Concurrent Programming

15-213 / 18-213: Introduction to Computer Systems
22nd Lecture, Nov. 11, 2014

Instructors:

Greg Ganger, Greg Kesden, and Dave O'Hallaron

Reminder: Iterative echo server



Iterative Servers

- Iterative servers process one request at a time



Fundamental Flaw of Iterative Servers



- **Solution: use *concurrent servers* instead**
  - Concurrent servers use multiple concurrent flows to serve multiple clients at the same time

Approaches for Writing Concurrent Servers

1. Process-based
- Kernel automatically interleaves multiple logical flows
- Each flow has its own private address space

2. Event-based
- Programmer manually interleaves multiple logical flows
- All flows share the same address space
- Also referred to as *I/O multiplexing.*
- Not covered in lecture (see your textbook)

3. Thread-based
- Kernel automatically interleaves multiple logical flows
- Each flow shares the same address space
- Hybrid of of process-based and event-based.

Approach #1: Process-based Servers

- Spawn separate process for each client



Process-Based Concurrent Echo Server

```
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int listenfd, connfd;
    socklen_t clientlen;
    struct sockaddr_storage clientaddr;

    Signal(SIGCHLD, sigchld_handler);
    listenfd = Open_listenfd(argv[1]);
    while (1) {
        clientlen = sizeof(struct sockaddr_storage);
        connfd = Accept(listenfd, (SA *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
        if (Fork() == 0) {
            Close(listenfd); /* Child closes its listening socket */
            echo(connfd);    /* Child services client */
            Close(connfd);   /* Child closes connection with client */
            exit(0);         /* Child exits */
        }
        Close(connfd); /* Parent closes connected socket (important!) */
    }
}
```

echoserverp.c

Process-Based Concurrent Echo Server (cont)

```
void sigchld_handler(int sig)
{
    while (waitpid(-1, 0, WNOHANG) > 0)
        ;
    return;
}
```

echoserverp.c

- Reap all zombie children

Concurrent Server: `accept` Illustrated

1. Server blocks in `accept`, waiting for connection request on listening descriptor `listenfd`



2. Client makes connection request by calling `connect`



3. Server returns `connfd` from `accept`. Forks child to handle client. Connection is now established between `clientfd` and `connfd`

Process-based Server Execution Model



- Each client handled by independent child process
- No shared state between them
- Both parent & child have copies of listenfd and connfd
  - Parent must close `connfd`
  - Child should close `listenfd`

Issues with Process-based Servers

- **Listening server process must reap zombie children**
  - to avoid fatal memory leak
- **Listening server process must `close` its copy of `connfd`**
  - Kernel keeps reference for each socket/open file
  - After fork, `refcnt(connfd) = 2`
  - Connection will not be closed until `refcnt(connfd) == 0`

Pros and Cons of Process-based Servers

- **+ Handle multiple connections concurrently**
- **+ Clean sharing model**
  - descriptors (no)
  - file tables (yes)
  - global variables (no)
- **+ Simple and straightforward**
- **– Additional overhead for process control**
- **– Nontrivial to share data between processes**
  - Requires IPC (interprocess communication) mechanisms
    - FIFO's (named pipes), System V shared memory and semaphores

Approach #2: Event-based Servers

- **Popular approach for modern high-performance servers**
  - E.g., Node.js, nginx, Tornado.

- **Not covered here. See your textbook.**

Approach #3: Thread-based Servers

- **Very similar to approach #1 (process-based)**
  - …but using threads instead of processes

Traditional View of a Process

- Process = process context + code, data, and stack

Process context

Program context:
- Data registers
- Condition codes
- Stack pointer (SP)
- Program counter (PC)

Kernel context:
- VM structures
- Descriptor table
- brk pointer

Code, data, and stack



Alternate View of a Process

- Process = thread + code, data, and kernel context



A Process With Multiple Threads

- **Multiple threads can be associated with a process**
  - Each thread has its own logical control flow
  - Each thread shares the same code, data, and kernel context
  - Each thread has its own stack for local variables
    - but not protected from other threads
  - Each thread has its own thread id (TID)



Logical View of Threads

- **Threads associated with process form a pool of peers**
  - Unlike processes which form a tree hierarchy

Threads associated with process foo



Process hierarchy



Concurrent Threads

- Two threads are *concurrent* if their flows overlap in time
- Otherwise, they are sequential

- Examples:
  - Concurrent: A & B, A&C
  - Sequential: B & C



Concurrent Thread Execution

- **Single Core Processor**
  - Simulate parallelism by time slicing
- **Multi-Core Processor**
  - Can have true parallelism



Run 3 threads on 2 cores

Threads vs. Processes

- **How threads and processes are similar**
  - Each has its own logical control flow
  - Each can run concurrently with others (possibly on different cores)
  - Each is context switched
- **How threads and processes are different**
  - Threads share all code and data (except local stacks usually)
    - Processes (typically) do not
  - Threads are somewhat less expensive than processes
    - Process control (creating and reaping) twice as expensive as thread control
    - Linux numbers:
      - ~20K cycles to create and reap a process
      - ~10K cycles (or less) to create and reap a thread

Posix Threads (Pthreads) Interface

- ***Pthreads:*** **Standard interface for ~60 functions that manipulate threads from C programs**
  - Creating and reaping threads
    - `pthread_create()`
    - `pthread_join()`
  - Determining your thread ID
    - `pthread_self()`
  - Terminating threads
    - `pthread_cancel()`
    - `pthread_exit()`
    - `exit()` [terminates all threads] , `RET` [terminates current thread]
  - Synchronizing access to shared variables
    - `pthread_mutex_init`
    - `pthread_mutex_[un]lock`

