

## SOLUTIONS FOR PROBLEM SET 2

**Problem 1:  $\ell_1$ -Median Subspace Embedding**

As seen in the class, if  $S$  is an  $m \times d$  matrix with independent Cauchy random variables scaled by  $m$ , then with probability  $\geq 9/10$ , for all  $x$ ,

$$\Omega(1)\|Ax\|_1 \leq \|SAx\|_1 \leq O(d \log d)\|Ax\|_1$$

if  $m = O(d \log d)$ . With a proof similar to that of in class, it is not hard to see that the statement continues to hold true even if  $m = O(d \log(d/\epsilon)/\epsilon^2)$  if  $\epsilon \geq 1/\text{poly}(d)$ . We also assume without loss of generality that  $A$  is a well-conditioned basis satisfying for all  $x$ ,

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \|Ax\|_1 \leq d\|x\|_1.$$

Fix a vector  $x$ . Now using the 1-stability property of Cauchy random variables, we have that

$$(SAx)_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_j C_{ij}(Ax)_j \sim \frac{1}{m}\|Ax\|_1 C_i$$

where the last notation means that  $(SAx)_i$  is distributed as  $C_i \cdot (\|Ax\|_1/m)$  with  $C_i$  being a Cauchy random variable. Thus, we obtain that  $|(SAx)_i| \sim (\|Ax\|_1/m)|C_i|$ . Importantly, as the rows of  $S$  are independent we obtain that  $|(SAx)_1|, \dots, |(SAx)_m|$  are independent as well. From now on we just consider the independent standard Cauchy random variables  $C_1, \dots, C_m$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[|C_i| \geq (1 + \epsilon)] &= \Pr[C_i \geq 1 + \epsilon] + \Pr[C_i \leq -1 - \epsilon] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \int_{1+\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-(1+\epsilon)} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} [\tan^{-1}(\infty) - \tan^{-1}(1 + \epsilon) + \tan^{-1}(-(1 + \epsilon)) + \tan^{-1}(-\infty)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} [\pi - 2 \tan^{-1}(1 + \epsilon)]. \end{aligned}$$

We now use the fact that  $\tan^{-1}(1 + \epsilon) \geq \pi/4 + \epsilon/6$  for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Hence,  $\Pr[|C_i| \geq (1 + \epsilon)] \leq (1/\pi)(\pi/2 - \epsilon/3) \leq 1/2 - \epsilon/(3\pi)$ . Let  $X_i$  be the indicator random variable that denotes if  $|C_i| \geq 1 + \epsilon$ . Thus, we have  $\mathbf{E}[X_i] \leq (1/2 - \epsilon/(3\pi))$ . By Chernoff bound, we have  $\Pr[\sum_i X_i \geq (1 + \delta)m\mu] \leq \exp(-\delta^2 m\mu/3)$  where  $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X_i]$ . We can also see that  $\mu \geq 1/4$ . Picking  $\delta = \epsilon/10$ , we conclude that with probability  $\geq 1 - \exp(-\epsilon^2 m\mu/300)$ ,

$$\sum_i X_i \leq (1 + \epsilon/10)m\mu \leq m(1 + \epsilon/10)(1/2 - \epsilon/3\pi) \leq m(1/2 - \epsilon/100)$$

for small enough  $\epsilon$ . Using the fact that  $\mu \geq 1/4$ , and  $m = O(d \log(d/\epsilon)/\epsilon^2)$ , we obtain that with probability  $1 - \exp(-O(d \log(d/\epsilon)))$ ,

$$\sum_i X_i \leq m(1/2 - \epsilon/100).$$

Thus we showed that number of indices  $i$  such that  $|(SAx)_i| \geq (1/m)\|Ax\|_1(1 + \epsilon)$  is at most  $(m/2)(1 - \epsilon/50)$  with probability  $\geq 1 - \exp(-O(d \log(d/\epsilon)))$ . Similarly, we can show that number of indices  $i$  such that  $|(SAx)_i| \leq (1/m)\|Ax\|_1(1 - \epsilon)$  is at most  $(m/2)(1 - \epsilon/50)$  with probability  $\geq 1 - \exp(-O(d \log(d/\epsilon)))$ . Thus, by a union bound, with probability  $\geq 1 - 2 \exp(-O(d \log(d/\epsilon)))$ ,

$$\|SAx\|_{\text{med}} \in [(1 - \epsilon)\|Ax\|_1/m, (1 + \epsilon)\|Ax\|_1/m].$$

Now consider the set  $M = \{Ax \mid \|Ax\|_1 = 1\}$  and an  $\epsilon/\text{poly}(d)$ -net  $N \subseteq M$  for  $M$ . So, for every  $Ax \in M$ , there is an  $Ay \in N$  such that  $\|Ax - Ay\|_1 \leq \epsilon/\text{poly}(d)$ . As given the size of  $N$  can be taken as  $\exp(O(d \log(\text{poly}(d)/\epsilon))) = \exp(O(d \log(d/\epsilon)))$ . Now, by a union bound, we can assume that for all  $Ay \in N$ ,

$$\|SAy\|_{\text{med}} \in [(1 - \epsilon)\|Ay\|_1/m, (1 + \epsilon)\|Ay\|_1/m] = [(1 - \epsilon)/m, (1 + \epsilon)/m].$$

