## Recitation 7

## Combining BSTs

### 7.1 Announcements

- FingerLab has been released, and is due Friday afternoon. It's worth 125 points.
- RangeLab will be released on Friday.


### 7.2 Generalized Combination

In lecture, we discussed union, and argued that it has $O\left(m \log \left(\frac{n}{m}+1\right)\right)$ work and $O(\log (n) \log (m))$ span. The latter bound can be improved to $O(\log n+\log m)$ using futures ${ }^{1}$, but that is outside the scope of this course.

What about the functions intersection and difference? These can be implemented in a similar fashion as union, and as such have the same cost bounds. In this recitation, we'll establish this more concretely.

Task 7.1. Implement all three functions union, intersection, and difference in terms of a single helper function combine which has $O\left(m \log \left(\frac{n}{m}+1\right)\right)$ work and $O(\log (n) \log (m))$ span for BSTs of size $n$ and $m, n \geq m$. Conclude that all three of these functions have the same cost bounds.

Let's begin by inspecting the code for union.

```
Algorithm 7.2. BST union.
fun union ( }\mp@subsup{T}{1}{},\mp@subsup{T}{2}{})
    case (T, T, T2) of
        (_,Leaf) => T
        | (Leaf,_) => T T
        | (Node ( }\mp@subsup{L}{1}{\prime},x,\mp@subsup{R}{1}{}),_) 
        let val ( LL,_, R2) = split ( }\mp@subsup{T}{2}{},x
            val (L,R) = (union ( }\mp@subsup{L}{1}{},\mp@subsup{L}{2}{})||\mathrm{ union ( }\mp@subsup{R}{1}{},\mp@subsup{R}{2}{})
            in joinMid (L, x,R)
            end
```

What do we have to change to generalize this? Notice that, for example, intersection returns Leaf in both base cases, while difference only returns Leaf in the second case. Next, consider that intersection only keeps the key $x$ if it is also present in $T_{2}$, and difference specifically removes $x$ if it is present in $T_{2}$. We can account for all of these differences by introducing new arguments which specify what to do in the base cases, and whether or not we should keep $x$ in the recursive case (based on whether or not it is present in $T_{2}$ ).
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```
Algorithm 7.3. Generalized BST combine.
fun combine \(f_{1} f_{2} k=\)
    let
            fun combine' \(\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)=\)
                case \(\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)\) of
                \(\left(\_,\right.\)Leaf \() \Rightarrow f_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)\)
            | (Leaf,_) \(\Rightarrow f_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)\)
            \(\left.\mid\left(\operatorname{Node}\left(L_{1}, x, R_{1}\right),\right)^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\)
                let \(\operatorname{val}\left(L_{2}, b, R_{2}\right)=\operatorname{split}\left(T_{2}, x\right)\)
                    val \((L, R)=\) (combine' \(\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \|\) combine' \(\left.\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)\right)\)
                in if \(k(b)\) then joinMid \((L, x, R)\) else join \((L, R)\)
                    end
    in
        combine'
    end
val union \(=\)
    combine (fn \(\left.T_{1} \Rightarrow T_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{f n} T_{2} \Rightarrow T_{2}\right)(\mathbf{f n} b \Rightarrow\) true \()\)
val intersection \(=\)
    combine \(\left(\mathbf{f n} T_{1} \Rightarrow\right.\) Leaf) \(\left(\mathbf{f n} T_{2} \Rightarrow\right.\) Leaf) \((\mathbf{f n} b \Rightarrow b)\)
    val difference \(=\)
    combine \(\left(\mathbf{f n} T_{1} \Rightarrow T_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{f n} T_{2} \Rightarrow\right.\) Leaf) \((\mathbf{f n} b \Rightarrow\) not \(b)\)
```

Task 7.4. Consider a function symdiff where (symdiff $(A, B)$ ) returns a BST containing all keys which are either in $A$ or $B$, but not both. Implement symdiff in terms of combine.

```
val symdiff = combine (fn T1 盾 (f)(fn T}\mp@subsup{T}{2}{}=>\mp@subsup{T}{2}{})(\mathbf{fn}b=>\mathrm{ not b)
```
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=258517

