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Goanna

- Static Analysis Tool for C/C++
- Combines model checking, path queries on parse tree and interval solving
- Interprocedural (Function Summaries)
- False positive elimination (SAT solving)
- For any C/C++ code
- Participant in SATE (NIST)
- More on Goanna: redlizards.com
Mobility

- Wireless networks, Mesh, MANET, are designed to deal with mobile nodes.
- Protocols have to deal with nodes that join, disappear, or change neighbors.
- Incorporating mobility into models has been a challenge.
Mobility

- Formal state based models often
  - consider static topologies.
  - considered set topology changes.
  - ignored topology (considered an unspecified or non-deterministic topology)

- Aim: Creation of Mobility Models
  - to be used for Model Checking
  - independent of the protocol (re-use)
  - simple (not adding too much complexity)
Topology Based Mobility

- **Idea**
  - Model mobility as changes between topologies.
  - Transitions will be probabilistic.
  - Abstract from location, speed, or size of the node.

- **Rationale**
  - The topology is what the protocol usually sees.
  - Compatible with untimed, or timed automaton models for protocols.
The mobile node is characterized by its neighbors (nodes within range)

Space will be partitioned into regions with the same topology.
Mobility is expressed as probability of moving from one region/topology to the next.

What are the probabilities?
Two step approach

1. Mobility simulation
   - Using a “traditional” simulator to estimate the transition probabilities between topologies.

2. Probabilistic mobility model
   - Instantiate a probabilistic automaton model of mobility with obtained probabilities.
   - Combine this model with a probabilistic automaton model of a protocol.
   - Use a (statistical) model checker to analyse the impact of mobility on performance of the protocol.
Simulator

- Computes a series of waypoints; each successive pair defines a line segment.
  - RWP: Next waypoint selected uniformly from area.
  - RW: Next waypoint is old plus value from 2-D normal distribution. Reflect at boundary.
- Computes intersection of line segment with transmission ranges.
- Each intersection corresponds to a transition.
- Count transitions. Estimate probabilities.
Simulation Results

- Some observations for the random walk model
  - The transition probabilities are independent of $\sigma$ and the grid size;
  - The number of transitions per waypoint path grows linear with the range;
  - The transition probabilities of congruent transitions are the same;
  - The probabilities depend only locally on the set of nodes within range.

Congruent regions have the same probabilities.

Congruent arcs have the same probabilities.
Simulation Results

- Some observations for the random walk model
  - The transition probabilities are independent of $\sigma$ and the grid size;
  - The number of transitions per waypoint path grows linear with the range;
  - The transition probabilities of congruent transitions are the same;
  - The probabilities depend only locally on the set of nodes within range.

- One observation for the random waypoint model
  - Neither of the above observation holds
Model Checking Results

- We use statistical Uppaal.
  - Properties checked with 0.95 confidence.
  - The topology is modeled as a connectivity matrix.
  - Changes in topology are changes to the matrix.
  - Probabilities are obtained from a lookup table (obtained from simulator, as discussed)

“One” probabilistic transition to change the topology
Changes topology once in a given timeframe
Model Checking Results

- We combine probabilistic mobility model with existing protocol models to demonstrate the approach.

- **AODV**
  - An on-demand routing protocol
  - A routing request is flooding the network, a routing reply to initiator will report the route.

- **LMAC**
  - A time synchronization (time division) protocol.
  - All neighboring nodes and their neighbors, need to select different slot in a time frame. If not, collisions will occur.
  - A new node listens to the neighbors and selects a time slot different from them and their neighbors.
Model Checking Results

LMAC

Probability of collisions for a 4 by 4 network within 2000 time units after fresh start.

Mobility increases probability that all nodes will choose time slot, from 80-90% to 95-100% (not in picture).

Mobility decreases probability that no or few collisions will occur.

Mobility decreases probability that perpetual collisions will occur.

Results for RWP are similar.
Summary

- Developed a topology based model for mobility.
- Demonstrated how this model can be instantiated with probabilities obtained from a simulator.
  - Random way point model and random walk model in a grid.
  - Other models that give transition probabilities could be used as well.
- Demonstrated how the instantiated mobility model can be combined with existing probabilistic protocol models.
  - Note: AODV and LMAC are not the primary interest of this work. They were application examples.
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Mobility Models

- **Realistic Mobility Models**
  - Replay traces obtained from real world
  - Application specific scenarios, with limited scope.

- **Synthetic Models**
  - Generate traces from mathematical model of motion
  - Usually based on a physical model of a moving node
  - More than a dozen different models
    - Random waypoint models
    - Random walk models
    - Manhattan models
    - Gravity mobility models
    - ....
Common Models

- **Random Waypoint Model (RWP)**
  - Select the next waypoint uniformly from abounded,
  - Choose a speed with certain probability.
  - Choose a waiting time with a certain probability.
  - May include additional probabilistic choices.

- **Random Walk Models (RW)**
  - Select a direction uniformly.
  - Choose a speed, and distance with certain probability.
  - Choose a waiting time with a certain probability.
  - Plus some rules what to do if the a boundary is hit.
  - May include additional probabilistic choices.
A note on synthetic models

- Synthetic models do not, by definition, replay reality
- Some might be more realistic than others
- The purpose is to have a model
  - with well understood probabilistic behavior
  - that is compatible with chosen analysis method
  - with identifiable factors of motion
  - that has parameters that can be changed
  - and those changes have predictable influence on the behavior
- It will be hard to find mobile nodes in reality, that move like a node in a synthetic model.
Simulation Results

- Some observations for the random walk model

Transitions may become possible or impossible at certain ranges.

Adding either has the same probability.

The entire set may become possible or impossible at certain ranges.

Deleting either has the same probability.
Simulation Results

- Some observations for random waypoint model

  - Probability depends on absolute position in grid
  - Transitions may become possible or impossible at certain ranges.
  - The entire set may become possible or impossible at certain ranges.
Model Checking Results

- **AODV Results**

  - Estimated probability $P$ that a route request is successful (confidence level 0.95).

  - Time of a successful route request to complete versus probability.

  - Introducing mobility makes it harder for a route request to succeed.

  - Introducing mobility makes it possible for route requests to succeed faster.

  - Results for RWP are similar.
- Different transmission ranges, allow for different topologies.

- Possible topologies change at range $1, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}, \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{2}, 1.25, \sqrt{2},$
Perpetual collision in LMAC

- Numbers denote a chosen time slot
- The central node receives only noise
- Mobile node can detect, and resolve collision.
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A node characterized by its neighbors.