15-312 Foundations of Programming Languages

Lecture 1: Overview

Frank Pfenning

http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~fp/courses/312/

Carnegie Mellon University

August 31, 2004

Teaching Staff

- Frank Pfenning <fp@cs.cmu.edu>
- Office Hour: Tue 3:00-4:00, WeH 8117
- Matt Moore <mlmlm@cmu.edu>
- Office Hour: Wed 2:30-3:30, 7th floor whiteboards
- Jason Reed <jcreed+@andrew.cmu.edu>
- Office Hour: WeH 3721, Thu 5-6pm
- Course web page
  http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~fp/courses/312/
- Blackboard area only for grade sheet

Outline

- **The Science of Programming Languages**
- Our Approach
- Topic Overview
- Assignments and Exams
- Recitation
- Summary

Factors in Programmer Productivity

- Programmer productivity
  - Initial development time
  - Program correctness and robustness
  - Software maintainability
- Crucial factors
  - **Programming language(s)**
  - Development environment
  - Software engineering practices

Language Is Critical

- How do we implement data structures?
- How do we design and structure the code?
- How do we express assumptions and guarantees?
- How do we read and analyze a program?

Two Quotes

An ideal language allows us to express easily what is useful for the programming task and at the same time makes it difficult to write what leads to incomprehensible or incorrect programs.

—Nico Habermann

Good languages make it easier to establish, verify, and maintain the relationship between code and its properties.

—Robert Harper

Too Many Languages?

- In the last three years I have written code in at least the following languages:

Standard ML	Emacs Lisp	Twelf
TeX	Csh	C
PHP	Java	MySql

- Different languages for different purposes
- Many are poorly designed
  - The authors did not take 15-312!
  - Your favorite mis-feature?

Language Evaluation Criteria

- Some objective criteria
  - Is the grammar LALR(1)?
  - Is the language type-safe?
  - Is the language dynamically or statically typed?
  - Is the language Turing-complete?
  - Is the language call-by-value or call-by-name?
  - Is the language completely specified?
  - Does the language require a heap?
  - Does the language require dynamic dispatch?
- A subjective statement: “(I ((like Lisp)) (syntax))”

From the Perl Manual

When presented with something that might have several different interpretations, Perl uses the DWIM (that's "Do What I Mean") principle to pick the most probable interpretation. This strategy is so successful that Perl programmers often do not suspect the ambivalence of what they write. But from time to time, Perl's notions differ substantially from what the author honestly meant.

From the TEX manual

Please don't read this material until you've had plenty of experience with plain TEX. After you have read and understood the secrets below, you'll know all sort of devious combinations of TEX commands, and you will often be tempted to write inscrutable macros.

—Donald E. Knuth

Some Obfuscated TEX Code

```
\let~\catcode~‘76~‘A13~‘F1~‘j00~‘P2jdefA71F~‘7113jdefPALLF
PA’’FwPA;;FPAZZFLaLPA//71F71iPAHHFLPAzzFenPASSFthP;A$$FevP
A@@FfPARR717273F737271P;ADDFRgniPAWW71FPATTFvePA**FstRsamP
AGGFRruoPAqq71.72.F717271PAYY7172F727171PA??Fi*LmPA&&71jfi
Fjfi71PAVVFjbigskipRPWGAUU71727374 75,76Fjpar71727375Djifx
:76jelse&U76jfiPLAKK7172F71l7271PAXX71FVLnOSeL71SLRyadR@oL
RrhC?yLRurtKFeLPFovPgaTLtReRomL;PABB71 72,73:Fjif.73.jelse
B73:jfiXF71PU71 72,73:PWs;AMM71F71diPAJJFRdriPAQQFRsreLPAI
I71Fo71dPA!!FRgiePBt’el@ lTLqdrYmu.Q.,Ke;vz vzLqpip.Q.,tz;
;Lql.IrsZ.eap,qn.i. i.eLlMaesLdRcna,;!;h htLqm.MRasZ.ilk,%
s$;z zLqs’.ansZ.Ymi,/sx ;LYegseZRyal,@i;@ TLRlogdLrDsW,@;G
LcYlaDLbJsW,SWXJW ree @rzchLhzsW,;WERcesInW qt.’oL.Rtrul;e
doTsW,Wk;Rri@stW aHAHHFndZPpqar.tridgeLinZpe.LtYer.W,:jbye
```

Some Obfuscated C Code

- Prior TEX code in `obf-tex.tex`
- See `obf-tex.pdf` for result of `pdftex obf-tex.tex`
- Also see separate source `obf-c.c`
- See output `obf-c.txt`

Science of Programming Languages

- There is an established science of programming languages. Among its first papers:

  "Some Properties of Conversion", Alonzo Church and J.B. Rosser, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 39(3), pp. 472–482, May 1936.

