CS 15-851: Algorithms for Big Data

Spring 2024

Lecture 7 - 02/29/2024

Prof. David Woodruff

Scribe: Harry H. Jiang

## **1** *p*-norm Estimation

Recall the sketching matrices  $P \cdot D$ , where P consists of a CountSketch matrix, and D consists of a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements  $1/E_i^{1/p}$ , with  $E_i$  being independent standard exponential random variables.

For arbitrary y,  $||Dy||_{\infty}$  looks like

$$||Dy||_{\infty}^{2} = \max_{i} \frac{||y_{i}||^{p}}{E_{i}} = \frac{1}{\min_{i} \frac{E_{i}}{||y_{i}||^{p}}} \equiv \frac{1}{E/||y_{i}||_{p}^{p}} = \frac{||y_{i}||_{p}^{p}}{E}$$
(1)

and the probability of a reasonable value of E is  $\mathbf{Pr}\left[E \in [1/10, 10]\right] = (1 - e^{-10}) - (1 - e^{-1/10}) > 4/5$  (this actually evaluates to just over 9/10).

As such,  $||Dy||_{p}^{p}$  is a good estimate for  $||y||_{p}^{p}$ , but  $Dy \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$  is a large vector, so sketching using matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  is needed to reduce computation cost.

Intuitively, P is hashing coordinates of Dy into buckets and taking a signed sum; most items cancel out and then  $||PDy||_{\infty} \simeq ||Dy||_{\infty}$ . It is known previously that P is composed of hash functions  $h : [n] \to [s]$  and  $\sigma : [n] \to \{-1,1\}$  (assuming they are truly random). Given that  $||Dy||_{\infty}/||y||_{p} \in [1/10^{1/p}, 10^{1/p}]$  with probability > 4/5, to achieve  $||PDy||_{\infty} \simeq ||Dy||_{\infty}$  with good probability, it is necessary to have:

- 1. in each bucket i not containing the maximum value,  $|(PDy)_i| \leq ||y||_p/100$
- 2. in each bucket i containing the maximum value,  $\left||(PDy)_i| ||Dy||_{\infty}\right| \le ||y||_p/100$

Let  $\delta(\text{event}) = 1$  if a given event holds and  $\delta(\text{event}) = 0$  otherwise. It is then possible to define a given element of PDy as  $(PDy)_i = \sum_j \delta(h(j) = i) \cdot \sigma_j \cdot (Dy)_j$ . Due to  $\sigma$ , its expectation is  $\mathbb{E}[(PDy)_i] = 0$ . The evaluation of its variance as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}_{P}[(PDy)_{i}^{2}] = \sum_{j,j'} \mathbb{E}[\delta(h(j) = i) \cdot \delta(h(j') = i) \cdot \sigma_{j} \cdot \sigma_{j'}](Dy)_{j}(Dy)_{j'} = \frac{1}{s} ||Dy||_{2}^{2}$$
(2)

$$\mathbb{E}_{D}[||Dy||_{2}^{2}] = \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} \mathbb{E}[D_{i,i}^{2}]$$
(3)

$$\mathbb{E}[D_{i,i}^2] = \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-t} dt = \int_0^1 t^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-t} dt + \int_1^\infty t^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-t} dt \tag{4}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{2}{p}} dt + \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-t} dt = \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{2}{p}}\right) t^{-\frac{2}{p}} \Big|_{0}^{1} - e^{-t} \Big|_{1}^{\infty} = O(1)$$
(5)

$$\mathbb{E}_{P}[(PDy)_{i}^{2}] = O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)||y||_{2}^{2} = O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)(n^{1-\frac{2}{p}}||y||_{p}^{2}).$$
(6)

The last line holds due to Hölder's Inequality  $(||y||_2^2 = \sum_i^n y_i^2 \leq (\sum_i^n (y_i^2)^{p/2})^{2/p} (\sum_i^n 1^q)^{1/q} = ||y||_p^2 \cdot n^{1-2/p}).$ 

**Definition** (Bernstein's Bound). Suppose independent random variables  $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ , and for all j,  $|R_j| \leq K$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}[\sum_j R_j] = \sigma^2$ . Then, there exists constants c, C such that for all t > 0,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[\left|\sum_{j} R_{j} - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j} R_{j}\right]\right| > t\right] \le C\left(e^{-\frac{ct^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} + e^{-\frac{ct}{K}}\right)$$
(7)

In order to get 1/poly(n) error probability, set  $R_j = \delta(h(j) = i)\dot{\sigma}_j(Dy)_j$ , t = ||y||p/100, and  $s = \Theta(n^{1-2/p}\log n)$  to handle all parameters required for Bernstein's bound other than K.

