# Lecture 25: The Algorithmic Magic of Polynomials

David Woodruff

#### Polynomials

- Polynomial:  $p(x) = c_d x^d + c_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 x + c_0$
- $(c_d, c_{d-1}, ..., c_0)$  completely describes p
- Addition:  $(x^2 + 2x 1) + (3x^3 + 7x) = 3x^3 + x^2 + 9x 1$
- Multiplication:  $(x^{2} + 2x - 1) \cdot (3x^{3} + 7x) = 3x^{5} + 4x^{3} + 6x^{4} + 14x^{2} - 7x$
- Evaluation:  $p(5) = c_d 5^d + c_{d-1} 5^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 5 + c_0$

#### Evaluating a Polynomial Quickly

- Polynomial:  $p(x) = c_d x^d + c_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 x + c_0$
- Evaluate at a point b in time O(d) using Horner's Rule:
- Compute: c<sub>d</sub>

$$c_{d-1} + c_d \cdot b$$
  

$$c_{d-2} + c_{d-1} \cdot b + c_d \cdot b^2$$
  
...

• Each step has O(1) operations – multiply by and add coefficient

#### Polynomial Degree

- Polynomial:  $p(x) = c_d x^d + c_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 x + c_0$
- If  $c_d \neq 0$ , the degree is d
- If A(x) has degree d and B(x) has degree d, then A(x) + B(x) has degree at most d

Why is the degree at most d?

#### Roots of Polynomials

- A root of a polynomial is a number r for which A(r) = 0
- Fundamental theorem of algebra: a non-zero degree-d polynomial has at most d roots
  - Implies any distinct degree d polynomials A(x) and B(x) can evaluate to the same value on at most d different values x. Why?
  - A(x) B(x) has degree at most d, so can have at most d roots
  - A degree d polynomial is determined by its evaluations on d+1 distinct points x<sub>0</sub>, ..., x<sub>d</sub>
- Given  $(x_0, y_0), ..., (x_d, y_d)$  for distinct  $x_0, ..., x_d$ , is there a polynomial p of degree at most d with  $p(x_i) = y_i$  for each i?

#### Unique Reconstruction Theorem

- Given  $(x_0, y_0)$ , ...,  $(x_d, y_d)$  for distinct  $x_0$ , ...,  $x_d$ , there exists a polynomial of degree at most d for which  $p(x_i) = y_i$  for each i
- Define  $R_i(x) = \prod_{j \neq i} (x x_j) / \prod_{j \neq i} (x_i x_j)$ , which has degree d
- $R_i(x_j) = 0$  for  $j \neq i$
- $R_i(x_i) = 1$
- $p(x) = \sum_{i=0,\dots,d} y_i \cdot R_i(x)$

#### Example of Polynomial Reconstruction

• Given pairs (5,1), (6,2), and (7,9), we would like to find a degree-2 polynomial that passes through these points

• 
$$R_0(x) = \frac{(x-6)(x-7)}{(5-6)(5-7)} = \frac{1}{2}(x-6)(x-7)$$

• 
$$R_1(x) = \frac{(x-5)(x-7)}{(6-5)(6-7)} = -(x-5)(x-7)$$

• 
$$R_2(x) = \frac{(x-5)(x-6)}{(7-5)(7-6)} = \frac{1}{2}(x-5)(x-6)$$

• 
$$p(x) = 1 \cdot R_0(x) + 2 \cdot R_1(x) + 9 \cdot R_2(x) = 3x^2 - 32x + 86$$

## Polynomials For Error Correcting Codes

#### A Deletion Channel



- Alice has d+1 numbers and wants to send them to Bob
- Up to k of the numbers might be replaced with a \*
- How can Bob learn Alice's numbers?

#### A Deletion Channel

- Alice could repeat each number k+1 times
- If k = 3, she sends:

#### 5, 5, 5, 5, 19, 19, 19, 19, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2

- This is (d+1)(k+1) words of communication
- Can we get d+k+1 communication?

#### A Deletion Channel

- Suppose Alice has  $c_d, c_{d-1}, c_{d-2,...,} c_0$
- She interprets these as the coefficients of a polynomial P(x):

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0,\dots,d} c_i x^i$$

- Alice sends P(0), P(1), P(2), ..., P(d+k)
- Bob gets at least d+1 of these numbers. By the unique reconstruction theorem, he recovers P(x), and hence c<sub>d</sub>, c<sub>d-1</sub>, c<sub>d-2,...</sub>, c<sub>0</sub>

