Ellipsoid Algorithm

First polynomial-time algorithm for linear programming (Khachian 79)

Solves
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{find} & \quad x \\
\text{subject to} & \quad Ax \leq b \\
i.e. \text{find a feasible solution}
\end{align*}
\]

Run Time:
\[O(n^4L), \text{ where } L = \#\text{bits to represent } A \text{ and } b\]

Problem in practice: always takes this much time.

Ellipsoid Algorithm

Consider a sequence of smaller and smaller ellipsoids each with the feasible region inside.

For iteration k:
\[c_k = \text{center of } E_k\]

Eventually \(c_k\) has to be inside of \(F\), and we are done.

Reduction from general case

To solve:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{maximize:} & \quad z = c^T x \\
\text{subject to:} & \quad Ax \leq b, \quad x \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Convert to:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{find:} & \quad x, y \\
\text{subject to:} & \quad Ax \leq b, \\
& \quad -x \leq 0 \\
& \quad -yA \leq -c \\
& \quad -y \leq 0 \\
& \quad -cx + by \leq 0
\end{align*}
\]

OR
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{find:} & \quad x \\
\text{subject to:} & \quad Ax \leq b, \\
& \quad -c^T x \leq -z_0 \\
& \quad x \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Current “guess” of \(z\).
Binary search to converge on proper answer.
**Ellipsoid Algorithm**

To find the next smaller ellipsoid:
1. Find most violated constraint $a_k$.
2. Find smallest ellipsoid that includes constraint.

**Interior Point Methods**

To travel through the interior with a combination of:
1. An optimization term (moves toward objective).
2. A centering term (keeps away from boundary).

Used since 50s for nonlinear programming. Karmarkar proved a variant is **polynomial time** in 1984.

**Methods**

- **Affine scaling**: simplest, but no known time bounds.
- **Potential reduction**: $O(nL)$ iterations.
- **Central trajectory**: $O(n^{1/2}L)$ iterations.

The time for each iteration involves solving a linear system so it takes polynomial time. The "real world" time depends heavily on the matrix structure.

**Example times**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fuel</th>
<th>continent</th>
<th>car</th>
<th>initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>size (K)</td>
<td>13x31K</td>
<td>9x57K</td>
<td>43x107K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-zeros</td>
<td>186K</td>
<td>189K</td>
<td>183K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iterations</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time (sec)</td>
<td>2364</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesky non-zeros</td>
<td>1.2M</td>
<td>.3M</td>
<td>.2M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Central trajectory method (Lustic, Marsten, Shanno 94).
Time depends on Cholesky non-zeros (i.e. the "fill").
Assumptions
We are trying to solve the standard-form problem:
\[\begin{align*}
&\text{minimize} & z = c^T x \\
&\text{subject to} & Ax = b \\
& & x \geq 0
\end{align*}\]
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Centering: option 1
The "analytical center":
Minimize: \( y = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i \)
y goes to 1 as x approaches any boundary.

Centering: option 2
Elliptical Scaling:
\[\frac{x_1^2}{c_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{c_2} = 1\]
Dikin Ellipsoid
The idea is to bias spaced based on the ellipsoid.
More on this later.
Finding the Optimal solution

Let's say \( f(x) \) is the combination of the "centering term" \( c(x) \) and the "optimization term" \( z(x) = c^T x \).
We would like this to have the same minimum over the feasible region as \( z(x) \) but can otherwise be quite different.
In particular \( c(x) \) and hence \( f(x) \) need not be linear.
**Goal:** find the minimum of \( f(x) \) over the feasible region starting at some interior point \( x_0 \)
Can do this by taking a sequence of steps toward the minimum.
How do we pick a direction for a step?

Picking a direction: steepest descent

**Option 1:** Find the steepest descent on \( x \) at \( x_0 \) by taking the gradient: \( \nabla f(x_0) \)

**Problem:** the gradient might be changing rapidly, so local steepest descent might not give us a good direction.

Picking a direction: Newton's method

Consider the truncated taylor series:
\[
f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x-x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f''(x_0)(x-x_0)^2
\]
To find the minimum of \( f(x) \) take the derivative and set to 0.
\[
0 = f'(x_0) + f''(x_0)(x-x_0)
\]
\[
x = x_0 - \frac{f'(x_0)}{f''(x_0)}
\]
In matrix form, for arbitrary dimension:
\[
x = x_0 - (F(x))^{-1} \nabla f(x)^T
\]
\[F(x) = \nabla x \nabla f(x)
Hessian\]

Next Step?

Now that we have a direction, what do we do?
The direction may move away from feasible solutions. We need to adjust the direction to force \( Ax=b \) to hold.
Remaining on the support plane

**Constraint:** $Ax = b$

$A$ is an $m \times (n+m)$ matrix.

The equation describes an $n$-dimensional hyperplane in an $(n+m)$-dimensional space.

