

Lecture 6-2 — 10/12/2017

Prof. David Woodruff

Scribe: Sidhanth Mohanty

For context, this continues the quest that the previous scribe notes started, of performing ℓ_1 regression fast, that is, performing the task of minimizing $\|Ax - b\|_1$ by suitable choice of x .

1 The Cauchy Distribution

We survey a bunch of the properties of the Cauchy distribution in this section.

The Cauchy distribution, denoted ψ , has probability density function

$$f_\psi(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that this distribution has undefined expectation and infinite variance.

A notable property of the Cauchy distribution is that it is 1-stable: if z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are i.i.d. Cauchy, then for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_n z_n \sim \|a\|_1 z$ where z is Cauchy. We don't prove this here but drop the words 'Fourier transform' and 'convolution' as hints.

A natural question to ask is how one can generate a Cauchy random variables and it turns out this can be done by taking the ratio of two standard normal random variables.

Recall that R was the dense sketching matrix made of i.i.d. Cauchy random variables from the previous scribe notes. By 1-stability for all rows r of R , we have

$$\langle r, Ax \rangle = \frac{\|Ax\|_1 Z}{d \log d}$$

where Z is Cauchy. In particular, we have

$$\frac{1}{d \log d} \left[\|Ax\|_1 Z_1 \cdots \|Ax\|_1 Z_{d \log d} \right]$$

where $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{d \log d}$ are i.i.d. Cauchy.

Now that we have established some basic properties of the Cauchy distribution, for the purposes of regression, we study the term $\|RAx\|_1$ and prove the sketching theorem.

Proof of Sketching Theorem. We point out that $\|RAx\|_1 = \|Ax\|_1 \frac{\sum_j |Z_j|}{d \log d}$ where $|Z_j|$ are half-Cauchy. The value of $\sum_j |Z_j|$ is $\Omega(d \log d)$ with probability $1 - e^{-cd \log d}$. Indeed, the value of $|Z_j|$ is at least 1 with some constant probability p , and by Chernoff bounds, the the fraction of $|Z_j|$ with value less than 1 is at least $\frac{p}{2}$ with probability at least $1 - e^{-cd \log d}$ by Chernoff bounds. Note that this establishes that for a fixed x , we have $\|RAx\|_1 \geq \|Ax\|_1$ with probability $1 - e^{-cd \log d}$. We shall use a γ -net argument to generalize this to all x .

We would be very happy if we could say a statement like “ $\sum_j |Z_j|$ is $O(d \log d)$ with high probability”, but such a thing isn’t true since the Cauchy distribution is heavy tailed, so an alternate approach of proof is called for.

Note that there exists a well conditioned basis of A and without loss of generality say the basis vectors are $A_{*,1}, A_{*,2}, \dots, A_{*,d}$, then we have

$$\|RA_{*i}\|_1 = \sum_j |r_j \cdot A_{*i}| = \|A_{*i}\|_2 \frac{\sum_j |Z_{i,j}|}{d \log d}$$

where $Z_{i,j}$ is entry (j, i) of the matrix RA .

Let $E_{i,j}$ be the event that $|Z_{i,j}| \leq d^3$. Define $Z'_{i,j} = |Z_{i,j}|$ if $|Z_{i,j}| \leq d^3$ and $Z'_{i,j} = d^3$ otherwise.

We now analyze $\mathbb{E}[Z_{i,j}|E_{i,j}] = \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}]$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}] &= \int_0^{d^3} \frac{2z}{\pi(1+z^2)} \mathbb{P}[E_{i,j}] dz \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi \mathbb{P}[E_{i,j}]} \int_0^{d^3} \frac{z}{1+z^2} dz \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi \mathbb{P}[E_{i,j}]} \log z \Big|_1^{d^3} + \Theta(1) \\ &= \Theta(\log d) \end{aligned}$$

Let E be the event that for all i, j the event $E_{i,j}$ occurs. We know that

$$\mathbb{P}[\bar{E}] \leq \frac{d^2 \log d}{d^3} = \frac{\log d}{d}$$

And this means that

$$\mathbb{P}[E] \geq 1 - \frac{\log d}{d}$$

The goal is to show the sketching theorem by assuming E occurs: then the chance of E not occurring would get absorbed in the $\frac{1}{100}$ failure probability that the sketching theorem permits.

