

Lecture 24: Compressed Sensing and JL property

Lecturer: David Witmer

Scribe: Ziye Tang

1 Recap

Recall the JL Lemma from last class. We have t points in $\mathbb{R}^n : \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$. We want to map all points to \mathbb{R}^m for $m \ll n$ such that all distances are ‘approximately’ maintained. The idea is made clear in the lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Given any set of points $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$, then there exists a map $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2})$ such that $(1 - \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2 \leq \|A(x_i) - A(x_j)\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|_2^2$. Furthermore, we can take A to be linear.*

Here is one way to construct A : let M be a $m \times n$ matrix such that each entry M_{ij} is an independent subgaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance 1. Let $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}M$. We showed the following lemma with respect to such A :

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. For every unit vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some constant $c > 0$, $\mathbb{P}[1 - \epsilon \leq \|Ax\|_2^2 \leq 1 + \epsilon] \geq 1 - e^{-c\epsilon^2 m}$*

Now we generalize and say that a distribution D has JL property if D is a distribution over $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and lemma 1.2 holds for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ drawn from distribution D . We will explore the connection between JL property and compressed sensing in this lecture. The lecture is based on Matousek’s [notes](#).

2 Compressed Sensing

Definition 2.1. For vector x , let x_i be its i th entry. Define $\text{supp}(x) := \{i : x_i \neq 0\}$.

Applications of compressed sensing can be found in section 2 [here](#). The main question we ask is the following: given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, find x such that $Ax = b$ and $|\text{supp}(x)| \leq r$. The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such a solution:

Theorem 2.2. *$Ax = b$ has at most one solution x with $|\text{supp}(x)| \leq r$ if and only if every set of $2r$ columns of A is linearly independent.*

Proof. The proof is based on definitions of linear independence.

‘if’: suppose by contradiction that $Ax = b$ has two sparse solutions x_1, x_2 . Let $\Delta x = x_1 - x_2$. Observe $\text{supp}(\Delta x) \leq 2r$, $A\Delta x = 0$ which is a contradiction.

‘only if’: suppose there are $2r$ columns that are linearly dependent, this means that $\exists y$, such that $|\text{supp}(y)| \leq 2r$, $Ay = 0$. Write $y = x_1 - x_2$ where $|\text{supp}(x_i)| \leq r, \forall i = 1, 2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Despite the nice characterization, it is shown that finding such a sparse solution is NP-hard. The following idea called ‘basis pursuit’ is a heuristic to find a sparse solution. We consider the following optimization problem $\min\{\|x\|_1 : Ax = b\}$. Moreover it is easy to see that it is equivalent to the following LP.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_i u_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & -u_i \leq x_i \leq u_i \\ & u \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The above heuristic works well in practice. Can we prove that it works well for some natural class of matrices A ?

Definition 2.3. Matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is BP-exact for sparsity r if for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $Ax = b$ has a unique sparse solution \tilde{x} with $|supp(\tilde{x})| \leq r$, the BP LP has a unique optimum \tilde{x} .

Here is the main result we shall prove:

Theorem 2.4 (Donoho; Candes-Tao; Rudelson-Vershynin). *There exists constants $C, c_1 > 0$ such that if n, m, r are integers with $1 \leq r \leq n/C$ and $m \geq Cr \log \frac{n}{r}$ and if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a random matrix drawn from a distribution D satisfying JL property, then the following holds:*

$$\mathbb{P}[A \text{ is BP-exact for sparsity } r] \geq 1 - e^{-c_1 m}$$

3 Proof

We outline the proof following [Matousek’s](#) lecture notes:

1. Define restricted isometry property (RIP)
2. Show $\text{RIP} \implies \text{BP-exactness}$
3. Show $\text{JL property} \implies \text{RIP}$

3.1 Restricted isometry property

Definition 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. A is an ϵ -almost isometry if for all unit vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $1 - \epsilon \leq \|Ax\|_2 \leq 1 + \epsilon$

Definition 3.2. A is a t -restricted ϵ -almost isometry if for all unit vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|supp(x)| \leq t$, $1 - \epsilon \leq \|Ax\|_2 \leq 1 + \epsilon$.

Lemma 3.3 ($\text{RIP} \implies \text{BP-exactness}$). *There exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if A is $3r$ -restricted ϵ_0 -almost isometry, then A is BP-exact for sparsity r .*

Proof. Suppose there exists $\Delta \neq 0$ such that $\tilde{x} + \Delta$ is a solution to $Ax = b$ and $\|\tilde{x} + \Delta\|_1 \leq \|\tilde{x}\|_1$. Since $A\tilde{x} = b$, we know $A\Delta = 0$. Note if A is almost isometry, then we would have a contradiction from $A\Delta = 0, \Delta \neq 0$. Since we only have control of small subsets of columns in A , we need to estimate more carefully. Below we shall show, roughly speaking, that $supp(\tilde{x})$ accounts for most L_1 and L_2 norm. For notation ease, let $S := supp(\tilde{x})$ and Δ_S be the components of Δ indexed by S .