Basic Tools

- **Type theory**: Techniques for structuring languages to ensure safety and modularity of programs
- **Operational semantics**: Techniques for describing the execution behavior of programs, at various level of abstraction
- **Mathematical logic**: Techniques for specifying and verifying programs

Outline

- The Science of Programming Languages
- **Our Approach**
- Topic Overview
- Assignments and Exams
- Recitation
- Summary

Approach I: Vivisection

- Take one or several **living** languages, preferably widely used
- Analyze it or them in minute detail
  - **Syntax:** Grammar and parsing
  - **Semantics:** Type-checking and operational semantics
  - **Pragmatics:** Programming methodology and implementation strategies
- Can be interesting and instructive
- **Not** our approach

Approach II: Autopsy

- Take one or several **dead** languages, preferably used
- Analyze it or them in minute detail
  - **Syntax:** Grammar and parsing
  - **Semantics:** Type-checking and operational semantics
  - **Pragmatics:** Programming methodology and implementation strategies
- Can be interesting and instructive
- **Not** our approach

Approach III: Genesis

- Take a problem domain, preferably useful
- Design the ultimate language
  - **Syntax:** Grammar and parsing
  - **Semantics:** Type-checking and operational semantics
  - **Pragmatics:** Programming methodology and implementation strategies
- Can be interesting and instructive
- **Not** our approach

Approach IV: Taxonomy

- Analyze many languages based on few criteria
- Create taxonomy of (living or dead) languages
- Can be interesting and instructive
- **Not** our approach

Approach V: Study Basic Concepts

- Ignore issues of syntax (largely)
- Isolate and investigate basic concepts, such as
  - Functions, procedures, and variables
  - Classes, objects, and methods
  - Effect-free vs. imperative programming
  - Static vs. dynamic typing
  - Concrete vs. abstract types
  - Sequential vs. concurrent vs. parallel prog.
- Emphasize mathematical tools
- **This is our approach!**

Our Approach and Goals

- Not bound by flaws or limits in actual languages
- But can draw conclusions about actual languages
- After this course, you should be able to
  - confidently critique existing languages
  - define and analyze your own language
  - prove properties of languages
  - avoid common mistakes and pitfalls
  - reflect more deeply on programming style
  - write better programs(?)
  - carry out research on programming languages

Outline

- The Science of Programming Languages
- Our Approach
- **Topic Overview**
- Assignments and Exams
- Recitation
- Summary

Core Topics

- Mathematical foundations
  - Judgments and inductive definitions
  - Variable renaming and substitution
  - Structural induction
- Language description techniques
  - Concrete and abstract syntax
  - Static semantics via type systems
  - Dynamic semantics via abstract machines
  - Type safety and its consequences

Language Features (Tentative)

- Continuations
- Exceptions
- Mutable storage
- Monads
- Parallelism
- Polymorphism
- Data abstraction
- Laziness
- Dynamic typing
- Subtyping
- Inheritance
- Concurrency
- Storage management
- Refinement types

Course Reading

- Lectures notes will be handed out
- Notes complement, but do not replace lecture!
- Additional material, mostly from

  Programming Languages: Theory and Practice.
  Robert Harper. Draft from August 2004.

- Supplementary reading

  Types and Programming Languages.
  Benjamin C. Pierce.
  The MIT Press, 2002. ISBN 0-262-16209-1.

- Available in CMU bookstore

Outline

- The Science of Programming Languages
- Our Approach
- Topic Overview
- **Assignments and Exams**
- Recitation
- Summary

Written Assignments

- Alternating written (4) and programming (4) assignments
- Integral part of this course
- Schedule see web page
- Written assignments:
  - Total 200/1000 points (20%)
  - 1 week assignments
  - Hand in **before lecture** on due date
  - Graded on correctness and thoroughness

Programming Assignments

- Total 450/1000 points (45%)
- 2 week assignments
- Hand in by midnight on due date
- Graded for correctness and documentation
- Implementation language is Standard ML
- Hand into AFS directory
- Final assignment is 3 weeks

Assignment Policies

- 3 late days without penalty for each student
- Spread throughout the semester
- Can be used for written or programming assignments
- No other late hand-ins permitted
- No group projects—all work must be your own!

Examinations

- Midterm
  - Thursday Oct 14, in class
  - Closed book, one double-sided sheet of notes
  - Total 100/1000 points (10%)
- Final
  - Date and time TBA
  - Open book
  - Total 250/1000 points (25%)

Outline

- The Science of Programming Languages
- Our Approach
- Topic Overview
- Assignments and Exams
- **Recitation**
- Summary

Recitation

- Each Wednesday in two sections
- 9:30/9:30 or 9:30/10:30?
- Practice technique from lectures
- Discuss assignments
- Occasionally covers new material
- See schedule on web page

Outline

- The Science of Programming Languages
- Our Approach
- Topic Overview
- Assignments and Exams
- Recitation
- **Summary**

Summary

- Language is critical for programmer productivity
- The good, the bad, and the ugly
- Rigorous study of programming languages with mathematical tools
  - Type theory
  - Operational semantics
  - Mathematical logic
- Thorough investigation of basic concepts
- Combine theory (proof) with practice (implementation)