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In this lecture, we continue the proof of Cheeger's inequality and explore similar bounds on the largest eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian. Recall that the normalized Laplacian is given by $\mathscr{L}=D^{-1 / 2} L_{G} D^{-1 / 2}$, where

$$
D^{-1 / 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{d(1)}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(2)}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(n)}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $d(i)$ is the degree of vertex $i$. When $S \subseteq V$, we define $\delta(S)$ as the set of edges with exactly one endpoint in $S$, and $\operatorname{vol}(S)=\sum_{i \in S} d(i)$. The conductance of $S$ is defined as

$$
\phi(S)=\frac{|\delta(S)|}{\min (\operatorname{vol}(S), \operatorname{vol}(V-S))}
$$

and the conductance of $G$ is defined as $\phi(G)=\min _{S \subseteq V} \phi(S)$. Finally, let $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq$ $\cdots \leq \lambda_{n}$ denote the eigenvalues of $\mathscr{L}$.

## 1 Cheeger's Inequality

Theorem 1 (Cheeger's inequality, upper bound) We have $\phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \lambda_{2}}$.
Last time, we showed that, for any vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)=0$, we can find $S_{t} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(y)=\{i \in V: y(i) \neq 0\}$ such that $\frac{\left|\delta\left(S_{t}\right)\right|}{\operatorname{vol}\left(S_{t}\right)} \leq \sqrt{2 R(y)}$, where

$$
R(y)=\frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)-y(j))^{2}}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}}
$$

We also saw that $\lambda_{2}=\min R(y)$. The issue is that we may have $\operatorname{vol}\left(S_{t}\right)>\operatorname{vol}\left(V-S_{t}\right)$. To fix this, we will modify $y$ so that $\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{supp}(y)) \leq m($ recall that $\operatorname{vol}(V)=2 m)$.

The idea is to pick $c$ such that the two sets $\{i: y(i)<c\}$ and $\{i: y(i)>c\}$ both have volume at most $m$, then find $S_{t}$ for both of them and take the best one.

[^0]Claim 2 Let $z=y-c e$, where $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the vector of all ones. Then
(i) $z^{\top} D z \geq y^{\top} D y$.
(ii) $z^{\top} L_{G} z=y^{\top} L_{G} y$.
(iii) Let $z_{+}(i)=\max (0, z(i))$ and $z_{-}(i)=\min (0, z(i))$. Then $\min \left(R\left(z_{+}\right), R\left(z_{-}\right)\right) \leq$ $R(z) \leq R(y)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(z_{+}\right), \operatorname{supp}\left(z_{-}\right)$both have volume at most $m$.

Given the claim, we can finish the proof of Cheeger's inequality. Using the algorithm from last lecture, we find $S_{+} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(z_{+}\right), S_{-} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(z_{-}\right)$with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \left(\phi\left(S_{+}\right), \phi\left(S_{-}\right)\right)=\min \left(\frac{\mid \delta\left(S_{+}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(S_{+}\right)}, \frac{\mid \delta\left(S_{-}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(S_{-}\right)}\right) & \leq \min \left(\sqrt{2 R\left(z_{+}\right)}, \sqrt{2 R\left(z_{-}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{2 R(y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\phi(G) \leq \min \left(\phi\left(S_{+}\right), \phi\left(S_{-}\right)\right) \leq \min \sqrt{2 R(y)}=\sqrt{2 \lambda_{2}}$, as desired.

## Proof of claim:

(i) Let $f(c)=(y-c e)^{\top} D(y-c e)=\sum_{i \in V} d(i)(y(i)-c)^{2}$.

We have $f^{\prime}(c)=\sum_{i \in V}(-2 y(i) d(i)+2 c d(i))=2 c \sum_{i \in V} d(i)$, by $\sum_{i} y(i) d(i)=0$. Also, $f^{\prime \prime}(c)=2 \sum_{i} d(i)>0$, so that $f$ is minimized when $f^{\prime}(c)=0 \Longleftrightarrow c=0$, so that $z^{\top} D z \geq y^{\top} D y$, as desired.
(ii) Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\top} L_{G} z=\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(z(i)-z(j))^{2} & =\sum_{(i, j) \in E}((y(i)-c)-(y(j)-c))^{2} \\
& =\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)-y(j))^{2}=y^{\top} L_{G} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Note that

