Compression Outline

**Introduction**: Lossy vs. Lossless, Benchmarks, ...

**Information Theory**: Entropy, etc.

**Probability Coding**: Huffman + Arithmetic Coding

**Applications of Probability Coding**: PPM + others

**Lempel-Ziv Algorithms**:
- LZ77, gzip,
- LZ78, compress (Not covered in class)

**Other Lossless Algorithms**: Burrows-Wheeler

**Lossy algorithms for images**: JPEG, MPEG, ...

**Compressing graphs and meshes**: BBK

---

**Lempel-Ziv Algorithms**

**LZ77 (Sliding Window)**
- **Variants**: LZSS (Lempel-Ziv-Storer-Szymanski)
- **Applications**: gzip, Squeeze, LHA, PKZIP, ZOO

**LZ78 (Dictionary Based)**
- **Variants**: LZW (Lempel-Ziv-Welch), LZC
- **Applications**: compress, GIF, CCITT (modems), ARC, PAK

Traditionally LZ77 was better but slower, but the gzip version is almost as fast as any LZ78.

---

**LZ77: Sliding Window Lempel-Ziv**

Cursor

```
a a c a c a c a b a b a b a c
```

Dictionary (previously coded)

Lookahead Buffer

**Dictionary and buffer “windows” are fixed length and slide with the cursor**

**Repeat:**

Output $(p, l, c)$ where
- $p$ = position of the longest match that starts in the dictionary (relative to the cursor)
- $l$ = length of longest match
- $c$ = next char in buffer beyond longest match

Advance window by $l + 1$
LZ77: Example

```
_ a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (_,0,a)
| a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (1,1,c)
| | a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (3,4,b)
| | | a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (3,3,a)
| | | | a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (1,2,c)
```

Dictionary (size = 6)  Longest match
Buffer (size = 4)  Next character

LZ77 Decoding

Decoder keeps same dictionary window as encoder.
For each message it looks it up in the dictionary and inserts a copy at the end of the string.

What if l > p? (only part of the message is in the dictionary.)

E.g. dict = abcd, codeword = (2,9,e)

- Simply copy from left to right
  ```
  for (i = 0; i < length; i++)
  out[cursor+i] = out[cursor-offset+i]
  ```
  - Out = abcdcdcdcdce

LZ77 Optimizations used by gzip

LZSS: Output one of the following two formats
  (0, position, length) or (1,char)
Uses the second format if length < 3.

```
| a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (1,a)
| | a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (1,a)
| | | a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (1,c)
| | | | a c a a c a b c a b a a a c (0,3,4)
```

Optimizations used by gzip (cont.)

1. Huffman code the positions, lengths and chars
2. Non greedy: possibly use shorter match so that next match is better
3. Use a hash table to store the dictionary.
   - Hash keys are all strings of length 3 in the dictionary window.
   - Find the longest match within the correct hash bucket.
   - Puts a limit on the length of the search within a bucket.
   - Within each bucket store in order of position
The Hash Table

... 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 ...
... a a c a a c a b c a b a a a c ...

Theory behind LZ77

Sliding Window LZ is Asymptotically Optimal
[Wyner-Ziv,94]
Will compress long enough strings to the source
entropy as the window size goes to infinity.

$$H_n = \sum_{X \in A^n} p(X) \log \frac{1}{p(X)}$$

$$H = \lim_{n \to \infty} H_n$$

Uses logarithmic code (e.g. gamma) for the position.
Problem: "long enough" is really really long.

Comparison to Lempel-Ziv 78

Both LZ77 and LZ78 and their variants keep a
"dictionary" of recent strings that have been seen.
The differences are:
- How the dictionary is stored (LZ78 is a trie)
- How it is extended (LZ78 only extends an existing
entry by one character)
- How it is indexed (LZ78 indexes the nodes of the
trie)
- How elements are removed

Lempel-Ziv Algorithms Summary

Adapts well to changes in the file (e.g. a Tar file with
many file types within it).
Initial algorithms did not use probability coding and
performed poorly in terms of compression. More
modern versions (e.g. gzip) do use probability
coding as "second pass" and compress much better.
The algorithms are becoming outdated, but ideas are
used in many of the newer algorithms.
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**Burrows-Wheeler**

Currently near best “balanced” algorithm for text

Breaks file into fixed-size blocks and encodes each block separately.

For each block:
- Sort each character by its full context.
  This is called the block sorting transform.
- Use move-to-front transform to encode the sorted characters.

The ingenious observation is that the decoder only needs the sorted characters and a pointer to the first character of the original sequence.

---

**Burrows Wheeler: Example**

Let's encode: d₁e₂c₃o₄d₅e₆

We've numbered the characters to distinguish them.

Context “wraps” around. Last char is most significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Char</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ecode₆</td>
<td>d₁</td>
<td>e₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coded₁</td>
<td>e₂</td>
<td>c₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>odede₂</td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>de₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dedec₃</td>
<td>o₄</td>
<td>c₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edeco₄</td>
<td>d₅</td>
<td>e₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decod₅</td>
<td>e₆</td>
<td>d₆</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sort Context

---

**Burrows-Wheeler (Continued)**

**Theorem**: After sorting, equal valued characters appear in the same order in the output as in the most significant position of the context.

**Proof sketch**: Since the chars have equal value in the most-significant-position of the context, they will be ordered by the rest of the context, i.e. the previous chars. This is also the order of the output since it is sorted by the previous characters.
**Burrows-Wheeler: Decoding**

Consider dropping all but the last character of the context.

- What follows the underlined \( a \)?
- What follows the underlined \( b \)?
- What is the whole string?

**Answer:** \( b, a, abacab \)

---

**Burrows-Wheeler: Decoding**

What about now?

**Answer:** \( cabbaa \)

Can also use the "rank".
The "rank" is the position of a character if it were sorted using a stable sort.

---

**Burrows-Wheeler Decode**

Function \( BW\_Decode(In, \text{Start}, n) \)

\[ S = \text{MoveToFrontDecode}(In,n) \]

\[ R = \text{Rank}(S) \]

\[ j = \text{Start} \]

for \( i=1 \) to \( n \) do

\[ \text{Out}[i] = S[j] \]

\[ j = R[j] \]

Rank gives position of each char in sorted order.

---

**Decode Example**

**Rank**

\[ S \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank(S)</th>
<th>Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( e_6 ), ( d_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( e_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( e_6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( c_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( d_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( d_5 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Overview of Text Compression

PPM and Burrows-Wheeler both encode a single character based on the immediately preceding context.

LZ77 and LZ78 encode multiple characters based on matches found in a block of preceding text.

Can you mix these ideas, i.e., code multiple characters based on immediately preceding context?

- BZ does this, but they don’t give details on how it works – current best compressor
- ACB also does this – close to best

ACB (Associate Coder of Buyanovsky)

Keep dictionary sorted by context (the last character is the most significant)

- Find longest match for context
- Find longest match for contents
- Code
  - Distance between matches in the sorted order
  - Length of contents match

Has aspects of Burrows-Wheeler, and LZ77