### **Announcements**

- Written Assignment2 is out, due March 8
- Graded Programming Assignment2 next Tuesday

# Spatial Data Structures

Hierarchical Bounding Volumes Grids Octrees BSP Trees

# **Speeding Up Computations**

- Ray Tracing
  - Spend a lot of time doing ray object intersection tests
- Hidden Surface Removal painters algorithm
  - Sorting polygons front to back
- Collision between objects
  - Quickly determine if two objects collide



*n*<sup>2</sup> computations

### **Spatial data-structures**





# **Spatial Data Structures**


- We'll look at
  - Hierarchical bounding volumes
  - Grids
  - Octrees
  - K-d trees and BSP trees
- Good data structures can give speed up ray tracing by 10x or 100x

# **Bounding Volumes**

- Wrap things that are hard to check for intersection in things that are easy to check
  - Example: wrap a complicated polygonal mesh in a box
  - Ray can't hit the real object unless it hits the box
  - Adds some overhead, but generally pays for itself.
- Most common bounding volume types: sphere and box
  - box can be axis-aligned or not
- You want a snug fit!
- But you don't want expensive intersection tests!









## **Bounding Volumes**

- You want a snug fit!
- But you don't want expensive intersection tests!
- Use the ratio of the object volume to the enclosed volume as a measure of fit.
- Cost = n\*B + m\*I
  - n is the number of rays tested against the bounding volume
  - B is the cost of each test (Do not need to compute exact intersection!)
  - m is the number of rays which actually hit the bounding volume
  - I is the cost of intersecting the object within







## **Bounding Volumes**

- You want a snug fit!
- But you don't want expensive intersection tests!
- Use the ratio of the object volume to the enclosed volume as a measure of fit.
- Cost = n\*B + m\*I
  - n is the number of rays tested against the bounding volume
  - B is the cost of each test (Do not need to compute exact intersection!)
  - m is the number of rays which actually hit the bounding volume


I - is the cost of intersecting the object within




- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones







- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones



- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




check intersect right sub-tree

- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




check intersect right sub-tree


- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




- Still need to check ray against every object --- O(n)
- Use tree data structure
  - Larger bounding volumes contain smaller ones




- Many ways to build a tree for the hierarchy
- Works well:
  - Binary
  - Roughly balanced
  - Boxes of sibling trees not overlap too much






- Sort the surfaces along the axis before dividing into two boxes
- Carefully choose axis each time
- Choose axis that minimizes sum of volumes



- Sort the surfaces along the axis before dividing into two boxes
- Carefully choose axis each time
- Choose axis that minimizes sum of volumes



- Works well if you use good (appropriate) bounding volumes and hierarchy
- Should give O(log n) rather than O(n) complexity (n=# of objects)
- Can have multiple classes of bounding volumes and pick the best for each enclosed object

# Hierarchical bounding volumes Spatial Subdivision


- Grids
- Octrees
- K-d trees and BSP trees

# 3D Spatial Subdivision

- Bounding volumes enclose the objects (object-centric)
- Instead could divide up the space—the further an object is from the ray the less time we want to spend checking it
  - Grids
  - Octrees
  - K-d trees and BSP trees

### **Grids**


- Data structure: a 3-D array of cells (voxels) that tile space
  - Each cell points to list of all surfaces intersecting that cell



- Intersection testing:
  - Start tracing at cell where ray begins
  - Step from cell to cell, searching for the first intersection point
  - At each cell, test for intersection with all surfaces pointed to by that cell
  - If there is an intersection, return the closest one


### **Grids**

- Cells are traversed in an incremental fashion
- Hits of sets of parallel lines are very regular




### **More on Grids**

- Be Careful! The fact that a ray passes through a cell and hits an object doesn't mean the ray hit that object in that cell
- Optimization: cache intersection point and ray id in "mailbox" associated with each object




### **More on Grids**

- Grids are a poor choice when the world is nonhomogeneous (clumpy)
  - many polygons clustered in a small space
- How many cells to use?
  - too few ⇒ many objects per cell ⇒ slow
  - too many ⇒ many empty cells to step through ⇒ slow
- Non-uniform spatial subdivision is better!



