Spatial Data Structures

- Hierarchical Bounding Volumes
- Grids
- Octrees
- BSP Trees

Speeding Up Computations

Speeding Up Computations

- Ray Tracing
  - Spend a lot of time doing ray object intersection tests

Speeding Up Computations

- Ray Tracing
  - Spend a lot of time doing ray object intersection tests

- Hidden Surface Removal – painters algorithm
  - Sorting polygons front to back

Speeding Up Computations

- Ray Tracing
  - Spend a lot of time doing ray object intersection tests

- Hidden Surface Removal – painters algorithm
  - Sorting polygons front to back

- Collision between objects
  - Quickly determine if two objects collide

n^2 computations

Speeding Up Computations

- Ray Tracing
  - Spend a lot of time doing ray object intersection tests

- Hidden Surface Removal – painters algorithm
  - Sorting polygons front to back

- Collision between objects
  - Quickly determine if two objects collide

n^2 computations

Spatial data-structures

Spatial Data Structures

- We'll look at
  - Hierarchical bounding volumes
  - Grids
  - Octrees
  - K-d trees and BSP trees

- Good data structures can give speed up ray tracing by 10x or 100x

Bounding Volumes

- Wrap things that are hard to check for intersection in things that are easy to check
  - Example: wrap a complicated polygonal mesh in a box
  - Ray can't hit the real object unless it hits the box
  - Adds some overhead, but generally pays for itself.

Bounding Volumes

- Wrap things that are hard to check for intersection in things that are easy to check
  - Example: wrap a complicated polygonal mesh in a box
  - Ray can't hit the real object unless it hits the box
  - Adds some overhead, but generally pays for itself.
- Most common bounding volume types: sphere and box
  - box can be axis-aligned or not



Bounding Volumes

- Wrap things that are hard to check for intersection in things that are easy to check
  - Example: wrap a complicated polygonal mesh in a box
  - Ray can't hit the real object unless it hits the box
  - Adds some overhead, but generally pays for itself.

- Most common bounding volume types: sphere and box
  - box can be axis-aligned or not

- You want a snug fit!
- But you don't want expensive intersection tests!





Bad!



Good!

Bounding Volumes

- You want a snug fit!
- But you don't want expensive intersection tests!
- Use the ratio of the object volume to the enclosed volume as a measure of fit.

- Cost = n*B + m*I

  n - is the number of rays tested against the bounding volume

  B - is the cost of each test (Do not need to compute exact intersection!)

  m - is the number of rays which actually hit the bounding volume

  I - is the cost of intersecting the object within







Bounding Volumes

- You want a snug fit!
- But you don't want expensive intersection tests!
- Use the ratio of the object volume to the enclosed volume as a measure of fit.

- Cost = n*B + m*I

  n - is the number of rays tested against the bounding volume

  B - is the cost of each test (Do not need to compute exact intersection!)

  m - is the number of rays which actually hit the bounding volume

  I - is the cost of intersecting the object within



Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones



Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones



Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Check intersect root
If not return no intersections

Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Check intersect root
If intersect
    check intersect left sub-tree
    check intersect right sub-tree

Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Check intersect root
If intersect
    check intersect left sub-tree
    check intersect right sub-tree

Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Check intersect root
If intersect
    check intersect left sub-tree
    check intersect right sub-tree

Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones





Check intersect root
If intersect
    check intersect left sub-tree
    check intersect right sub-tree

Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Many ways to build a tree for the hierarchy
- Works well:
  - Binary
  - Roughly balanced
  - Boxes of sibling trees not overlap too much





Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Sort the surfaces along the axis before dividing into two boxes
- Carefully choose axis each time
- Choose axis that minimizes sum of volumes



Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Sort the surfaces along the axis before dividing into two boxes
- Carefully choose axis each time
- Choose axis that minimizes sum of volumes



Hierarchical Bounding Volumes

- Works well if you use good (appropriate) bounding volumes and hierarchy
- Should give O(log n) rather than O(n) complexity (n=# of objects)
- Can have multiple classes of bounding volumes and pick the best for each enclosed object

Hierarchical bounding volumes

Spatial Subdivision

- Grids
- Octrees
- K-d trees and BSP trees

3D Spatial Subdivision

- Bounding volumes enclose the objects (object-centric)

- Instead could divide up the space—the further an object is from the ray the less time we want to spend checking it
  - Grids
  - Octrees
  - K-d trees and BSP trees

Grids

- Data structure: a 3-D array of cells (voxels) that tile space
  - Each cell points to list of all surfaces intersecting that cell



- Intersection testing:
  - Start tracing at cell where ray begins
  - Step from cell to cell, searching for the first intersection point
  - At each cell, test for intersection with all surfaces pointed to by that cell
  - If there is an intersection, return the closest one

Grids

- Cells are traversed in an incremental fashion
- Hits of sets of parallel lines are very regular



More on Grids

- Be Careful! The fact that a ray passes through a cell and hits an object doesn't mean the ray hit that object in *that* cell

- Optimization: cache intersection point and ray id in "mailbox" associated with each object



- Step from cell to cell
- Get object intersecting cell
- Find closest intersection
- If found intersection --- done

More on Grids

- Grids are a poor choice when the world is nonhomogeneous (clumpy)
  - many polygons clustered in a small space

- How many cells to use?
  - too few $\Rightarrow$ many objects per cell $\Rightarrow$ slow
  - too many $\Rightarrow$ many empty cells to step through $\Rightarrow$ slow

- Non-uniform spatial subdivision is better!