It is possible to treat large  $R_j$  separately, where  $R_j > \frac{\alpha ||y||_p}{\log n}$  for a sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $|R_j| > \frac{\alpha ||y||_p}{\log n}$ , then necessarily  $(Dy)_j \ge \frac{\alpha ||y||_p}{\log n}$  (define j as "large" if this is the case, "small" otherwise). Then, any j may be large with probability and expectation

=

$$\mathbf{Pr}[j \text{ is large}] = \mathbf{Pr} \left[ \frac{|y_j|}{E_j^{1/p}} \le \frac{\alpha ||y||_p}{\log n} \right] = \mathbf{Pr} \left[ \frac{|y_j|^p}{\alpha^p ||y||_p^p} \log^p n \le E_j \right]$$
(8)

$$= 1 - e^{-\frac{|y_j|^p \log^p n}{\alpha^p ||y||_p^p}} \le \frac{|y_j|^p \log^p n}{\alpha^p ||y||_p^p}$$
(9)

$$\mathbb{E}[R_j \text{ for large } j] \le \sum_j \frac{|y_j|^p \log^p n}{\alpha^p ||y||_p^p} = \frac{\log^p n}{\alpha^p}$$
(10)

There are  $s = O(n^{1-2/p}\log n)$  buckets and  $\frac{\log^p n}{\alpha^p}$  items. By Markov bound, there are  $O(\log^p n)$  large j with constant probability. D is conditioned on the above as well as  $||Dy||_{\infty} \in [||y||_p/10^{1/p}, ||y||_p \cdot 10^{1/p}]$  (which happens with probability > 4/5). All the large j should then be perfectly hashed into separate buckets by P. (If there are b balls and Cb bins,  $\mathbf{Pr}[\text{collision}] \leq {b \choose 2} 1/Cb \leq 1/2C$ )

Bernstein's bound can then be applied separately for the small indices j for each hash bucket.  $\mathbb{E}[(PDy)_i] = 0$  for each hash bucket i, and  $\mathbb{E}[(PDy)_i^2] = O(1/s)(n^{1-2/p}||y||_p^2)$ . Assuming  $K = \max_j |R_j| \leq \alpha ||y||_p /\log n$  for small j in a bucket (it can be shown that  $\operatorname{Var}[R_j]$  is  $O(1/s)(n^{1-2/p}||y||_p^2)$  even if no j is large. Setting  $t = ||y||_p/100$  and  $s = \Theta(n^{1-2/p}\log n)$  in Bernstein's bound, for a bucket  $(PDy)_i$ 

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[\left|\sum_{\text{small } j} \delta(h(j)=i) \cdot \sigma_j \cdot (Dy)_i\right| > \frac{||y||_p}{100}\right] \le C\left(e^{-\Theta(\log n)} + e^{-c\frac{\log n}{100\alpha}}\right) \le \frac{1}{n^2} \tag{11}$$

By union bound over all s buckets, the signed sum of all small j in every bucket will be at most  $||y||_p/100$ . Therefore, for all i,

- 1. in each bucket i without large indices  $j, |(PDy)_i| \leq ||y||_p/100$
- 2. in each bucket i with one large index j,  $|(PDy)_i| = |\sigma_j(Dy)_j| \pm ||y||_p/100$

and no bucket has more than one large j as shown in the perfect hashing assumption above. Conditioning on  $||Dy||_{\infty} \in [||y||_p/10^{1/p}, ||y||_p \cdot 10^{1/p}],$ 

$$\frac{||y||_p}{10^{\frac{1}{p}}} - \frac{||y||_p}{100} \le ||PDy||_{\infty} \le 10^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot ||y||_p + \frac{||y||_p}{100}$$
(12)

Therefore, it is reasonable to use  $||PDy||_{\infty}$  as an estimate for  $||y||_p$ . The total space used is  $s = O(n^{1-2/p}\log n)$ , i.e.  $O(n^{1-2/p}\log^2 n)$  bits. This space complexity still holds even when considering the pseudorandom generation of matrix P, see [1].

## 2 Heavy Hitters

 $l_1$  guarantee: output a set containing all items j for which  $|x_j| \ge \phi ||x||_1$ , and the set should not contain any j with  $|x_j| \le (\phi - \varepsilon) ||x||_1$ .

 $l_2$  guarantee: output a set containing all items j for which  $x_j^2 \ge \phi ||x||_2^2$ , and the set should not contain any j with  $x_j^2 \le (\phi - \varepsilon) ||x||_2^2$ . This guarantee is much stronger: suppose  $x = [\sqrt{n}, 1, \ldots, 1]$ ,  $\sqrt{n}$  is an  $l_2$ -heavy hitter for constant  $\phi$  and  $\varepsilon$ , but not an  $l_1$ -heavy hitter. Also, if  $|x_j| \ge \phi ||x||_1$ , it means that  $x_j^2 \ge \phi^2 ||x||_1^2 \ge \phi^2 ||x||_2^2$  as well.

## References

 N. Nisan. Pseudorandom generators for space-bounded computations. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '90, page 204â212, New York, NY, USA, 1990. Association for Computing Machinery. doi:10.1145/100216.100242.