$$
z^{\top} D z=\sum_{i \in V} d(i) z(i)^{2}=\sum_{i \in V} d(i) z_{+}(i)^{2}+\sum_{i \in V} d(i) z_{-}(i)^{2}=z_{+}^{\top} D z_{+}+z_{-}^{\top} D z_{-}
$$

and

$$
z^{\top} L_{G} z \geq z_{+}^{\top} L_{G} z_{+}+z_{-}^{\top} L_{G} z_{-},
$$

if we can show that $(z(i)-z(j))^{2} \geq\left(z_{+}(i)-z_{+}(j)\right)^{2}+\left(z_{-}(i)-z_{-}(j)\right)^{2}$ for all $i, j$. This follows since if $z(i)$ and $z(j)$ have the same sign, then clearly $(z(i)-z(j))^{2}=$ $\left(z_{+}(i)-z_{+}(j)\right)^{2}+\left(z_{-}(i)-z_{-}(j)\right)^{2}$ (where one of the two terms is zero), while if $z(i)$ and $z(j)$ have opposite signs then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(z(i)-z(j))^{2} & =z(i)^{2}-2 z(i) z(j)+z(j)^{2} \\
& \geq z(i)^{2}+z(j)^{2} \\
& \geq\left(z_{+}(i)-z_{+}(j)\right)^{2}+\left(z_{-}(i)-z_{-}(j)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $-2 z(i) z(j)$ is positive in this case. Therefore,

$$
R(y)=\frac{y^{\top} L_{G} y}{y^{\top} D y} \geq R(z)=\frac{z^{\top} L_{G} z}{z^{\top} D z} \geq \frac{z_{+}^{\top} L_{G} z_{+}+z_{-}^{\top} L_{G} z_{-}}{z_{+}^{\top} D z_{+}+z_{-}^{\top} D z_{-}} \geq \min \left(R\left(z_{+}\right), R\left(z_{-}\right)\right)
$$

and from our choice of $c$, we have $\operatorname{vol}\left(z_{+}\right) \leq m$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(z_{-}\right) \leq m$.
Renato Paes Leme and David Applegate observe that the cuts generated by considering the vectors $z_{+}$and $z_{-}$correspond to sweep cuts in the original vector $y$, and so the overall analysis giving the upper bound on $\phi(G)$ can be thought of as analyzing the sweep cuts of $y$.

## 2 Bounds on largest eigenvalue

In the last lecture, we proved that $\lambda_{n} \leq 2$. Note that

$$
\lambda_{n}=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{x^{\top} \mathscr{L} x}{x^{\top} x}=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{x^{\top} D^{-1 / 2} L_{G} D^{-1 / 2} x}{x^{\top} x}=\max _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{y^{\top} L_{G} y}{y^{\top} D y},
$$

where we take $y=D^{-1 / 2} x$. We also claim the following
Claim $3 \lambda_{n}=2$ if and only if $G$ has a bipartite component.
We can easily show the if direction. If $G$ has a bipartite component $S$ with sides $L, R$, define a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ as $y(i)=1$ if $i \in L, y(i)=-1$ if $i \in R$ and $y(i)=0$ otherwise.

If $\delta(A, B)$ denotes the set of edges with one endpoint in $A$ and another in $B$, we have

$$
\frac{y^{\top} L_{G} y}{y^{\top} D y}=\frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)-y(j))^{2}}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}}=\frac{4 \delta(L, R)}{\operatorname{vol}(S)}=2 .
$$

Now we'll show a statement stronger than the converse: $G$ has a bipartite component when $\lambda_{n}=2$, and has an "almost" bipartite component when $\lambda_{n}$ is close to 2. To make this more precise, consider the quantity

$$
\beta(G)=\min _{\substack{S \subseteq V \\ S=L \cup R \\ L \cap R=\emptyset}} \frac{2|E(L)|+2|E(R)|+|\delta(S)|}{\operatorname{vol}(S)},
$$

where $E(X)$ denotes the set of edges with both endpoints in $X$. Alternatively,

$$
\beta(G)=\min _{y \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}|y(i)+y(j)|}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i)|y(i)|}
$$

where $L=\{i: y(i)=1\}, R=\{i: y(i)=-1\}$ and $S=L \cup R$.

