

# 15-312 Recitation Notes #13

Joshua Dunfield  
Carnegie Mellon University

November 20, 2002

## Review of the $\pi$ -calculus

$\pi$ -calculus actions:

$\pi ::= \bar{x}\langle y \rangle$	Send $y$ on channel $x$
$x(y)$	Receive $y$ on channel $x$
$\tau$	Silent action

Process expressions:

$P ::= \pi.P$	Take action $\pi$ , continue with $P$
$0$	Finished
$P_1 + \dots + P_n$	Alternation
$(P_1 \mid P_2)$	Parallel
$\text{new } a P$	Binding
$!P$	Replication

Recall the structural equivalence  $!P \equiv P \mid !P$ .

## Warm-up: Encoding booleans

Suppose we had to program in MinML without a boolean type (in fact, without *any* base types), and therefore without an if-then-else construct. Can we encode booleans using only functions? We need to encode the type `bool`, the constructors `true` and `false`, and the construct `if(e, e1, e2)`.

The standard encoding is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bool} &= \forall t. t \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \\ \text{true} &= \lambda t. \lambda f. t \\ \text{false} &= \lambda t. \lambda f. f \\ \text{if}(e, e_1, e_2) &= e e_1 e_2\end{aligned}$$

It's not too far a leap from the above to an encoding in the  $\pi$ -calculus. Just as  $\lambda t. \lambda f. t$  selects its first argument, we can write  $\ell(t, f).\bar{t}$  to select (write to)

the first component of the pair  $(t, f)$  written to the channel  $\ell$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{True}(\ell) &= \ell(t, f).\bar{t} \\ \text{False}(\ell) &= \ell(t, f).\bar{f} \\ \text{If}(\ell, P, Q) &= \text{new } (t, f) (\bar{\ell}\langle t, f \rangle \mid (t.P + f.Q)) \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If}(\ell, P, Q) \mid \text{True}(\ell) &= (\text{new } (t, f) (\bar{\ell}\langle t, f \rangle \mid (t.P + f.Q))) \mid \ell(t, f).\bar{t} \\ &\rightarrow^* (t.P + f.Q) \mid \bar{t} \\ &\rightarrow^* P \end{aligned}$$

## Encoding the natural numbers

Just as `bool` is essentially the datatype

```
datatype bool =
  true
  | false
```

the natural numbers are essentially the datatype

```
datatype nat =
  Z (* zero *)
  | S of nat (* successor *)
```

Like `bool`, `nat` has two constructors, so a process that “is” a natural number will read two values—the first telling it what to do if it is zero, the second what to do if it is the successor of something. The `Z` constructor, like the constructors of `bool`, takes no arguments. Thus, its encoding is analogous to the encoding of `true` and `false`.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\ell) &= \ell(z, s).\bar{z} \\ S(\ell, n) &= \ell(z, s).\bar{s}\langle n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the constructor `S` is not nullary. So instead of transmitting nothing along the channel  $s$ , it transmits  $n$ , which is (a channel to) *the number it is the successor of*.

**Example.** Suppose we have the following processes. Note that *zero* and *one* are channel names; a natural number is manipulated by sending a  $z$  and an  $s$  to one of these channels.

```
Z(zero)
| S(one, zero)
|  $\bar{one}\langle p, q \rangle$ 
|  $p().\text{print } "."$ 
|  $q(n).(\text{print } "*" ; \bar{n}\langle p, q \rangle)$ 
```

By the definitions above, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & zero(z, s). \bar{z} \\
 & | one(z, s). \bar{s}\langle zero \rangle \\
 & | \overline{one}\langle p, q \rangle \\
 & | p(). \text{print } "." \\
 & | q(n). (\text{print } "*" ; \bar{n}\langle p, q \rangle)
 \end{aligned}$$

It's quite easy to run this set of processes by hand; the result should be that `*`. is printed.

What happens if we also have  $S(two, one)$  and do  $\overline{two}\langle p, q \rangle$ ? We might expect the output `**.`. However, the process receiving along  $q$  is "used up" the first time it's run, so we will deadlock trying to send to a channel  $q$  that has no receiver! The solution is to use replication:

$$!q(n). (\text{print } "*" ; \bar{n}\langle p, q \rangle)$$

Now, by the rules of structural equivalence, we can make as many copies of  $q(n). (\text{print } "*" ; \bar{n}\langle p, q \rangle)$  as we need.

Observe that a similar phenomenon arises if we try to use a number more than once. In the example above, as soon as we send to  $one$ , that process steps to 0 (strictly speaking we should have written  $one(z, s). \bar{s}\langle zero \rangle. 0$ ), so by the rules of structural equivalence, it vanishes into thin air. Again the solution is simply to put a `!` before any "object" we might wish to use more than once.

As an interesting example of this, SML does not let you declare a number  $n$  to be  $S(n)$  (the successor of itself), but we can easily do so in the  $\pi$ -calculus:

$$!S(inf, inf) = !inf(z, s). \bar{s}\langle inf \rangle$$

If we send  $p$  and  $q$  (as above) to  $inf$ , we will forever print asterisks.

**Given in second recitation but omitted here:**  $succ$  and  $add$  (untested).