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| Problem \# | Scope | Max Points | Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Data Representation: "Simple" Scalars: Ints and Floats | 10 |  |
| 2 | Data Representation: Arrays, Structs, Unions, and Alignment | 10 |  |
| 3 | Assembly, Stack Discipline, Calling Convention, and x86-64 ISA | 15 |  |
| 4 | Caching, Locality, Memory Hierarchy, Effective Access Time | 15 |  |
| 5 | Malloc(), Free(), and User-Level Memory Allocation | 10 |  |
| 6 | Virtual Memory, Paging, and the TLB | 15 |  |
| 7 | Process Representation and Lifecycle + Signals and Files | 10 |  |
| 8 | Concurrency Control: Maladies, Semaphores, Mutexes, BB, RW | 15 |  |
| TOTAL | Total points across all problems | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

## Question 1: Representation: "Simple" Scalars (10 points)

## Part A: Integers (5 points, 1 point per blank)

Assume we are running code on two machines using two's complement arithmetic for signed integers.

- Machine 1 has 5-bit integers
- Machine 2 has 7-bit integers.

Fill in the five empty boxes in the table below when possible and indicate "UNABLE" when impossible.

|  | $\|c\|$ <br> Machine 1: 5-bit <br> w/2s complement signed | Machine 2: 7-bit <br> w/2s complement signed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Binary representation of 18 <br> decimal | Soln: UNABLE | Soln: 0010010 |
| Binary representation of -9 <br> decimal |  | Soln: 1101111 |
| Binary representation of <br> + Tmax | Soln: 01111 | Soln: 1111111 |
| Binary representation of -1 <br> decimal |  |  |

## Part B: Floats ( 5 points, $1 / 2$ point per blank)

For this problem, please consider a floating point number representation based upon an IEEElike floating point format as described below.

- Format A:
- There are 5 bits
- There is 1 sign bit $s$.
- There are $k=2$ exponent bits.
- You need to determine the number of fraction bits.
- Format B:
- There are 7 bits
- There is 1 sign bit s .
- There are $\mathrm{n}=3$ fraction bits.

Fill in the empty (non grayed-out) boxes as instructed.

|  | Format A | Format B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Bits (Decimal) | 5 | 7 |
| Number of Sign Bits (Decimal) | 1 | 1 |
| Number of Fraction Bits (Decimal) | Soln: 2 | 3 |
| Number of Exponent Bits (Decimal) | 2 | Soln: 3 |
| Bias (Decimal) | Soln: 1 | Soln: 3 |
| +Infinity <br> (Binary bit pattern) | Soln: 01100 | Soln: 0111000 |
| 1000010 <br> (Decimal value, unrounded) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Soln: }-1 / 16 \\ & E=(1-3)=-2 \\ & -1 * 1 / 4 \times 2^{-2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $11011$ <br> (Decimal value, unrounded) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Soln: } S=-1 \\ E=(2-1)=1 \\ M=1+1 / 2+1 / 4=7 / 4 \\ -1^{*} 7 / 4^{*} 2^{\wedge} 1=-7 / 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 0111001 <br> Meaning of the bit pattern |  | Soln: NaN |
| $\begin{aligned} & / / x, y, \text { and } z \text { are floats } \\ & (x+1)-((x+2)-1)==0 \end{aligned}$ | Circle one: Soln: Depends <br> Always equal <br> Always unequal <br> It depends |  |

## Question 2: Representation: Arrays, Structs, Unions, Alignment, etc. (10 points)

## Part A: Arrays (5 points)

Consider the following definitions in an x86-64 system with 8-byte pointers and 4-byte ints:

| Definition A | Definition B |
| :---: | :--- |
| int numbersA $[3][2]=$ <br> $\{2,4,6,8,10,12\} ;$ | int **numbersB $=$ <br> malloc (3*sizeof(int *)); <br> // $\ldots$ <br> // You'll complete this code in <br> 2(A)(3) below |

2(A)(1) (1 point): How many bytes are allocated to numbersA? (Write "UNKNOWN" if not knowable).
Hint: Think sizeof()