Thus, towards this goal, we analyze $\mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}] &= \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}, E] \mathbb{P}[E|E_{i,j}] + \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}, \bar{E}] \mathbb{P}[\bar{E}|E_{i,j}] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E_{i,j}, E] \mathbb{P}[E|E_{i,j}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E] \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[E_{i,j}|E] \mathbb{P}[E]}{\mathbb{P}[E_{i,j}]} \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E] \left(1 - \frac{\log d}{d} \right) \end{aligned}$$

So, after all the dust settles, we get $\mathbb{E}[Z'_{i,j}|E] = O(\log d)$.

Note that we have

$$\|RA_{*i}\|_1 = \|A_{*i}\|_1 \frac{\sum_j |Z_{i,j}|}{d \log d}$$

and since the expected value of the above expression is $\|A_{*i}\|_1 \log d$, we know that the expected value of $\sum_i \|RA_{*i}\|_1$ is $\log d \sum_i \|A_{*i}\|_1$, which means with constant probability $\sum_i \|RA_{*i}\|_1$ is at most $O(\log d) \sum_i \|A_{*i}\|_1$ via Markov's inequality.

We reiterate that A_{*1}, \dots, A_{*d} is a well-conditioned basis, and in the earlier scribe notes, we showed the existence of such a basis. We will use the Auerbach basis, which always exists and satisfies two properties: for all x , $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|Ax\|_1$ and $\sum_i \|A_{*i}\|_1 = d$.

To see why this basis is well-conditioned, consider the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|x\|_1}{d} &\leq \|x\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|Ax\|_1 \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^d A_{*i} x_i \right\|_1 \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \|A_{*i}\|_1 |x_i| \\
&\leq \|x\|_\infty \cdot \sum_{i=1}^d \|A_{*i}\|_1 \\
&= d \|x\|_\infty \leq d \|x\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

We know that $\sum_i \|RA_{*i}\|_1$ is, with constant probability, at most $O(\log d) \sum_i \|A_{*i}\|_1$, which by the Auerbach basis is $O(d \log d)$. Thus, for all x , we have

$$\|RAx\|_1 \leq \sum_i \|RA_{*i} x_i\|_1 \leq \|x\|_\infty \sum_i \|RA_{*i}\|_1 = \|x\|_\infty O(d \log d) \leq O(d \log d) \|Ax\|_1$$

Now, we look back at what we have done so that we don't lose sight of the high level picture. First of all, we showed a constant probability upper bound of $O(d \log d) \|Ax\|_1$ on $\|RAx\|_1$ for all x . And we showed that for any fixed x , $\|RAx\|_1 \geq \|Ax\|_1$ with probability at least $1 - e^{-cd \log d}$, claiming we would generalize this to all x with a γ -net argument.

Set $\gamma = \frac{1}{d \log^3 d}$, so we get a γ -net with $|M| \leq d^{O(d)}$. By union bound on all $y \in M$, we have $\|Ry\|_1 \geq \|y\|_1$. Suppose we take x with unit ℓ_1 norm. There is $y \in M$ satisfying $\|Ax - y\|_1 \leq \gamma = \frac{1}{d^3 \log d}$.

Now, from a chain of inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|RAx\|_1 &\geq \|Ry\|_1 - \|R(Ax - y)\|_1 \\
&\geq \|y\|_1 - O(d \log d) \|Ax - y\|_1 \\
&\geq \|y\|_1 - O(d \log d) \gamma \\
&\geq \|y\|_1 - O\left(\frac{1}{d^2}\right) \\
&\geq \frac{\|y\|_1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is justified by $\|Ax'\|_1 \geq \|x'\|_\infty \geq \frac{\|x'\|_1}{d} = \frac{1}{d}$.

Note that since $\|Ax - y\|_1 \leq \gamma$, which means $\|Ax - y\|_1 + \|y\|_1 - \gamma \leq 2\|RAx\|_1$. It follows that $\frac{1}{2}\|Ax - y\|_1 + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_1 \leq 2\|RAx\|_1$. By triangle inequality, we get that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|Ax\|_1 \leq 2\|RAx\|_1$$

which means $\|RAx\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\|Ax\|_1$.

■