x) \right)^2 dx \right] = \frac{\sigma^2 N}{n} + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j^2 + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j^2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j^2 &\leq N \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \alpha_j^2 \\
&= N \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\alpha_j| \right)^2 \\
&\leq N \left( C(N+1)^{-\beta+1/2} \right)^2 \quad (\text{from part (g)}) \\
&= O\left(\frac{C^2}{N^{2\beta-2}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_j^2 \leq \frac{Q}{N^{2\beta}}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2 \theta_m^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2m-2)^{2\beta} \theta_{2m-1}^2 + (2m)^{2\beta} \theta_{2m}^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2m-2)^{2\beta} \theta_{2m-1}^2 + (2m-1)^{2\beta} \theta_{2m}^2 \quad (\text{since } x^\beta \text{ monotone, } \theta_{2m}^2 \geq 0) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m-1)^{2\beta} \theta_m^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} (m-1)^{2\beta} \theta_m^2 \\
&\geq (n-1)^{2\beta} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \theta_m^2 \\
\frac{Q}{(n-1)^{2\beta}} &\geq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \theta_m^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since the previous arguments apply to all  $\theta \in \Theta(\beta, Q)$ , they also apply to the supremum over  $\theta$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\theta \in \Theta(\beta, Q)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \hat{f}_{nN}(x) - f(x) \right)^2 dx \right] &\leq \frac{\sigma^2 N}{n} + O\left(\frac{C^2}{N^{2\beta-2}}\right) + \frac{Q}{N^{2\beta}} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2 \left\lfloor \alpha n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \right\rfloor}{n} + O\left(\frac{C^2}{\left(\left\lfloor \alpha n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \right\rfloor\right)^{2\beta-2}}\right) + \frac{Q}{\left(\left\lfloor \alpha n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \right\rfloor\right)^{2\beta}} \\
&= O\left(n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\right) + O\left(n^{-\frac{2\beta-2}{2\beta+1}}\right) + O\left(n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\right) \\
&= O\left(n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\right) \\
&= C_0 n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_0$  depends on  $\beta, Q, \alpha, \sigma^2$ .

(a)

The mean of  $\hat{\mu}_{bag}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{bag}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{bag} | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^* + Z_i^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n\right]\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n]] \quad (Y_i^*, Z_i^* \text{ are iid}) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] \\
&= \mu
\end{aligned}$$

The variance of  $\hat{\mu}_{bag}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\hat{\mu}_{bag}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}[\hat{\mu}_{bag} | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n]] + \mathbb{V}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{bag} | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^* + Z_i^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n\right]\right] + \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^* + Z_i^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n\right]\right] + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $Y_i^*, Z_i^*$  are iid from  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , let  $U_i^* = Y_i^*$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $U_{n+i}^* = Z_i^*$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} U_i^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n\right]\right] + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{V}[U_i^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n]\right] + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(U^*)^2 | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n\right] - \mathbb{E}[U^* | \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n]^2\right] + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) - \bar{X}^2\right] + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} \left(\mathbb{V}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 - \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}] - \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]\right) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} - \mu^2}{2n} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{2n^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Compare this to the variance of  $\bar{X}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}] &= \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{V}[X] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

This is greater than  $\mathbb{V}[\hat{\mu}_{bag}] = \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{2n^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$  by a positive term  $\frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{2n^2}$ . Hence bagging does not improve the variance.

(b)

(1)

Noting that  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, n^{-1})$ , the mean of  $g(\mu)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[g(\mu)] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{(\infty, \bar{X})}(\mu)] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{(\infty, \bar{x})}(\mu) f_{\bar{X}}(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{(\infty, \bar{x})}(\mu) \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2}{2}\right\} d\bar{x} \\ &= \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2}{2}\right\} d\bar{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

The variance of  $g(\mu)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(g(\mu) - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right] &= \mathbb{E}[g(\mu)^2] - \mathbb{E}[g(\mu)]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{(\infty, \bar{X})}(\mu)^2] - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{(\infty, \bar{X})}(\mu)] - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Both the mean and variance do not depend on  $n$ .

(2)

Noting that  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, n^{-1})$ , the mean of  $G(\mu)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[G(\mu)] &= \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\sqrt{n}(\mu - \bar{X})) + o_P(1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\sqrt{n}(\mu - \bar{X}))] + C_n \quad (\text{where } C_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\sqrt{n}(\mu - \bar{x})) f_{\bar{X}}(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} + C_n \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{n}(\mu-\bar{x})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2}\right\} dy \right] \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2}{2}\right\} d\bar{x} + C_n\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the integration is over an axis-aligned bivariate normal distribution with mean  $(\mu, 0)$  in  $(\bar{x}, y)$ -space. The domain of integration is simply a half-plane passing through the mean  $(\mu, 0)$ , and which covers  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By the symmetry of the normal distribution about its mean,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[G(\mu)] &= \frac{1}{2} + C_n \\ &\xrightarrow{n} \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

(a)

Let  $h$  be the bayes classifier. The risk of Bayes rule is

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Y \neq h(x)|X = x) &= P(Y = 0|X = x)P(m(x) > \frac{1}{2}) + P(Y = 1|X = x)P(m(x) < \frac{1}{2}) \\
 &= \left[ q + (1 - 2q)I\left(\sum_{j=1}^J x_j < \frac{J}{2}\right) \right] \cdot P\left(q + (1 - 2q)I\left(\sum_{j=1}^J x_j > \frac{J}{2}\right) > \frac{1}{2}\right) + \\
 &\quad \left[ q + (1 - 2q)I\left(\sum_{j=1}^J x_j > \frac{J}{2}\right) \right] \cdot P\left(q + (1 - 2q)I\left(\sum_{j=1}^J x_j > \frac{J}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= q \cdot P\left(I\left(\sum_{j=1}^J x_j > \frac{J}{2}\right) > \frac{1}{2}\right) + q \cdot P\left(I\left(\sum_{j=1}^J x_j > \frac{J}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= q
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the risk of Bayes rule is  $q$ .

(b)

Prediction Performance:

	Density Tree	Naive Bayes	Kernel Regression	Additive Model	Random Forest
Error Rate	39.5%	46.5 %	38 %	35.5%	37 %