Soln: 24 bytes

2(A)(2) (1 point): How many bytes are allocated to numbersB? (Write "UNKNOWN" if not knowable).
Hint: Think sizeof()
Soln: 8-bytes

2(A)(3) (3 points) Complete the given $C$ language code for numbersB such that it fully allocates the array and initializes it such that corresponding elements of numbersB and numbersA have the same values:

Soln:

```
for (int row=0; row<3; row++) {
    numbersB[row] = malloc (2*sizeof(int));
}
for (int row=0; row<3; row++) {
    for (int col=0; col<2; col++) {
        numbersB[row][col] = numbersA[row][col];
    }
}
```


## Question 2: Representation: Arrays, Structs, Unions, Alignment, etc. (10 points)

## Part B: Structs and Alignment (5 points)

For this question please assume "Natural alignment", in other words, please assume that each type must be aligned to a multiple of its data type size.

Please consider the following struct:

```
struct {
    char c; // 1-byte type
    short s1; // 2-byte type
    double d; // 8-byte type
    short s2;
} partB;
```

2(B)(1) (1 point): What would you expect to be the value of the expression below?

```
sizeof(struct partB)
```

Soln:
cXs1XXXXdddddddds2XXXXXX
24 bytes

2(B)(2) (1 point): Why should a programmer always use the sizeof() operator in code versus computing the value themselves? Give two (2) reasons.

Soln: Doing the computation statically isn't portable and it is too easy to make a mistake.
(Answers may vary)

2(B)(1) (2 points): Rewrite the struct above to minimize its size after alignment-mandated padding:

Soln: Answers may vary but should all be the same size as this:

```
struct {
    char c; // 1-byte type
    short sl; // 2-byte type
    short s2;
    double d; // 8-byte type
} partB;
cXs1s2XXdddddddd
16 bytes
```

Question 3: Assembly, Stack Discipline, Calling Convention, and x86-64 ISA
Part A: Loops and Calling Convention (7 points)
Consider the following code:

```
function:
.LFB0:
```



```
    subq $32, %rsp
    movl %edi, -20(%rbp)
    movl %esi, -24(%rbp)
    movl -20(%rbp), %eax
    movl %eax, -4 (%rbp)
    jmp .L2
.L5 :
    movl $0, -8(%rbp)
    jmp .L3
.L4:
    addl $1, -8(%rbp)
.L3:
    movl -8(%rbp), %eax
    cmpl -4(%rbp), %eax
    jl .L4
    movl $88, %edi # 88 is ASCII for 'X''
    call putchar
    movl $10, %edi # 10 is ASCII for '\n'
    call putchar
    addl $1, -4(%rbp)
.L2:
    movl -4(%rbp), %eax
    cmpl -24(%rbp), %eax
    jl .L5
    nop
    leave
    ret
```

3(A)(1) (2 points): How many loops does this function have? How do you know?
Soln: 2. There are two backward jumps.

3(A)(2) (1 points): How many arguments does this function receive (and use)? Soln: 2

Continued on next page.

3(A)(3) (2 points): For each argument you listed, please indicate either (a) which specific register was used to pass it in, or (b) that it was sourced from the stack (you don't need to give the address). Please leave any extra blanks empty (Hint: You won't need all of them).

| Argument | Specific register or "Stack" |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1st | Soln: \%edi |
| 2nd | Soln: \%esi |
| 3rd | Soln: Unused |
| 4th | Soln Unused |
| 5th | Soln: Unused |

Consider the following function activation. Consistent with your answer to the question above, it includes more arguments that the function actually requires. Please ignore any extra arguments.
function(10, 9, 8, 7, 6);
3(A)(2) (2 points): How many times does the inner-most loop run?
Hint: If the inner-most loop is nested, you may need to consider the loops in which it is nested.
Solution: 0 . None. Since 10 is greater than 9, the outer-most loop never runs.