The Pthreads "hello, world" Program

```c
/*
 * hello.c - Pthreads "hello, world" program
 */
#include "csapp.h"
void *thread(void *vargp);

int main()
{
    pthread_t tid;
    Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, NULL);
    Pthread_join(tid, NULL);
    exit(0);
}
```

Thread ID

Thread attributes (usually NULL)

Thread routine

*Thread arguments (void *p)*

*Return value (void **p)*

```c
void *thread(void *vargp) /* thread routine */
{
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
```

hello.c

Execution of Threaded "hello, world"



Thread-Based Concurrent Echo Server

```
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int listenfd, *connfdp;
    socklen_t clientlen;
    struct sockaddr_storage clientaddr;
    pthread_t tid;

    listenfd = Open_listenfd(argv[1]);
    while (1) {
        clientlen=sizeof(struct sockaddr_storage);
        connfdp = Malloc(sizeof(int));
        *connfdp = Accept(listenfd,
                  (SA *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
        Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, connfdp);
    }
}
```

echoservert.c

- `malloc` of connected descriptor necessary to avoid race

Thread-Based Concurrent Server (cont)

```
/* Thread routine */
void *thread(void *vargp)
{
    int connfd = *((int *)vargp);
    Pthread_detach(pthread_self());
    Free(vargp);
    echo(connfd);
    Close(connfd);
    return NULL;
}
```

echoservert.c

- Run thread in “detached” mode.
  - Runs independently of other threads
  - Reaped automatically (by kernel) when it terminates
- Free storage allocated to hold `connfd`.
- Close `connfd` (important!)

Thread-based Server Execution Model



- Each client handled by individual peer thread
- Threads share all process state except TID
- Each thread has a separate stack for local variables

Potential Form of Unintended Sharing

```
while (1) {
    int connfd = Accept(listenfd, (SA *) &clientaddr, &clientlen);
    Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, echo_thread, (void *) &connfd);
}
```



Could this race occur?

Main

```
int i;
for (i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
  Pthread_create(&tid, NULL,
                 thread, &i);
}
```

Thread

```
void *thread(void *vargp)
{
  int i = *((int *)vargp);
  Pthread_detach(pthread_self());
  save_value(i);
  return NULL;
}
```

race.c

- **Race Test**
  - If no race, then each thread would get different value of i
  - Set of saved values would consist of one copy each of 0 through 99

Experimental Results

No Race



Single core laptop



Multicore server



- **The race can really happen!**

Issues With Thread-Based Servers

- **Must run "detached" to avoid memory leak**
  - At any point in time, a thread is either *joinable* or *detached*
  - *Joinable* thread can be reaped and killed by other threads
    - must be reaped (with `pthread_join`) to free memory resources
  - *Detached* thread cannot be reaped or killed by other threads
    - resources are automatically reaped on termination
  - Default state is joinable
    - use `pthread_detach(pthread_self())` to make detached
- **Must be careful to avoid unintended sharing**
  - For example, passing pointer to main thread's stack
    - `Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, (void *)&connfd);`
- **All functions called by a thread must be *thread-safe***
  - (next lecture)

Pros and Cons of Thread-Based Designs

- **+ Easy to share data structures between threads**
  - e.g., logging information, file cache
- **+ Threads are more efficient than processes**

- **– Unintentional sharing can introduce subtle and hard-to-reproduce errors!**
  - The ease with which data can be shared is both the greatest strength and the greatest weakness of threads
  - Hard to know which data shared & which private
  - Hard to detect by testing
    - Probability of bad race outcome very low
    - But nonzero!
  - Future lectures

Summary: Approaches to Concurrency

- **Processes**
  - Hard to share resources: Easy to avoid unintended sharing
  - High overhead in adding/removing clients
- **Threads**
  - Easy to share resources: Perhaps too easy
  - Medium overhead
  - Not much control over scheduling policies
  - Difficult to debug
    - Event orderings not repeatable
- **I/O Multiplexing (covered in textbook)**
  - Tedious and low level
  - Total control over scheduling
  - Very low overhead
  - Cannot create as fine grained a level of concurrency
  - Does not make use of multi-core

Additional slides

Concurrent Programming is Hard!

- The human mind tends to be sequential
- The notion of time is often misleading
- Thinking about all possible sequences of events in a computer system is at least error prone and frequently impossible

Concurrent Programming is Hard!

- **Classical problem classes of concurrent programs:**
  - ***Races:*** outcome depends on arbitrary scheduling decisions elsewhere in the system
    - Example: who gets the last seat on the airplane?
  - ***Deadlock:*** improper resource allocation prevents forward progress
    - Example: traffic gridlock
  - ***Livelock / Starvation / Fairness***: external events and/or system scheduling decisions can prevent sub-task progress
    - Example: people always jump in front of you in line
- **Many aspects of concurrent programming are beyond the scope of 15-213**
  - but, not all ☺

Review: Iterative Echo Server

```
#include "csapp.h"
void echo(int connfd);

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int listenfd, connfd;
    socklen_t clientlen;
    struct sockaddr_storage clientaddr; /* Enough room for any addr */
    char client_hostname[MAXLINE], client_port[MAXLINE];

    listenfd = Open_listenfd(argv[1]);
    while (1) {
        clientlen = sizeof(struct sockaddr_storage); /* Important! */
        connfd = Accept(listenfd, (SA *)&clientaddr, &clientlen);
        echo(connfd);
        Close(connfd);
    }
    exit(0);
}
```

echoserveri.c

Where Does Second Client Block?

- Second client attempts to connect to iterative server



- Call to connect returns
  - Even though connection not yet accepted
  - Server side TCP manager queues request
  - Feature known as "TCP listen backlog"
- Call to rio_writen returns
  - Server side TCP manager buffers input data
- Call to rio_readlineb blocks
  - Server hasn't written anything for it to read yet.