Since $\lambda_{n}$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\mathscr{L}, \beta_{n}=2-\lambda_{n}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $2 I-\mathscr{L}=2 I-(I-\mathscr{A})=I+\mathscr{A}$. Hence

$$
\beta_{n}=\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{x^{\top}(I+\mathscr{A}) x}{x^{\top} x}=\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{x^{\top} D^{-1 / 2}(D+\mathscr{A}) D^{-1 / 2} x}{x^{\top} x}=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{y^{\top}(D+A) y}{y^{\top} D y} ;
$$

that is,

$$
\beta_{n}=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)+y(j))^{2}}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}}
$$

Trevisan proves the following very nice analogy to the Cheeger inequality.
Theorem 4 (Trevisan 2009)

$$
\frac{1}{2} \beta_{n} \leq \beta(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \beta_{n}}
$$

Proof: For the first inequality, simply note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n}=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)+y(j))^{2}}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}} & \leq \min _{y \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)+y(j))^{2}}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}} \\
& \leq \min _{y \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E} 2|y(i)+y(j)|}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}}=2 \beta(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

by noticing that $(y(i)+y(j))^{2} \leq 2|y(i)+y(j)|$ for $y(i), y(j) \in\{-1,0,+1\}$.
For the second inequality, pick $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying $\beta_{n}=\frac{y^{\top}(D+A) y}{y^{\top} y}$ and assume that $\max _{i} y^{2}(i)=1$ (if this is not true, scale $y$ accordingly). Choose $t \in[0,1]$ uniformly at random, and set $x(i)=1$ if $x(i) \geq \sqrt{t}, x(i)=-1$ if $x(i) \leq-\sqrt{t}$ and $x(i)=0$ otherwise.

Claim $5 \mathbb{E}[|x(i)+x(j)|] \leq|y(i)+y(j)| \cdot(|y(i)|+|y(j)|)$ for all $(i, j) \in E$.
Proof of claim: Without loss of generality suppose $y(i)^{2} \geq y(j)^{2}$. If $y(i), y(j)$ have the same sign then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|x(i)+x(j)|] & =1 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[y(j)^{2} \leq t \leq y(i)^{2}\right]+2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[t \leq y(j)^{2}\right] \\
& =y(i)^{2}+y(j)^{2} \\
& \leq|y(i)+y(j)| \cdot(|y(i)|+|y(j)|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, $y(i), y(j)$ have different signs, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[|x(i)+x(j)|] & =1 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[y(j)^{2} \leq t \leq y(i)^{2}\right] \\
& =y(i)^{2}-y(j)^{2} \\
& =(y(i)+y(j))(y(i)-y(j)) \leq|y(i)+y(j)| \cdot(|y(i)|+|y(j)|)
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Summing over all $(i, j) \in E$ and using Cauchy-Schwarz gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{(i, j) \in E}|x(i)+x(j)|\right] & \leq \sum_{(i, j) \in E}|y(i)+y(j)| \cdot(|y(i)|+|y(j)|) \\
& \leq \sqrt{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(y(i)+y(j))^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}(|y(i)|+|y(j)|)^{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\beta_{n} \sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{(i, j) \in E} 2\left(y(i)^{2}+y(j)^{2}\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{2 \beta_{n}} \sum_{i \in V} d(i) y(i)^{2} \\
& =\sqrt{2 \beta_{n}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i \in V} d(i)|x(i)|\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

so that there exists $x \in\{-1,0,1\}^{n}$ with

$$
\beta(G) \leq \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in E}|x(i)+x(j)|}{\sum_{i \in V} d(i)|x(i)|} \leq \sqrt{2 \beta_{n}},
$$

as desired. As with the proof of the Cheeger inequality, we can find such an $x$ easily because there are only $n$ possible different vectors $x$ produced by the algorithm, and these correspond to $t=y(i)^{2}$ for all $i \in V$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{0}$ This lecture is derived from Lau's 2012 notes, Week 2, http: //appsrv.cse.cuhk. edu.hk/~chi/ csc5160/notes/L02.pdf and Lau's 2015 notes, Lecture 4, https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~lapchi/ cs798/notes/L04.pdf.