## Continued on next page.

## Part B: Conditionals (8 points)

Consider the following code:

```
(gdb) disassemble function
Dump of assembler code for function function:
    0x0000000000400533 <+0>: cmp %esi,%edi
    0x0000000000400535 <+2>: jg 0x400561 <function+46>
    0x0000000000400537 <+4>: cmp $0x5,%edi
    0x000000000040053a <+7>: ja 0x400557 <function+36>
    0x000000000040053c <+9>: mov %edi,%eax
    0x000000000040053e <+11>: jmpq *0x400630(,%rax,8)
    0x0000000000400545 <+18>: mov $0x1,%edi
    0x000000000040054a <+23>: mov %edi,%eax
    0x000000000040054c <+25>: imul %edi,%eax
    0x000000000040054f <+28>: add %edi,%eax
    0x00000000000400551 <+30>: retq
    0x0000000000400552 <+31>: mov $0xffffffec,%edi
    0x0000000000400557 <+36>: mov %edi,%eax
    0x0000000000400559 <+38>: shr $0x1f,%eax
    0x000000000040055c <+41>: add %edi,%eax
    0x000000000040055e <+43>: sar %eax
    0x0000000000400560<+45>: retq $0xffffffffe,%eax
    0x0000000000400566<+51>: retq <
    0x00000000000400567 <+52>: mov $0x8,%eax
    0x000000000040056c <+57>: retq
End of assembler dump.
```

Consider also the following memory dump:

| (gdb) $\mathrm{x} / 10 \mathrm{gx}$ | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0x400620: | 0x0000000000020001 | 0x0000000000000000 |
| 0x400630: | 0x0000000000400545 | 0x000000000040054a |
| 0x400640: | 0x0000000000400557 | 0x000000000040054a |
| 0x400650: | 0x0000000000400567 | 0x0000000000400552 |
| 0×400660: | 0x000000443b031b01 | $0 \mathrm{xfffffda000000007}$ |

## Continued on next page

(3)(B)(1) (1 points): How many "if statements" are likely present in the C Language code from which this assembly was compiled? At what address of the assembly code shown above does each occur?

This code was compiled from C Language code containing a switch statement. Please do not include any "if statement" present in the assembly that is likely part of the switch statement in the original C code, i.e. do not count any "if statement" that is used to manage one or more "cases" of a "switch statement".

Soln:
1
There are two forward jumps, which are candidates $0 \times 400535$ and 0x40053A. But, the second one is considering the switch control variable, comparing it to a bound, and jumps into code listed in the jump table. So, the one at 0x400535 is likely an "if statement" in the C code, whereas the other is likely handing a "case" of the switch, specifically the default case.
(3)(B)(2) (2 points): What integer input values are managed by non-default cases of the switch statement? How do you know?

Soln: 0,1,3,4,5
Negative values and values above 5 are managed by the default case. Note that negatives look like large integers when compared using unsigned "ja".
(3)(B)(3) (1 point): Is there a default case? If so, at what address does it begin? How do you know?

Soln: Yes. $0 \times 400557$. It is used for both the 2 case and any case larger than 5 .

Note that 2 s entry in the jump table is the same as the default case's entry, as shown by the initial if statement.
(3)(B)(4) (2 points): Which case(s), if any, share exactly the same code? How do you know?

Soln: Cases 1 and 3. They have the same pointer in the jump table.

Continued on next page.
(3)(B)(5) (2 points): Which case(s), if any, fall through to the next case after executing some of their own code? How do you know?

Soln: Cases 0 and 5.

If we look at the code block beginning with where the Oth entry in the jump table points, it overlaps the code block pointed to by the next entry (and the entry after that) in the jump table without a jump or return to prevent it from falling through.

The same is true if we look at the code beginning with the 5th entry in the jump table and the 6th entry, the default case, that follows.

## Question 4: Caching, Locality, Memory Hierarchy, Effective Access Time (15 points)

## Part A: Caching (8 points)

Given a model described as follows:

- Associativity: 2-way set associative
- Total size: 512 bytes (not counting meta data)
- Block size: 32 bytes/block
- Replacement policy: Set-wise LRU
- 12-bit addresses

4(A)(1) (1 point) How many bits for the block offset?
Soln: 32 bytes $=5$ bits to index

4(A)(2) (1 point) How many bits for the set index?
Soln: (512 bytes) / (32 bytes/block) / (2 blocks/set) $=8$ sets; 3 bit indexes 8 sets.