### **Octrees**

- Quadtree is the 2-D generalization of binary tree
  - node (cell) is a square
  - recursively split into four equal sub-squares
  - stop when leaves get "simple enough"



### **Octrees**

- Quadtree is the 2-D generalization of binary tree
  - node (cell) is a square
  - recursively split into four equal sub-squares
  - stop when leaves get "simple enough"



- node (cell) is a cube, recursively split into eight equal sub-cubes
- for ray tracing:
  - stop subdivision based on number of objects
  - internal nodes store pointers to children, leaves store list of surfaces
- more expensive to traverse than a grid
- but an octree adapts to non-homogeneous scenes better

# Which Data Structure is Best for Ray Tracing?

#### Grids

Easy to implement

Require a lot of memory

Poor results for inhomogeneous scenes

### Octrees

Better on most scenes (more adaptive)

### Spatial subdivision expensive for animations


Hierarchical bounding volumes

Better for dynamic scenes

Natural for hierarchical objects

# k-d Trees and BSP Trees

- Relax the rules for quadtrees and octrees:
- k-dimensional (k-d) tree
  - don't always split at midpoint
  - split only one dimension at a time (i.e. x or y or z)




- binary space partitioning (BSP) tree
  - permit splits with any line
  - In 2-D space split with lines (most of our examples)
  - 3-D space split with planes
  - K-D space split with k-1 dimensional hyperplanes
- useful for Painter's algorithm (hidden surface removal)



# **Painters Algorithm**

Hidden Surface Elimination





# **Painters Algorithm**

- Need to sort objects back to front
- Order depends on the view point
- Partition objects using BSP tree
- View independent

# **Building a BSP Tree**


- Let's look at simple example with 3 line segments
- Arrowheads are to show left and right sides of lines.
- Using line 1 or 2 as root is easy.
- (examples from http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/2151/bsp.html)






# **Drawing Objects**


- Traverse the tree from the root
- If view point is on the left of the line --- traverse right sub-tree first
- Draw the root
- Traverse left sub-tree






# **Building the Tree 2**


Using line 3 for the root requires a split






# **Triangles**


Use plane containing triangle  $T_1$  to split the space If view point is on one side of the plane draw polygons on the other side first  $T_2$  does not intersect plane of  $T_1$ 



# **Triangles**


Use plane containing triangle  $T_1$  to split the space If view point is on one side of the plane draw polygons on the other side first  $T_2$  does not intersect plane of  $T_1$ 






# **Triangles**

# Split Triangle





# **Building a Good Tree - the tricky part**

- A naïve partitioning of n polygons will yield O(n³) polygons because of splitting!
- Algorithms exist to find partitionings that produce  $O(n^2)$ .
  - For example, try all remaining polygons and add the one which causes the fewest splits
  - Fewer splits -> larger polygons -> better polygon fill efficiency
- Also, we want a balanced tree.

# Painter's Algorithm with BSP trees

- Build the tree
  - Involves splitting some polygons
  - Slow, but done only once for static scene
- Correct traversal lets you draw in back-to-front or frontto-back order for any viewpoint
  - Order is view-dependent
  - Pre-compute tree once
  - Do the "sort" on the fly
- Will not work for changing scenes

## **Drawing a BSP Tree**

Each polygon has a set of coefficients:

```
Ax + By + Cz + D
```

Plug the coordinates of the viewpoint in and see:


```
>0 : front side<0 : back facing</li>=0 : on plane of polygon
```

- Back-to-front draw: inorder traversal, do farther child first
- Front-to-back draw: inorder traversal, do near child first

```
front_to_back(tree, viewpt) {
   if (tree == null) return;
   if (positive_side_of(root(tree), viewpt)) {
      front_to_back(positive_branch(tree, viewpt);
      display_polygon(root(tree));
      front_to_back(negative_branch(tree, viewpt);
   }
   else { ...draw negative branch first...}
}
```

## **Drawing Back to Front**


Use Painter's Algorithm for hidden surface removal



#### Steps:

- -Draw objects on far side of line 3

  »Draw objects on far side of line 2a
  - -Draw line 1
  - »Draw line 2a
- -Draw line 3
- Draw objects on near side of line 3»Draw line 2b




# **Further Speedups**

- Do backface culling
- Draw front to back, and...
  - Keep track of partially filled spans
  - Only render parts that fall into spans that are still open
  - Quit when the image is filled

# Clipping Using Spatial Data Structures

# Clip the BSP tree against the portions of space that you can see! Accelerate Clipping

- The goal is to accept or reject whole sets of polygons
- Can use spatial data structure
- Much faster than clipping every polygon
- The O(n) task becomes O(log n)
  - terrain fly-throughs
  - gaming



# **Further Speedups**

- Clip the BSP tree against the portions of space that you can see!
  - Called portals
  - Initial view volume is entire viewing frustum
  - When you look through a doorway, intersect current volume with "beam" defined by doorway

#### **Demos**

**BSP** Tree construction

http://symbolcraft.com/graphics/bsp/index.html

KD Tree construction

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~brabec/quadtree/index.html

## Real-time and Interactive Ray Tracing

The OpenRT Real-Time Ray-Tracing Project <a href="http://www.openrt.de/index.php">http://www.openrt.de/index.php</a>

- Interactive ray tracing via space subdivision http://www.cs.utah.edu/~reinhard/egwr/
- Interactive ray tracing with good hardware http://www.cs.utah.edu/vissim/projects/raytracing/

#### **Announcements**

- Written Assignment2 is out, due March 8
- Graded Programming Assignment2 next Tuesday