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## Goal of SP

- Increase distance between dependent operations by moving destination operation to a later iteration
$A: a \leftarrow \quad$ Id [d]
Assume all have latency of 2
B: $b \leftarrow a^{*} a$
C: $\quad s t[d], b$
$D: d \leftarrow d+4$

A
B $\square$ D

## Software Pipelining

- Software pipelining is an IS technique that reorders the instructions in a loop.
- Possibly moving instructions from one iteration to the previous or the next iteration.
- Very large improvements in running time are possible.
- The first serious approach to software pipelining was presented by Aiken \& Nicolau.
- Aiken's 1988 Ph.D. thesis.
- Impractical as it ignores resource hazards (focusing only on data-dependence constraints).
- But sparked a large amount of follow-on research.

Can we decrease the latency?

- Lets unroll

> A: $a \leftarrow I d[d]$
> B: $b \leftarrow a^{\star} a$
> C:
> D: $\quad d \leftarrow d+d], b$
> A1: $a \leftarrow \operatorname{dd}[d]$
> B1: $b \leftarrow a^{\star} a$
> C1: $\quad s t[d], b$
> D1: $d \leftarrow d+4$



## Schedule

## A: $a \leftarrow \quad$ Id [d] <br> B: $b \leftarrow a^{*} a$

$C:$
D: $d 1 \leftarrow d+4$
A1: $\mathrm{a} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{ld}$ [d1]
B1: $b 1 \leftarrow a 1 * a 1$
C1: $\quad s t[d 1], b 1$
D1: $\mathrm{d} \leftarrow \mathrm{d} 1+4$

| $A$ |  | $B$ |  | $C$ |  | $D 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $D$ |  | $A 1$ |  | $B 1$ |  | $C 1$ |

## Unroll Some More


D2: $d \leftarrow d 2+4$

| $A$ |  | $B$ |  | $C$ |  | $D 2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $D$ |  | $A 1$ |  | $B 1$ |  | $C 1$ |  |
|  | $D 1$ |  | $A 2$ |  | $B 2$ |  | $C 2$ |

A: $a \leftarrow \operatorname{ld}[d]$
A: $a \leftarrow \operatorname{ld}[d]$
B: $b \leftarrow a * a$
B: $b \leftarrow a * a$
C: $\quad s t[d], b$
C: $\quad s t[d], b$
A1: a1 $\leftarrow \mathrm{Id}$ [d1]
A1: a1 $\leftarrow \mathrm{Id}$ [d1]
B1: b1 $\leftarrow a 1 * a 1$
B1: b1 $\leftarrow a 1 * a 1$
C1:
C1:
$s t$ [d1], b
$s t$ [d1], b
A2. ${ }^{2}$
A2. ${ }^{2}$
B2: $2 \leftarrow \mathrm{dd}[\mathrm{d} 2]$
B2: $2 \leftarrow \mathrm{dd}[\mathrm{d} 2]$
B2: $\mathrm{b} 2 \leftarrow \mathrm{a} 2$ * a 2
B2: $\mathrm{b} 2 \leftarrow \mathrm{a} 2$ * a 2
C2: $\quad s t[\mathrm{~d} 2], \mathrm{b} 2$
C2: $\quad s t[\mathrm{~d} 2], \mathrm{b} 2$

Unroll Some More
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { A: } & a \leftarrow \quad \text { ld [d] } \\ \text { B: } & b \leftarrow & { }^{*}\end{array}$

| A: | $a \leftarrow$ | $l d[d]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B: | $b \leftarrow$ | $a^{\star} a$ |
| $C:$ |  | $s+[d], b$ |
| D: | $d 1 \leftarrow$ | $d+4$ |
| A1: | $a 1 \leftarrow$ | $I d[d 1]$ |
| B1: | $b 1 \leftarrow$ | $a 1^{\star} a 1$ |
| $C 1:$ |  | $s+[d 1], b 1$ |
| D1: | $d 2 \leftarrow$ | $d 1+4$ |
| A2: | $a 2 \leftarrow$ | $I d[d 2]$ |
| B2: | $b 2 \leftarrow$ | $a 2^{\star} a 2$ |
| $C 2:$ |  | $s+[d 2], b 2$ |
| D2: | $d \leftarrow$ | $d 2+4$ |


| $A$ |  | $B$ |  | $C$ |  | $D 3$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $D$ |  | $A 1$ |  | $B 1$ |  | $C 1$ |  |  |
|  | $D 1$ |  | $A 2$ |  | $B 2$ |  | $C 2$ |  |
|  |  | $D 2$ |  | $A 3$ |  | $B 3$ |  | $C 3$ |