4(A)(3) (1 point) How many bits for the tag?
Soln: (12 bit address) - ( 5 bits for block offset) - ( 3 bit for set index) $=4$ bits left over for tag

4(A)(4) (5 points, $1 / 2$ point each): For each of the following addresses, please indicate if it hits, or misses, and if it misses, if it suffers from a capacity miss, a conflict miss, or a cold miss:

| Address | Circle one <br> (per row): | Circle one <br> (per row): |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0XA10 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0X804 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0X898 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0XFDF | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0XA00 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0X806 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0XCD5 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0XA10 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0X3DD | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |
| 0XFC7 | Hit | Miss | Capacity | Cold | Conflict | N/A |

## Part B: Locality (4 points)

4(B)(1) (2 points): Consider the following code:

```
int array[SIZE1][SIZE2];
int sum=0;
for (int outer=0; outer<SIZE1; outer+=STEP)
    for (int inner=0; inner<(SIZE2-1); inner++)
    sum += array[outer][inner] + 2*array[outer][inner+1];
```

Considering only access to "array", as "step" increases (significantly), please mark how each type of locality would be impacted. Please also explain why in the space provided.

| Spatial | Decrease | Increase | Unaffected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Temporal | Decrease | Increase | Unaffected |

Soln: Spatial locality is likely unaffected because the step is affecting the column movement, not the row movement which is what aligns with the row-major ordering and provides for the cache hits. The hits from inner vs inner+1 are unaffected. Temporal locality is likely unaffected, because the element is still getting re-used from one pass through the loop to the next.

4(B)(2) (2 points): Consider the following code:

```
int array[ROWS][COLS];
int sum=0;
for (int row=0; index<ROWS; row ++)
    for (int col=0; col<(COLS-1); col ++)
        sum += array[row][col]+ array[row][col+1];
```

Imagine an array extremely large in all dimensions, an int size of 4 bytes, and a cache block size of 16 bytes. To the nearest whole percent or simple fraction, what would you expect the miss rate for accesses to "array" to be? Why?

## Continued on next page.

Soln: 1/6. 4 ints fit per block. The first access misses, but its " +1 " hits. The next access hits, as does its +1

Soln: 1/8. 4 ints fit per block. The first access misses, but its " +1 " hits. The next access hits, as does its +1

MH
$-\mathrm{H}_{-}$
$-\mathrm{H} \overline{\mathrm{H}}$

_H H


Repeats....
Part C: Memory Hierarchy and Effective Access Time (3 points)
Imagine a system with a DRAM-based main memory layered beneath an super-fast cache.

- The DRAM has a 100 nS access time.
- The effective access time is 15 nS .
- The miss rate is $10 \%$.
- In the event of a miss, memory access time and cache access time do not overlap: They occur $100 \%$ sequentially, one after the other.

What is the super-fast cache access time?

## FOR SIMPLICITY, AVOID COMPLEX CALCULATION AND LEAVE YOUR ANSWER AS A SIMPLE FRACTION

CACHE_ACCESS_TIME=

```
Soln:
EFFECTIVE_ACCESS_TIME = CACHE_ACCESS_TIME + MISS_RATE*MISS_PENALTY
15nS = CACHE_ACCESS_TIME + 0.1*DRAM_ACCESS_TIME
15nS = CACHE_ACCESS_TIME + 0.1*100nS
15nS = CACHE_ACCESS_TIME + 10nS
5nS = CACHE_ACCESS_TIME
CACHE_ACCESS_TIME = 5nS
CACHE_ACCESS_TIME = 1.25nS
```


## Question 5: Malloc(), Free(), and User-Level Memory Allocation (10 points)

Consider the following code series of malloc's and free's:

```
ptr1 = malloc(4);
ptr2 = malloc(8);
ptr3 = malloc(4);
free(ptr1);
free(ptr3);
ptr4 = malloc(8);
ptr5 = malloc(12);
free (ptr4);
free (ptr2)
ptr6 = malloc(32);
```

And a malloc implementation as below:

- Explicit list
- First-fit (search starts at head each time)
- Headers of size 8 bytes
- Footer size of 8 -bytes
- Every block is always constrained to have a size a multiple of 8 (In order to keep payloads aligned to 8 bytes).
- A first-fit allocation policy is used.
- If no unallocated block of a large enough size to service the request is found, sbrk is called for the smallest multiple of 8 that can service the request.
- The heap is unallocated until it grows in response to the first malloc.
- Constant-time coalescing is employed.

