1 Introduction to Hashing and the Problem

Imagine that we want to maintain a set of keys, which belong to a huge space of keys $U$. Often, the space can be larger than universal large constant:

$$|U| \geq 10^{100} \geq 10^{60}$$

However, the size of key set $K \subset U$ would not be such huge. Usually $|K| \approx 10^{15}$. If we want to maintain the set of keys so that we can insert, lookup, and delete any keys, our solution so far has been maintaining a ordered set so that we can do those options in $O(\log n)$ times.

1.1 Hashing

We can also maintain such a set by hashing. Hash function is a random mapping from the space of key $U$ to another smaller space $T$ (table). Usually we set the size of $T$, denoted $|T|$, to be approximately equal to $|K|$. We know that hashing method has the property:

**Claim 1.1.** Hashing table can insert, lookup, or delete any single element in $O(1)$ expected time.

1.2 The Closest Pair Problem

The closest pair problem is defined as:

**Input:** $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$; $P \subset$ Unit Box; $|P| = n$

**Output:** $CP(P) = \arg\min_{p \neq q, p, q \in P} \|p - q\|_2^1$

Now we place the points into boxes using hashing. The main idea is that we partition unit box into boxes of side length $\alpha$. We define boxes partition $G_\alpha$ as a grid partition of boxes with length of $\alpha$. See figure 1. Note that if $\alpha$ is small, say $10^{-10} = 1$ over 10 billion, then $10^{20}$ boxes is too big! Recall that we can use hashing to map the keys to a smaller space rather than key universe. Here, in this problem, the key universe is the universe of name of all boxes. The key space is the space of name of boxes containing a point. Note that there is totally at most $n$ points. So the size of hash table is $O(n)$. We also can use dynamic sizing to maintain the hash table.

**Lemma 1.2.** Hashing points into its box is $O(1)$ time.

**Definition 1.3.** (extended neighbor) If $B$ is a box of $G_\alpha$, then the extended neighbor of $B$ is all the 9 boxes next to $B$ including $B$ itself. See figure 2. We denote it $Ext(B)$.

\footnote{For compactness, later we may also treat the output of $CP$ as the distance between $p$ and $q$. That is because we want to be consistent with Gary’s lecture notes in this definition and later, also that is easy to compute $\|p - q\|_2$ in $O(1)$ time given $q$ and $p$.}
Figure 1: A grid boxes partition $G_\alpha$. There are in total $(\frac{1}{\alpha})^2$ boxes in the partition.

Figure 2: $\text{Ext}(B)$

**Lemma 1.4.** *(Packing Lemma)* Let $B$ be a box with side length $\alpha$, $\alpha \leq CP(P)$, and $P \subseteq B$. Then $|P| \leq 4$.

*Proof.* Split $B$ into 4 boxes. The diameter of each $B_i$ is $\alpha/\sqrt{2} \leq \alpha \leq CP(P)$. Thus each $B_i$ contains at most one point. \hfill $\square$

## 2 Test $\alpha$ Algorithm

Before staring introducing the algorithm of calculating $CP(P)$, we needs a procedure satisfying

**Definition 2.1.**

$$Test(\alpha > 0, P) = \begin{cases} 
\beta < \alpha & \text{if } \exists p \neq q \in P, \text{ s. t. } \|p - q\|_2 = \beta < \alpha \\
\alpha & \text{if } CP(P) = \alpha \\
\text{False} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

Here is a procedure $Test(\alpha, P)$:

Let $P_i = \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_{i-1}\}$. 
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Algorithm 1 Test($\alpha$, $P$)
1: Make hash table $H_\alpha$ for grid $G_\alpha$
2: Insert $P_1$ into $G_\alpha$
3: for $i = 2$ to $n$ do
4: Insert $P_i$ into its box $B$
5: Compute $\min dist(P_i, P_i \cap Ext(B)) = \beta$
6: if $\beta < \alpha$ then
7: return “$CP(P) \leq \beta < \alpha$”
8: end if
9: if $\beta = \alpha$ then
10: Flag $\leftarrow$ true
11: end if
12: end for
13: if Flag then
14: return “$CP(P) = \alpha$”
15: else
16: return “$CP(P) > \alpha$”
17: end if

Note: From Packing Lemma, there are at most 4 points in a box, so $Ext(P)$ contains at most 36 points. So computing $\min dis(P_i, P_i \cap Ext(B))$ is $O(1)$.

Claim 2.2. Test is linear time and correctly test the relationship between $CP(P)$ and $\alpha$.

3 2D CP Algorithm

Algorithm 2 $CP(P)$
Input: $P \subseteq UnitBox$
Output: $\alpha$
1: if $n \leq 4$ then
2: Check all pairs.
3: end if
4: Randomly permute $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, ..., P_n\}$
5: $\alpha \leftarrow 1$
6: while $Test(\alpha, P) = "\beta < \alpha"$ do
7: $\alpha \leftarrow \beta$
8: end while
9: return $\alpha$

3.1 Correctness
If $n \leq 4$, done.
By Lemma 1.4, if $n > 4$, then $\alpha < 1$.

3.2 Backward Analysis
Theorem 3.1. $CP(P)$ is expected linear time.
**Definition 3.2.** $\alpha_i$ be random variable. $\alpha_i = CP(P_1, \ldots, P_i)$, $i \geq 2$.

Note that $\alpha_{i+1} \leq \alpha_i$. And we restart Test (1) for each $i$ s.t. $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1}$.

Then we need to know $\text{Prob}(\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1})$. There are three cases.

1. There exists a unique closest pair, say $(P_j, P_k)$, and $P_j, P_k \in \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$.
   Then, removing $P_j$ or $P_k$ will cause it restart. So $\text{Prob}(\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1}) = \frac{2}{i}$.

2. There exists multiple closest pairs, and all these pairs include one point, say $P_j$ (shown in Figure 3: Left). Then, we need to restart only if we remove $P_j$. So, $\text{Prob}(\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{i}$.

3. There are two or more disjoint closest pairs (shown in Figure 3: Right). If we remove only one point from these pairs, we can see the closest distance will not change. So, we don’t need to restart anymore, and $\text{Prob}(\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1}) = 0$.

From the three cases, we learn $P(\alpha_i < \alpha_{i-1}) \leq \frac{2}{i}$. Each restart is $O(i)$ new work. So, the total expected work is

$$O\left(\sum \left(\frac{2}{i}i\right)\right) = O(n).$$