## Goal of SP

- Increase distance between dependent operations by moving destination operation to a later iteration
- But also, to uncover ILP across iteration boundaries!
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## Example

Assume operating on a infinite wide machine



## Loop Unrolling V. SP

For SuperScalar or VLIW

- Loop Unrolling reduces loop overhead
- Software Pipelining reduces fill/drain
- Best is if you combine them




## Dealing with exit conditions

 for (i=: i iN: i++)

| $A_{i}$ | $i=0$ | loop: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | if ( $\mathrm{i}>=\mathrm{N}$ ) goto done | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| \} | $A_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $C_{\text {i-2 }}$ |
|  | if ( $\mathrm{i}+1=\mathrm{N}$ ) goto last | i++ |
|  | $i=1$ | if ( $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{N}$ ) goto loop |
|  | $A_{1}$ $\text { if }(i+2==N) \text { aoto epiloa }$ | epilog: <br> $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $i=2$ | $C_{i-1}$ |
|  |  | last: |
|  |  | $c_{i}$ |
|  |  | done: |

## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 1

Perform scalar replacement to eliminate memory references where possible.

```
for i:=1 to N do
    a := j \oplus V[i-1]
    b := a \oplus f
    c := e @ ¢ j
    d := f @ c
    e := b @ d
    f := U[i]
g: v[i] := b
h: w[i] := d
    j := x[i]
```

    for \(i:=1\) to \(N\) do
    \(a:=j \oplus b\)
    \(b:=a \oplus \oplus\)
    \(\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{e} \oplus \mathrm{j}\)
    \(\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{f} \oplus \mathrm{c}\)
    \(\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{b} \oplus \mathrm{d}\)
    \(\mathrm{f}:=\mathrm{U}[\mathrm{i}]\)
    \(\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{V}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{b}\)
    $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{W}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{d}$
$\mathrm{j}:=\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]$
for $\begin{aligned} i & :=1 \text { to } N \text { do } \\ a & :=j \oplus b\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{a}:=\mathrm{j} \oplus \mathrm{b}$
$\mathrm{b}:=\mathrm{a} \oplus \mathrm{f}$
c $:=\mathrm{e} \oplus \mathrm{j}$
$\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{f} \oplus \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{b} \oplus \mathrm{d}$
$\mathrm{V}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{b}$
j [i] $:=\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]$

## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 2

Unroll the loop and compute the data-dependence graph (DDG).

DDG for rolled loop:
for $i:=1$ to $N$ do
$a:=j \oplus b$
$\mathrm{b}:=\mathrm{a} \oplus \oplus \mathrm{f}$
$\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{e} \oplus \mathrm{j}$
$\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{f} \oplus \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{b} \oplus \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{b} \oplus+$
$\mathrm{f}:=\mathrm{U}[\mathrm{i}]$
$\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{V}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{b}$
: W[i] := $=d$


## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 3



## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 4

Find repeating patterns of instructions.


## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 4

Find repeating patterns of instructions.


Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling
Step 5

## "Coalesce" the slopes.



## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 6

Find the loop body and "reroll" the loop.

| iteration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | acfj |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | bd | $f j$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | egh | a |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | cb | $\mathrm{fj}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | dg |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 6$ |  | eh b | b | $\mathrm{fj}^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |
| \% 7 |  |  | cg | a |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 8$ |  |  | d | b |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | eh | g | fj |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | c | a |  |
| 11 |  |  |  | d | b |  |
| 12 |  |  |  | eh | g |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | c |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | d |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | eh |  |

## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 7

## Generate code.

(Assume VLIW-like machine for this example. The instructions on each line should be issued in parallel.)

```
a1:= j0 @ b0 clll:= c0 @ j0 llll:= fl1] 
e1:=b1 \oplusd1 
```



```
d2:= f1 \oplus c2 ll[2]:= b2 
L:
d
lulllll
d
\mp@subsup{e}{N-1}{}:=\mp@subsup{b}{n-1}{*}\oplus\mp@subsup{|}{N-1}{*}
```




## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling

 Step 6Find the loop body and "reroll" the loop.


## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 8

- Since several versions of a variable (e.g., $j_{i}$ and $j_{i+1}$ ) might be live simultaneously, we need to add new temps and moves



$\mathrm{d} 2:=\mathrm{f} 1 \oplus \mathrm{c} 2 \quad \mathrm{~V}[2]:=\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{a} 3:=\mathrm{j} 2 \oplus \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{j} \quad:=\mathrm{x}[3]$

L:

if $\quad$ i<N-2 goto $L$

$e^{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}-1}}:=e_{\mathrm{N}-1} \oplus \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{N}-1}$

$w^{[N]}:=d_{N}$


## Aiken/Nicolau Scheduling Step 8

- Since several versions of a variable (e.g., $j_{i}$ and $j_{i+1}$ ) might be live simultaneously, we need to add new temps and moves



```
    lol
```



```
c
d
    lol
    c
d,
\mp@subsup{c}{w}{m-1}
col
```


## Resource Constraints

- Minimally indivisible sequences, $i$ and $j$, can execute together if combined resources in a step do not exceed available resources.
- $R(i)$ is a resource configuration vector
$R(i)$ is the number of units of resource $i$
- $r(i)$ is a resource usage vector s.t.
$0 \leq r(i) \leq R(i)$
- Each node in $G$ has an associated $r(i)$


## Next Step in SP

- AN88 did not deal with resource constraints.
- Modulo Scheduling is a SP algorithm that does.
- It schedules the loop based on
- resource constraints
- precedence constraints
- Basically, it's list scheduling that takes into account resource conflicts from overlapping iterations


## Software Pipelining Goal

- Find the same schedule for each iteration.
- Stagger by iteration initiation interval, s
- Goal: minimize s.
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## Software Pipelining Goal

- Find the same schedule for each iteration.
- Stagger by iteration initiation interval, s
- Goal: minimize s.

resources must be within constraints


## Precedence Constraints

- Cyclic: constraint becomes a tuple: $\langle p, d\rangle$
- $p$ is the minimum iteration delay
(or the loop carried dependence distance)
- $d$ is the delay
- For an edge, $u \rightarrow v$, we must have $\sigma(v)-\sigma(u) \geq d(u, v)-s^{*} p(u, v)$
- $p \geq 0$
- If data dependence is
- within an iteration, $p=0$
- loop-carried across p iter boundaries, p>0


## Iterative Approach

- Finding minimum $S$ that satisfies the constraints is NP-Complete.
- Heuristic:
- Find lower and upper bounds for $S$
- foreach sfrom lower to upper bound?
- Schedule graph.
- If succeed, done
- Otherwise try again (with next higher s)
- Thus: "Iterative Modulo Scheduling" Rau, et.al.


## Lower Bounds

- Resource Constraints: $S_{R}$ (also called II $_{\text {res }}$ ) maximum over all resources of $\#$ of uses divided by \# available... rounded up or down?
- Precedence Constraints: $S_{E}$ (also called ${\left.I I_{\text {rec }} \text { ) }\right) ~(c) ~}_{\text {( }}$ ( max over all cycles: $d(c) / p(c)$

In practice, one is easy, other is hard.
Tim's secret approach: just use $S_{R}$ as lower bound, then do binary search for best $S$

## Iterative Approach

- Heuristic:
- Find lower and upper bounds for $S$
- foreach s from lower to upper bound
- Schedule graph.
- If succeed, done
- Otherwise try again (with next higher s)
- So the key difference:
- AN88 does not assume $S$ when scheduling
- IMS must assume an S for each scheduling attempt to understand resource conflicts



## Lower Bound on s

- Assume 1 ALU and 1 MU
- Assume latency Op or load is 1 cycle
for $i:=1$ to $N$ do
$a:=j \oplus b$
$\mathrm{b}:=\mathrm{a} \oplus \oplus \mathrm{f}$
$\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{e} \oplus \mathrm{j}$
$\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{f} \oplus \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{b} \oplus \mathrm{d}$
f := U[i]
g: V[i] := b
$h: W[i]:=d$
$j:=x[i]$
Resources
Dependencies => 3 cycles