NOTE: You do NOT need to simplify any mathematical expressions. Your final answer may include multiplications, additions, and divisions.

4(A) ( $\mathbf{2}$ points) After the given code sample is run, how many total bytes have been requested via sbrk? In other words, how many bytes are allocated to the heap? Draw a figure showing the heap and where each ptr is located.

Soln: 80B: 48B (ptr6)+ 32B (ptr5)


4(B) (2 points) How many of those bytes are used for currently allocated blocks (vs currently free blocks), including internal fragmentation and header information?

## Soln: All currently allocated

4(C)(2 points) How much internal fragmentation is there due to padding (Answer in bytes)? (Hint: Free blocks have no internal fragmentation).

Soln: 4B

4(D)(2 points) How much internal fragmentation is there due to headers and footers (Answer in bytes)? (Hint: Free blocks have no internal fragmentation).

## Soln: 32B

4(E)(2 points) Imagine that the user wrote a 14-character string to the buffer allocated ptr5. What would be the most likely result? And why? Circle the most likely result and then explain below.
A. It would be correct
B. It would be incorrect code, but would likely work correctly in this environment
C. In this environment, it will likely compile and run, but die of a SEGV or similar runtime memory error
D. In this environment, it will likely compile and run, but could generate incorrect results or crash later on

## Soln:

(B) Because the request is rounded up to a multiple of 8, there is room. But, if linked against a different malloc implementation or run elsewhere the results could be bad.

## 6. Virtual Memory, Paging, and the TLB (15 points)

This problem concerns the way virtual addresses are translated into physical addresses. Imagine a system has the following parameters:

- Virtual addresses are 16 bits wide.
- Physical addresses are 16 bits wide.
- The page size is 256 bytes.
- The TLB is 2-way set associative with 16 total entries.
- A single level page table is used


## Part A: Interpreting addresses

6(A)(1)( 1 points): Please label the diagram below showing which bit positions are interpreted as each of the PPO and PPN. Leave any unused entries blank.

| Bit | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PPN/ <br> PPO | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |

6(A)(2)( 1 points): Please label the diagram below showing which bit positions are interpreted as each of the VPO and VPN (top line) and each of the TLBI and TLBT (bottom line). Leave any unused entries blank.

| Bit | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VPOI $/$ <br> VPN | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| TLBII <br> TLBT | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $I$ | $I$ | $I$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

6(A)(3) (1 points): How many entries exist within each page table? Hint: This is the same as the total number of pages within each virtual address space.

Soln: One entry per page. 8 bits per page number means 256 pages.

## Part B: Hits and Misses (12 points)

Shown below are a partial TLB and partial page table.
TLB:

| Index | Tag | PPN | Valid | Scratch space for you |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $0 \times 0 \mathrm{~A}$ | $0 \times 42$ | 1 | VPN $=01010000 \quad 0 \times 60$ *** |  |
| 0 | $0 \times 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | $0 \times 23$ | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | $0 \times 14$ | $0 \times 12$ | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | $0 \times 11$ | $0 \times 45$ | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | $0 \times 05$ | $0 \times A 3$ | 0 | VPN $=00101010$ | $0 \times 2 A^{* * *}$ |
| 2 | $0 \times 0 \mathrm{~A}$ | $0 \times 78$ | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | $0 \times 09$ | $0 \times 56$ | 1 | VPN $=000000011$ | $0 \times 03$ *** |
| 3 | $0 \times 02$ | $0 \times 24$ | 0 | VPN $=000010111$ | $0 \times 17$ |
| 4 | $0 \times 08$ | $0 \times 25$ | 0 |  |  |
| 4 | $0 \times 10$ | $0 \times 26$ | 1 |  |  |