#### General Error Correction

- Now the adversary can replace up to k numbers with other numbers
- If Alice wants to send Bob a single number x, how many times does she need to copy it?
  - 2k+1, to ensure the majority symbol is correct
- Now Alice has  $c_d, c_{d-1}, c_{d-2,...,}, c_0$ , which she writes as a polynomial  $P(x) = \sum_{i=0,...,d} c_i x^i$
- Suppose Alice sends P(0), P(1), ..., P(r). How large does r need to be?
  - d+2k+1 points is enough, so r = d+2k
  - If it weren't, there'd be another degree at most d polynomial Q agreeing on d+k+1 of these evaluations, so P and Q would agree on at least d+1 points. A contradiction

#### Algorithm for General Error Correction

- But how to find P(x) given k corruptions to P(0), P(1), ..., P(d+2k)?
- Suppose Bob receives  $r_0, r_1, ..., r_{d+2k}$
- Z = {i such that  $r_i \neq P(i)$  , and so  $|Z| \leq k$
- $E(x) = \prod_{i \in Z} (x i)$
- $P(x) \cdot E(x) = r_x \cdot E(x)$  for all x = 0, 1, 2, ..., d+2k

#### Berlekamp-Welch Algorithm

- $P(x) \cdot E(x) = r_x \cdot E(x)$  for all x = 0, 1, 2, ..., d+2k (\*)
- $E(x) = x^k + e_{k-1}x^{k-1} + e_{k-2}x^{k-2} + \dots + e_0$  if degree(E(x)) = k

• 
$$P(x) \cdot E(x) = f_{d+k}x^{d+k} + f_{d+k-1}x^{d+k-1} + \dots + f_0$$

- Plugging each x = 0, 1, 2, ..., d+2k into (\*), we get a linear equation relating  $f_{d+k}, f_{d+k-1}, ..., f_0, e_{k-1}, e_{k-2}, ..., e_0$
- d+2k+1 unknowns and d+2k+1 equations
- Equations are linearly independent, so get  $(P(x) \cdot E(x))$  and E(x), output  $\frac{(P(x) \cdot E(x))}{E(x)}$

# Polynomials for Finding Maximum Matchings

#### Multivariate Polynomials

- $p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_2^2 x_4 + x_3 x_4^2 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4$
- Degree of monomial  $x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}x_4^{i_4}$  is  $i_1+i_2+i_3+i_4$
- Degree of p is the maximum degree of any of its monomials

#### Schwartz-Zippel Lemma for Multivariate Polynomials

• [Schwartz-Zippel] Let P(x) be a non-zero, m-variable, degree at most d polynomial, and let S be a subset from the field F. If each  $X_i$  is chosen independently in S,

$$\Pr[\Pr(X_1, \dots, X_m) = 0] \le \frac{d}{|S|}$$

- Sanity check: if m = 1, a non-zero degree-d polynomial has at most d roots
- If |F| > 3d, how can we tell if P is the all zeros polynomial w.pr. 2/3?
- Choose  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  independently from F, and evaluate  $P(X_1, \dots, X_m)$

#### Tutte Matrix

- If G is a graph on vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n,$  the Tutte matrix is a  $|\mathsf{V}| \ge |\mathsf{V}|$  matrix M(G) with

$$M(G)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_{i,j} & \text{if } \{v_i, v_j\} \in E \text{ and } i < j \\ -x_{j,i} & \text{if } \{v_i, v_j\} \in E \text{ and } i > j \\ 0 & \text{if } (v_i, v_j) \notin E \end{cases}$$



#### Tutte Determinant Theorem

• [Tutte] A graph has a perfect matching if and only if the determinant of M(G) is not the zero polynomial (a matching is perfect if all nodes are matched)

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ -x_{12}\\ -x_{12}\\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1\\ -x_{12}\\ -x_{12}\\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2\\ -x_{12}\\ -x_{13}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0\\ -x_{12}\\ -x_{13}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ -x_{13}\\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x_{13}\\ 0\\ -x_{13}\\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ -x_{13}\\ \end{array} \end{array}$$

- det(M(G)) is a polynomial of degree at most n, and could have n! terms
- How can we determine if G has a perfect matching with probability at least 2/3?
- Choose a field F with |F| > 3n, randomly fill in the  $x_{i,j}$  values, and compute determinant!

### Finding a Perfect Matching

- We can quickly determine if G has a perfect matching
- Can reduce the error probability to  $1/n^3$ , say, by choosing  $|F| = 3n^3$
- But how to output the edges in the perfect matching?
- For each edge e,
  - Remove e and see if there is still a perfect matching
  - If there is no perfect matching, put e back in G, otherwise discard e
- At the end, will be left with exactly n/2 edges in a perfect matching

### Finding a Maximum Matching

- Can we find a maximum matching if we can find a perfect matching?
- Given a graph G, connect n-2k new nodes to every node in G
- If G has a matching of size at least k, then this new graph has a perfect matching
- If the maximum matching size of G is less than k, then this new graph does not have a perfect matching
- Binary search on k