Now consider an arbitrary  $Ax \in M$  and let  $Ay \in N$  be such that  $\|Ax - Ay\|_1 \leq \epsilon/\text{poly}(d)$ . We now have for all  $i$ ,

$$|(SAx)_i| \leq |(SAy)_i| + |(SA(x - y))_i| \leq |(SAy)_i| + \|SA(x - y)\|_{\infty}.$$

Similarly,  $|(SAx)_i| \geq |(SAy)_i| - \|SA(x - y)\|_{\infty}$  for all  $i$ . This then implies that

$$\|SAy\|_{\text{med}} - \|SA(x - y)\|_{\infty} \leq \|SAx\|_{\text{med}} \leq \|SAy\|_{\text{med}} + \|SA(x - y)\|_{\infty}$$

Now, using the fact that  $\|SA(x - y)\|_{\infty} \leq \|SA(x - y)\|_1 \leq O(d \log d)\|Ax - Ay\| \leq O(d \log d)\epsilon/\text{poly}(d) \leq \epsilon/m$ , we obtain that

$$\|SAx\|_{\text{med}} \in [(1 - 2\epsilon)/m, (1 + 2\epsilon)/m]$$

for all  $Ax \in M$ . By scaling we obtain that for all  $x$ ,

$$\|SAx\|_{\text{med}} \in [(1 - 2\epsilon)\|Ax\|_1/m, (1 + 2\epsilon)\|Ax\|_1/m].$$

## Problem 2:

The main high level idea is as follows: Suppose you want to approximate a quantity  $a + b$  with both  $a, b > 0$ . Suppose you know that  $b \leq \gamma a$  and you know a quantity  $a' \in (1 \pm \epsilon/2)a$ . Now, even if  $b' \leq (\epsilon/2)(b/\gamma) + (1 + \epsilon)b \leq (\epsilon/2)a + (1 + \epsilon)b$ , we have  $a' + b' \leq (1 + \epsilon)(a + b)$ . Which essentially means that we do not have to approximate the smaller quantity very well to approximate the whole sum well.

We use the countsketch matrix with  $r$  rows to estimate the norm. We process until we see the vector  $u$  to obtain the sketch  $Su$ . Then instead of updating the sketch  $Su$ , we start afresh (still using the same CountSketch matrix) and compute a sketch  $Sv$ . Using the AMM property of CountSketch matrices, we have that with probability  $\geq 9/10$ , the following properties hold,

$$|\|Sv\|_2^2 - \|v\|_2^2| \leq \frac{10}{\sqrt{r}}\|v\|_2^2$$

and

$$|\langle Su, Sv \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle| \leq \frac{10}{\sqrt{r}}\|u\|_2\|v\|_2.$$

We now have

$$\|u + v\|_2^2 = \|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2 + 2\langle u, v \rangle$$

and let  $\alpha = (1 \pm \epsilon/2)\|u\|_2^2$ . Conditioned on above events,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \|Sv\|_2^2 + 2\langle Su, Sv \rangle &\leq (1 + \epsilon/2)\|u\|_2^2 + (1 + 10/\sqrt{r})\|v\|_2^2 + 2\langle u, v \rangle + \frac{10}{\sqrt{r}}\|u\|_2\|v\|_2 \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon/2)(\|u + v\|_2^2) + \|u + v\|_2^2 \frac{(10/\sqrt{r})\|v\|_2^2 + (10/\sqrt{r})\|u\|_2\|v\|_2}{\|u + v\|_2^2} \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon/2)(\|u + v\|_2^2) + \|u + v\|_2^2 \frac{(10/\sqrt{r})\gamma\|u\|_2^2 + (10\sqrt{\gamma/r})\|u\|_2^2}{\|u + v\|_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\gamma/r \leq c\epsilon^2$  for a small enough constant  $c$ , we then obtain that

$$\alpha + \|Sv\|_2^2 + 2\langle Su, Sv \rangle \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|u + v\|_2^2.$$

Similarly, we can show that if  $\gamma/r \leq c\epsilon^2$  for a small enough constant  $c$ , we have

$$\alpha + \|Sv\|_2^2 + 2\langle Su, Sv \rangle \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|u + v\|_2^2.$$

Thus, a countsketch matrix  $S$  with  $r \geq \Omega(\gamma/\epsilon^2)$  rows suffices to output a  $1 \pm \epsilon$  approximation for  $\|u + v\|_2^2$ . Such a sketch can then be maintained in  $O(\gamma/\epsilon^2 \cdot \log(n))$  space.