Page Table:

| Index/VPN | PPN | Valid | Scratch space for you |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $0 \times 56$ | 1 | TLB Hit |
| 23 | $0 \times A 3$ | 1 | TLB Miss, No fault |
| 96 | $0 \times 42$ | 1 | TLB Hit |
| 140 | $0 \times 12$ | 0 | TLB Miss, Page Fault |

For each address shown below, please indicate if it is a TLB Hit or Miss, whether or not it is a page fault, or if either can't be determined from the information provided.
Additionally, if knowable from the information provided, please provide the valid PPN

| Virtual <br> Address | TLB <br> Hit or Miss? | Page Fault? <br> Yes or No | PPN <br> If Knowable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0 \times 0344$ | Hit Miss Not knowable | Yes No Not knowable | $\mathbf{0 x 5 6}$ |
| $0 \times 1744$ | Hit Miss Not knowable | Yes No Not knowable | $\mathbf{0 x A 3}$ |
| $0 \times 8022$ | Hit Miss Not knowable | Yes No Not knowable | Not knowable |
| $0 \times 8 \mathrm{C} 42$ | Hit Miss Not knowable | Yes No Not knowable | Not knowable |

## Question 7: Process Representation and Lifecycle + Signals and Files (10 points)

## Part A (3 points):

Please consider the following code:

```
void main() {
    fork()
    printf ("A"); fflush(stdout);
        if (!fork()) {
        printf ("C"); fflush(stdout);
    } else {
        wait(NULL);
        printf ("E"); fflush(stdout);
    }
    printf ("F"); fflush(stdout);
}
```

7(A)(1) (1 points): Give one possible output string
Soln: Many are possible, e.g AACFCFEEFF

7(A)(2) (1 points): Give one output string that has the correct output characters (and number of each character), but in an impossible order.

Soln: Many possible, e.g. anything without an A first, or without an F last, or an F before an E, etc.

7(A)(3) (1 points): Why can't the output you provided in 7(A)(2) be produced? Specifically, what constraint(s) from the code does it violate?

See above.

## Continued on next page.

## Part B (3 points):

Please consider the following code:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
    char buffer[4] = "abc";
    // Assume "file.txt" exists but is initially empty
    int fdO = open("file.txt", O_RDWR);
    int fd1 = 0;
    int fd2 = open("file.txt", O_RDWR);
    read(fd0, buffer, 1);
    dup2(fd0, fd1);
    read(fd2, buffer+1, 2);
    write(fd0, buffer, 3);
    read(fd2, buffer, 1);
    write(fd1, buffer, 1);
    return 0;
}
```

7(B)(1) (1 points): What is the content of the output file after this code completes?
Soln: abca

7(B)(2) (1 points): How many entries are there in the system-wide open file table related to this code?

Soln: 2, one from each open

Continued on next page.

7(B)(3) (1 points): For each listed file descriptor variable, identify the file descriptor table entry pointed to by each file descriptor variable. Name the file descriptor entries FT1, FT2, FT3, FT4, etc.

| File descriptor variable | File table entry, e.g. FT1, FT2, FT3, FT4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| fd 0 | Soln: FT1 |
| fd 1 | Soln: FT1 |
| fd 2 | Soln: FT2 |

Continued on next page

## Part C (4 points):

Please consider the following code:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <wait.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <signal.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int count = 0;
void inthandler(int sig){
    count = 0;
    printf("SIGINT received\n");
    return;
}
void childhandler(int sig) {
    int status;
    wait(&status);
    count += WEXITSTATUS(status);
    return;
}
void main() {
    pid_t pid; // pid of child process
    signal(SIGINT, inthandler);
    signal(SIGCHLD, childhandler);
    pid = fork();
    if(!pid){
        kill(getppid(), SIGINT);
        exit(5); // Exit status is 5
    }
    sleep(5);
    printf("count = %d\n", count);
    exit(0); // Exit status is 0
}
```

7(C)(1) (2 points): What are the possible output(s) of the program?
Soln: 0 or 5, depending upon the race condition

7(C)(2) (1 points): There a critical (problematic shared) resource (variable)? What is it? Soln: count

7(C)(3) (1 points): What is the critical resource shared between or among?
Soln: Signal activations and the main program.