The hyperplane basis is the null space of $A$

$A = \text{defines the "slope"}$

$b = \text{defines an "offset"}$

$x_1 + 2x_2 = 4$

$x_1 + 2x_2 = 3$

Projection

Need to project our direction onto the plane defined by the null space of $A$.

$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{n}$

$= c - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}Ac$

$= (I - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A)c$

$P = (I - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A) = \text{the "projection matrix"}$

We want to calculate $Pc$

Calculating $Pc$

$Pc = (I - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A)c = \mathbf{c} - A^T\mathbf{w}$

where $A^T\mathbf{w} = A^T(AA^T)^{-1}Ac$

$AA^T\mathbf{w} = AA^T(AA^T)^{-1}Ac = Ac$

so all we need to do is solve for $\mathbf{w}$ in:

$AA^T\mathbf{w} = Ac$

This can be solved with a sparse solver.

This is the workhorse of the interior-point methods.

Note that $AA^T$ will be more dense than $A$.

Next step?

We now have a direction $\mathbf{c}$ and its projection $\mathbf{d}$ onto the constraint plane defined by $Ax = b$.

What do we do now?

To decide how far to go we can find the minimum of $f(x)$ along the line defined by $\mathbf{d}$. Not too hard if $f(x)$ is reasonably nice (e.g. has one minimum along the line).

Alternatively we can go some fraction of the way to the boundary (e.g. 90%)
**General Interior Point Method**

Pick start $x_0$

Factor $AA^T$

Repeat until done (within some threshold)
- decide on function to optimize $f(x)$
  (might be the same for all iterations)
- select direction $d$ based on $f(x)$
  (e.g., with Newton's method)
- project $d$ onto null space of $A$
  (using factored $AA^T$ and solving a linear system)
- decide how far to go along that direction

*Caveat:* every method is slightly different

---

**Affine Scaling Method**

A biased steepest descent.

On each iteration solve:

$$\minimize \quad c'y$$

subject to $Ay = 0$

$$y'D^2y \leq 1$$

**Dikin ellipsoid**

Note that:
1. $y$ is in the null space of $A$ and can therefore be used as the direction $d$.
2. we are optimizing in the desired direction $c^T$

What does the Dikin Ellipsoid do for us?

---

**Affine Scaling**

Intuition by picture:

$Ax = b$ is a slice of the ellipsoid

$c' = Pc$

Note that $y$ is biased away from the boundary

---

**How to compute**

By substitution of variables: $y = Dy'$

*minimize:* $c'y'$

*subject to:* $Ay' = 0$

$$y'D^2y' \leq 1 \quad (y'y' \leq 1)$$

The sphere $y'y' \leq 1$ is unbiased.

So we project the direction $c'D = Dc$ onto the nullspace of $B = AD$:

$$y' = (I - B'(BB')^{-1}B)Dc$$

and

$$y = Dy' = D(I - B'(BB')^{-1}B)Dc$$

As before, solve for $w$ in $BB'w = BDc$ and

$$y = D(Dc - B'Tw) = D^2(c - A'Tw)$$
Affine Interior Point Method

Pick start $x_0$
Symbolically factor $AA^T$
Repeat until done (within some threshold)
- $B = A D_i$
- Solve $BB^Tw = BDc$ for $w$ (use symbolically factored $AA^T$...same non-zero structure)
- $d = D_i(D_ic - B^Tw)$
- move in direction $d$ a fraction $\alpha$ of the way to the boundary (something like $\alpha = .96$ is used in practice)
Note that $D_i$ changes on each iteration since it depends on $x_i$

Central Trajectory (log barrier)

Dates back to 50s for nonlinear problems.
**On step $i$:**
1. **minimize:** $cx - \mu_k \sum_{j=1}^n \ln(x_j)$
   s.t. $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$
2. **select:** $\mu_{k+1} \leq \mu_k$
Each minimization can be done with a constrained Newton step.
$\mu_k$ needs to approach zero to terminate.
A primal-dual version using higher order approximations is currently the best interior-point method in practice.

Potential Reduction Method

minimize: $z = q \ln(c^Tx - by) - \sum_{j=1}^n \ln(x_j)$
subject to: $Ax = b$
   $x \geq 0$
   $yA + s = 0$ (dual problem)
   $s \geq 0$
First term of $z$ is the optimization term
The second term of $z$ is the centering term.
The objective function is not linear. Use hill climbing or "Newton Step" to optimize.
$(c^Tx - by)$ goes to 0 near the solution

Summary of Algorithms

1. Actual algorithms used in practice are very sophisticated
2. Practice matches theory reasonably well
3. Interior-point methods dominate when
   A. Large $n$
   B. Small Cholesky factors (i.e. low fill)
   C. Highly degenerate
4. Simplex dominates when starting from a previous solution very close to the final solution
5. Ellipsoid algorithm not currently practical
6. Large problems can take hours or days to solve. Parallelism is very important.