Octrees

- Quadtree is the 2-D generalization of binary tree
  - node (cell) is a square
  - recursively split into four equal sub-squares
  - stop when leaves get “simple enough”



Octrees



- Quadtree is the 2-D generalization of binary tree
  - node (cell) is a square
  - recursively split into four equal sub-squares
  - stop when leaves get “simple enough”
- Octree is the 3-D generalization of quadtree
  - node (cell) is a cube, recursively split into eight equal sub-cubes
  - for ray tracing:
    - stop subdivision based on number of objects
    - internal nodes store pointers to children, leaves store list of surfaces
  - more expensive to traverse than a grid
  - but an octree adapts to non-homogeneous scenes better

```
trace(cell, ray) {          // returns object hit or NONE
    if cell is leaf, return closest(objects_in_cell(cell))
    for child cells pierced by ray, in order      // 1 to 4 of these
        obj = trace(child, ray)
        if obj!=NONE return obj
    return NONE
}
```

Which Data Structure is Best for Ray Tracing?

Grids

- Easy to implement
- Require a lot of memory
- Poor results for inhomogeneous scenes

Octrees

- Better on most scenes (more adaptive)

Spatial subdivision expensive for animations

- Hierarchical bounding volumes
- Better for dynamic scenes
- Natural for hierarchical objects

k-d Trees and BSP Trees

- Relax the rules for quadtrees and octrees:



- k-dimensional (k-d) tree
  - – don't always split at midpoint
  - – split only one dimension at a time (i.e. *x* or *y* or *z*)

- binary space partitioning (BSP) tree
  - – permit splits with any line
  - – In 2-D space split with lines (most of our examples)
  - – 3-D space split with planes
  - – K-D space split with *k*-1 dimensional hyperplanes

- useful for Painter's algorithm (hidden surface removal)

Painters Algorithm

Hidden Surface Elimination



Painters Algorithm

- Need to sort objects back to front
- Order depends on the view point
- Partition objects using BSP tree
- View independent

Building a BSP Tree

- Let's look at simple example with 3 line segments
- Arrowheads are to show left and right sides of lines.
- Using line 1 or 2 as root is easy.
- (examples from http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/2151/bsp.html)





a BSP tree using 2 as root

Drawing Objects

- Traverse the tree from the root
- If view point is on the left of the line --- traverse right sub-tree first
- Draw the root
- Traverse left sub-tree





a BSP tree
using 2 as root

Building the Tree 2

Using line 3 for the root requires a split





Drawing Back to Front

- Use Painter's Algorithm for hidden surface removal



Steps:

- *Draw objects on far side of line 3*
  - *Draw objects on far side of line 2a*
    - Draw line 1
  - Draw line 2a
- Draw line 3
- *Draw objects on near side of line 3*
  - Draw line 2b



Triangles

Use plane containing triangle T_1 to split the space

If view point is on one side of the plane draw polygons on the other side first

T_2 does not intersect plane of T_1



Triangles

Use plane containing triangle T_1 to split the space

If view point is on one side of the plane draw polygons on the other side first

T_2 does not intersect plane of T_1





Triangles

Split Triangle





Painter's Algorithm with BSP trees

- Build the tree
  - Involves splitting some polygons
  - Slow, but done only once for static scene
- Correct traversal lets you draw in back-to-front or front-to-back order for any viewpoint
  - Order is view-dependent
  - Pre-compute tree once
  - Do the "sort" on the fly
- Will not work for changing scenes

Drawing a BSP Tree

- Each polygon has a set of coefficients:

  $Ax + By + Cz + D$

- Plug the coordinates of the viewpoint in and see:

  >0 : front side

  <0 : back facing

  =0 : on plane of polygon

- Back-to-front draw: inorder traversal, do farther child first
- Front-to-back draw: inorder traversal, do near child first

```
front_to_back(tree, viewpt) {
    if (tree == null)  return;
    if (positive_side_of(root(tree), viewpt)) {
        front_to_back(positive_branch(tree, viewpt);
        display_polygon(root(tree));
        front_to_back(negative_branch(tree, viewpt);
    }
    else { …draw negative branch first…}
}
```

Building a Good Tree - the tricky part

- A naïve partitioning of *n* polygons will yield $O(n^3)$ polygons because of splitting!

- Algorithms exist to find partitionings that produce $O(n^2)$.
  - For example, try all remaining polygons and add the one which causes the fewest splits
  - Fewer splits -> larger polygons -> better polygon fill efficiency

- Also, we want a balanced tree.

Demos

BSP Tree construction

http://symbolcraft.com/graphics/bsp/index.html

- KD Tree construction

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~brabec/quadtree/index.html

Real-time and Interactive Ray Tracing

The OpenRT Real-Time Ray-Tracing Project
http://www.openrt.de/index.php

- Interactive ray tracing via space subdivision
http://www.cs.utah.edu/~reinhard/egwr/

- Interactive ray tracing with good hardware
http://www.cs.utah.edu/vissim/projects/raytracing/