

10601

# Machine Learning

## Model and feature selection

# Occam's Razor



- William of Ockham (1285-1349) *Principle of Parsimony*:
  - “One should not increase, beyond what is necessary, the number of entities required to explain anything.”
- Regularization penalizes for “*complex explanations*”
- Alternatively (but pretty much the same), use *Minimum Description Length (MDL) Principle*:
  - minimize  $length(\text{misclassifications}) + length(\text{hypothesis})$
- $length(\text{misclassifications})$  – e.g., #wrong training examples
- $length(\text{hypothesis})$  – e.g., size of decision tree

# Minimum Description Length Principle

- MDL prefers small hypothesis that fit data well:

$$h_{MDL} = \arg \min_h L_{C_1}(\mathcal{D} | h) + L_{C_2}(h)$$

- $L_{C_1}(D|h)$  – description length of data under code  $C_1$  given  $h$ 
  - Only need to describe points that  $h$  doesn't explain (classify correctly)
- $L_{C_2}(h)$  – description length of hypothesis  $h$

- Decision tree example

- $L_{C_1}(D|h)$  – #bits required to describe data given  $h$ 
  - If all points correctly classified,  $L_{C_1}(D|h) = 0$
- $L_{C_2}(h)$  – #bits necessary to encode tree
- Trade off quality of classification with tree size

# What you need to know about Model Selection, Regularization and Cross Validation

- Cross validation
  - (Mostly) Unbiased estimate of true error
  - LOOCV is great, but hard to compute
  - $k$ -fold much more practical
  - Use for selecting parameter values!
- Model selection
  - Search for a model with low cross validation error
- Regularization
  - Penalizes for complex models
  - Select parameter with cross validation
  - Really a Bayesian approach
- Minimum description length
  - Information theoretic interpretation of regularization

# Bayesian approach

- Start with a simple model
- As data comes, increase the complexity as necessary
- My research area: Nonparametric Bayes
- The complexity of the model is unbounded
- Select the correct complexity from data (posterior)
- For ex: the number of clusters

# Feature selection

- Choose an optimal subset from the set of all  $N$  features
  - Only use a subset of a possible words in a dictionary
  - Only use a subset of genes
- Why?
- Can we do model selection to solve this? –  $2^n$  models

# Two approaches: 1. Filter

- Independent of classifier used
- Rank features using some criteria based on their relevance to the classification task
- For example, mutual information:

$$I(X; Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x, y) \log \left( \frac{p(x, y)}{p_1(x) p_2(y)} \right),$$

- Choose a subset based on the sorted scores for the criteria used

## 2. Wrapper

- Classifier specific
- Greedy (large search space)
- Initialize  $F = \text{null set}$ 
  - At each step, using cross validation or an information theoretic criteria, choose a feature to add to the subset [ training should be done with only features in  $F + \text{new feature}$ ]
  - Add the chosen feature to the subset
- Repeat until no improvement to CV accuracy



# Problem Set 4

Q1.3:

- Take derivatives w.r.t to  $\alpha$  first then w,b.

## Q. 1.6

- Minimize the violations as much as possible.
- Assume  $C$  is large but not  $\infty$ .

## Q. 2

- Either explain why some algorithm does not work well.
- Or draw the final result of the algorithms.

## Q. 3

The contour of the distribution in 2-D:

- Spherical Gaussian: concentric circles
- Diagonal Gaussian: concentric ellipses with axes parallel to the coordinate axes.
- Unrestricted covariance Gaussian: concentric ellipses

# Q. 4

- Cutting the tree by thresholding