## Scheduling algorithm

- Pick an instruction, n
- Calculate earliest time due to dependence constraints For all $x=p r e d(n)$,
earliest $=\max ($ earliest,$\sigma(x)+d(x, n)-s \cdot p(x, n))$
- try and schedule $n$ from earliest to (earliest+s-1)
s.t. resource constraints are obeyed.
- possible twist: deschedule a conflicting node to make way for $n$, maybe randomly, like sim anneal
- If we fail, then this schedule is faulty (i.e. give up on this s)


## Scheduling data structures

To schedule for initiation interval s:

- Create a resource table with $s$ rows and $R$ columns
- Create a vector, $\sigma$, of length $N$ for $n$ instructions in the loop
$-\sigma[n]=$ the time at which $n$ is scheduled, or NONE
- Prioritize instructions by some heuristic
- critical path (or cycle)
- resource critical


## Scheduling algorithm - cont.

- We now schedule $n$ at earliest, I.e., $\sigma(n)=$ earlies $\dagger$
- Fix up schedule
- Successors, $x$, of $n$ must be scheduled s.t.
$\sigma(x)>=\sigma(n)+d(n, x)-s p(n, x)$, otherwise they are removed (descheduled) and put back on worklist.
- repeat this some number of times until either
- succeed, then register allocate
- fail, then increase s


Modulo Resource Table:


## Simplest Example

```
for () {
    a = b+c
    b = a*a
    c = a*194
}
Try II = 2
```



Modulo Resource Table:
 ${ }^{54}$

## Simplest Example

```
for () {
    a=b+c
    b = a*a
        c = a*194
}
Try II =2
```



Modulo Resource Table:
011
1
$\square \square$


## Simplest Example

```
for () {
    a=b+c
    b = a*a
    c = a*194
}
Try II = 2
```

Modulo Resource Table:


earliest $b$ ? scheduled $b$ ? what next?

## Example

for $i:=1$ to $N$ do
$a:=j \oplus b$
$\mathrm{b}:=\mathrm{a} \oplus \mathrm{f}$
$\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{e} \oplus \mathrm{j}$
$\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{f} \oplus \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{b} \oplus \mathrm{d}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{b}$ ( f d d
f
$\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{b}$
h: w[i] := d
$j:=x[i]$
Priorities: ?





$\mathrm{a}:=\mathrm{j} \oplus \mathrm{b}$
$s=5$
$b:=\mathrm{a} \oplus \mathrm{f}$
$\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{e} \oplus \oplus \mathrm{j}$
$\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{f} \oplus \mathrm{c}$
e := b $\oplus d$
$\mathrm{f}:=\mathrm{U}[\mathrm{i}]$
$\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{V}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{b}$
: W[i] := d
j := x[i]

## Priorities:g,h



| ALU | MU |
| :--- | :--- |
| c | $f$ |
| d | $j$ |
| $e$ | $g$ |
| $a$ | $h$ |
| $b$ |  |


| instr | $\sigma$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| a | 3 |
| $b$ | 4 |
| c | 5 |
| $d$ | 6 |
| e | 7 |
| $f$ | 0 |
| g | 7 |
| h | 8 |
| j | 1 |

## Creating the Loop

- Create the body from the schedule.
- Determine which iteration an instruction falls into
- Mark its sources and dest as belonging to that iteration.
- Add Moves to update registers
- Prolog fills in gaps at beginning
- For each move we will have an instruction in prolog, and we fill in dependent instructions
- Epilog fills in gaps at end

| instr | $\sigma$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| a | 3 |
| $b$ | 4 |
| c | 5 |
| $d$ | 6 |
| $e$ | 7 |
| $f$ | 0 |
| g | 7 |
| h | 8 |
| j | 1 |

$$
f 0=U[0] ;
$$

j0 = X[0];

FOR $\mathrm{i}=0$ to N
$f 1:=U[i+1]$
$j 1:=X[i+1]$
nop
$a:=j 0$ ? b
$b:=a$ ? f0
$c:=e$ ? j0
$d:=f 0 ? c$
$e:=b ? d \quad g: V[i]:=b$
h: W[i]:=d
f0 = f1
$j 0=j 1$

## Conditionals

- What about internal control structure, I.e., conditionals
- Three approaches
- Schedule both sides and use conditional moves
- Schedule each side, then make the body of the conditional a macro op with appropriate resource vector
- Trace schedule the loop


## What to take away

- Dependence analysis is very important
- Software pipelining is cool
- Registers are a key resource