Below is the claim w.r.t. 1-norm, where we use that $\|\tilde{x}\|_1 \geq \|\tilde{x} + \Delta\|_1$

Claim 3.4. $\|\Delta_S\| \geq \|\Delta_{\bar{S}}\|$, where $\bar{S} = [n] \setminus S$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{x}\|_1 &\geq \|\tilde{x} + \Delta\|_1 \\
&= \|(\tilde{x} + \Delta)_S\|_1 + \|(\tilde{x} + \Delta)_{\bar{S}}\|_1 \\
&= \|\tilde{x}_S + \Delta_S\|_1 + \|\Delta_{\bar{S}}\|_1 \\
&\geq \|\tilde{x}\|_1 - \|\Delta_S\|_1 + \|\Delta_{\bar{S}}\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

□

Next we shall show the 2-norm part. To this end, let us order each $i \in \bar{S}$ by its corresponding Δ_i value in nonincreasing order and partition the ordered index set \bar{S} into blocks B_1, B_2, \dots of size $2r$ each (except possibly for the last block of remaining indices). Namely, B_1 are the indices of the $2r$ largest coordinates of $\Delta_{\bar{S}}$ etc.. This choice implies that for every $i \in B_{j+1}$,

$$|\Delta_i| \leq \frac{\|\Delta_{B_j}\|_1}{2r}$$

Summing over $i \in B_{j+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in B_{j+1}} |\Delta_i|^2 &\leq \frac{\|\Delta_{B_j}\|_1^2}{2r} \\
\Rightarrow \|\Delta_{B_{j+1}}\|_2 &\leq \frac{\|\Delta_{B_j}\|_1}{\sqrt{2r}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \geq 1} \|\Delta_{B_{j+1}}\|_2 &\leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\|\Delta_{B_j}\|_1}{\sqrt{2r}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \|\Delta_{\bar{S}}\|_1 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \|\Delta_S\|_1 && \text{(claim 3.4)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Delta_S\|_2 && (\|z\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d} \|z\|_2 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{R}^d)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can derive a contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \|A\Delta\|_2 \\
&\geq \|A_{S \cup B_1} \Delta_{S \cup B_1}\|_2 - \sum_{j \geq 2} \|A_{B_j} \Delta_{B_j}\|_2 && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon_0) \|\Delta_{S \cup B_1}\|_2 - (1 + \epsilon_0) \sum_{j \geq 2} \|\Delta_{B_j}\|_2 && \text{(almost-isometry)} \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon_0) \|\Delta_S\|_2 - \frac{1 + \epsilon_0}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Delta_S\|_2 && \text{(above bound)} \\
&= \|\Delta_S\|_2 \left(1 - \epsilon_0 - \frac{1 + \epsilon_0}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

When ϵ_0 is small enough, this is a contradiction. □

3.2 Proof of main theorem

In light of lemma 3.3, we need to prove that if A has JL property as specified in lemma 1.2, then with probability at least $1 - e^{-c_1 m}$ for some constant c_1 , A is a $3r$ -restricted ϵ_0 -almost isometry. For notational ease, let $t := 3r$. Note that we only need to consider unit vectors. Below we show an upper bound on the probability that A does not have this property on S^{t-1} , where $S^{t-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^t : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$.

Suppose A does not have this property. Then there exists a t -element set $T \subset [n]$ such that A_T is not an ϵ_0 -almost isometry. Note there are at most $\binom{n}{t}$ choices for T . Let A_T be the submatrix of A whose columns are indexed by T and rows the same as A . Below we use a union-bound type argument to upper bound the probability that A_T is not an ϵ_0 -almost isometry. If we can do so, since there are at most $\binom{n}{t}$ choices for T , we will be done by a union-bound argument and choosing properly the magnitude of all parameters. To state two lemmas (see lemma 1.1 and 1.3 in Matousek's [notes](#) and the proofs therein), we need the following definition:

Definition 3.5. For a given set C in a Euclidean space, a subset $S \subset C$ is said to be ϵ -dense, if $\forall x \in C, \exists x' \in S$ such that $\|x - x'\|_2 \leq \epsilon$.

The lemma below says roughly that the behaviour (in the sense of preserving distance) of F on a dense set is a 'good approximation' of the behaviour on the whole set, and how good the approximation is depends on density of the subset.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, let $N \subset S^{t-1}$ be ϵ -dense. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear map satisfying

$$1 - \epsilon \leq \|F(q)\|_2 \leq 1 + \epsilon, \forall q \in N$$

Then F is a 3ϵ -almost isometry.

Let us fix an $\frac{\epsilon_0}{3}$ -net N_T on S^{t-1} (we will show the finiteness of N_T later). Recall A_T is not an ϵ_0 -almost isometry, so the above lemma says that there exists $q \in N_T$ such that the following does not hold:

$$1 - \frac{\epsilon_0}{3} \leq \|A_T q\| \leq 1 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{3}$$

By JL property, we know that for a fixed unit vector q , the above inequality does not hold with probability at most $e^{-c'm}$ for some positive c' depending on ϵ_0 . Now we can use a union bound argument to finish the proof if we can upper bound the size of N_T . The following lemma allows us to do this.

Lemma 3.7. $\forall \epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists an ϵ -dense set $N \subset S^{t-1}$ such that $|N| \leq (\frac{4}{\epsilon})^t$.

There are at most K^t choices for N_T with K depending on ϵ_0 and $\binom{n}{t}$ choices for $T \subset [n]$. Therefore the probability that A does not have t -restricted ϵ_0 -almost isometry property is at most

$$\binom{n}{t} K^t e^{-c'm} \leq \left(\frac{ne}{t}\right)^t K^t e^{-c'm} = \exp(3r(\ln \frac{ne}{3r}) + \ln K - c_2 m)$$

With the assumption that $m \geq Cr \log(n/r)$ from our main theorem, it is not hard to check that the last expression is bounded above by $e^{-c_2 m/2}$ if C is sufficiently large. So we are done.