## Question \#8: Concurrency Control: Maladies, Semaphores, Mutexes, BB, RW (15 points)

Consider the goal of writing a concurrent program to achieve the following

- The main thread creates 100 threads, waits for each thread to terminate
- One the main program terminates, it prints the value of global variable sum.
- Each thread increments the value of global variable cnt by 1 , and adds the value of cnt to sum.
- Both sum and cnt have the initial value of 0 .

Each of the following 5 programs represent an attempt at a correct solution, but some suffer from concurrency-related problem(s).

- Please write CORRECT for each correct solution.
- Please write INCORRECT and describe the CONCURRENCY problem(s) for each incorrect attempt at a solution.

All the programs have the following global variable definitions:

```
volatile int sum = 0;
volatile int cnt = 0;
sem_t mutex1, mutex2;
```


## 8(A)(1) Attempt \#1 (3 points)

```
void *foo_1(void *vargp) {
        cnt += 1;
        sum += cnt;
}
int main() {
    pthread_t threads[ 100 ];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_create(&threads[ i ], NULL, foo_1, NULL);
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_join(threads[ i ], NULL);
    printf("%d", sum);
}
```


## Write your response below:

Soln: This is broken. Cnt and sum are critical resource and no attempt is made to control the load, mutate, update sequence within the critical section.

## 8(A)(2) Attempt \#2 (3 points)

```
void foo_2(void *vargp) {
    sem_wait(&mutex1);
    cnt += 1;
    sum += cnt;
    sem_post(&mutex1);
}
int main() {
    sem_init(&mutex1, 0, 1);
    pthread_t threads[ 100 ];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_create(&threads[ i ], NULL, foo_2, NULL);
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
                pthread_join(threads[ i ], NULL);
    printf("%d", sum);
}
```

Write your response below:
Soln: Correct. This simply creates a mutually exclusive critical section containing the manipulation of both critical resources using a single mutex.

## 8(A)(2) Attempt \#3 (3 points)

```
void foo_3(void *vargp) {
    sem_wait(&mutex1);
    cnt += 1;
    sem_post(&mutex1);
    sem_wait(&mutex2);
    sum += cnt;
    sem_post(&mutex2);
}
int main() {
    sem_init(&mutex1, 0, 1);
    sem_init(&mutex2, 0, 1);
    pthread_t threads[ 100 ];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_create(&threads[ i ], NULL, foo_3, NULL);
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_join(threads[ i ], NULL);
    printf("%d", sum);
}
Continued on next page.
```

Write your response below:
Soln: This is broken because sum and count are managed independently and the addition to sum needs to be of the associated cnt, not some potentially future instance from a different thread.

## 8(A)(2) Attempt \#4 (3 points)

```
void foo_4(void *vargp) {
    sem_wait(&mutex1);
    cnt += 1;
    sem_wait(&mutex2);
    sem_post(&mutex1);
    sum += cnt;
    sem_post(&mutex2);
}
int main() {
    sem_init(&mutex1, 0, 1);
    sem_init(&mutex2, 0, 1);
    pthread_t threads[ 100 ];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_create(&threads[ i ], NULL, foo_4, NULL);
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_join(threads[ i ], NULL);
    printf("%d", sum);
}
```

Write your response below:
Soln: This is just a mess. It acquires mutex2 before releasing mutex1, but this doesn't prevent mutex1 from being released before cnt is updated, so the consistency problem isn't fixed.

Continued on next page.

## 8(A)(2) Attempt \#5 (3 points)

```
void foo_5(void *vargp) {
    cnt += 1;
    sum += cnt;
    sem_post(&mutex1);
}
int main() {
    sem_init(&mutex1, 0, 1);
    pthread_t threads[ 100 ];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
                sem_wait(&mutex1);
        pthread_create(&threads[ i ], NULL, foo_5, NULL);
    }
    for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            pthread_join(threads[ i ], NULL);
    printf("%d", sum);
}
```

Write your response below:
Soln: This isn't a very pretty solution. But, it works. It forces each thread to only be created after its predecessor is done with the critical section.

