Van Valin, Chapter 2, German Exercise

1. 3rd person singular
2. 3rd person singular
3. verb could agree with subj or obj, in this example
4. nominative
5. accusative
6. immediately before the verb
7. immediately after the verb
8. plural
9. plural in 2b, plural in 2a
10. the verb does not agree with the number of the object
11. 2a is ungrammatical because the verb agrees with the object, not with the subject.
12. immediately after the verb
13. immediately before the verb
14. (3 pts) 2b’ supports hypothesis 1

   The verb in 2b’ agrees in number with the first NP and 2b’ is ungrammatical. Further supporting hypothesis 1 is the grammaticality of 2b, where the verb agrees in number with the subject, but not with the first NP.
15. the verbs in 3a and 3b agree with the subject NP
16. The verb is plural and the only plural NP is the subject.
17. immediately after the verb
18. Dative
19. 5b and 5c are attempted passives of sentence 4
20. the patient
21. subject
22. object
23. TREE
24. TREE
25. (2 pts) Hypothesis 2

   (2 pts) It is supported because “sich” doesn’t refer to the subject of the main sentence (Der Lehrer), hence it must lie within an embedded clause because it agrees with “den Schueler”.

Van Valin, Chapter 2, Tongan

1. intransitive
2. 'a
3. intransitive
4. 'a
5. transitive
6. 'e
7. 'a
8. 'i
9. 'a
10. 'i
11. intransitive
12. ki
13. ki
14. intransitive
15. ergative-absolutive
16. S
17. S
18. O
19. S
20. S, O
21. S
22. A
23. S
24. O
25. Other
26. A, S

Van Valin, Chapter 2, Malayalam Exercise

Task 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subj</th>
<th>Obj</th>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Instr</th>
<th>Recip</th>
<th>Ben</th>
<th>Agent pass</th>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>hungry desid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Kutti</td>
<td>Kuttiye</td>
<td></td>
<td>kuttikkə (29)</td>
<td>kuttiya al (27)</td>
<td>kuttikkə (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>kuttikal (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother</td>
<td>amma</td>
<td>ammay e (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ammak kə (1)</td>
<td>ammay aal (30)</td>
<td>ammak kə (25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>aana</td>
<td>aanaye (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aanakkə (28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephants</td>
<td></td>
<td>aanakale (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stick</td>
<td>Wati</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wati kontuh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
null affix, no morpheme
b. subjects and inanimate objects (in transitive, intransitive and passives) except experiencers – agent, theme
c. –ye
d. (2 pts) Animate objects (inanimate objects remain in nominative form) – patient
e. Recipients – obj, beneficiaries – obl, experiencers - subj

Task 3: (2 pts)
a. How do you form a desiderative (want) sentence in Malayalam?

- Put the experiencer in dative case, the object in accusative case (if it is animate and a patient) and make the verb non-finite by adding -anam.

(25) Ammakkə nullanam kuttiye.
    mother(DAT) want-to-pinch child(ACC)
b. How do you form a passive sentence in Malayalam?

- Put the object in nominative case, the subject in instrumental case (now it’s oblique), and add a passive suffix - appett to the verb (and change the stem a little bit).

(26) Kutti aanaye aaradhiccu.
(27) Aana kuttiyaaal aaradhikkappettu.

Task 4: Reflexive Pronouns (8 pts)

a. In sentences 32 and 35, what is the grammatical relation of the antecedent of "swantam"?
   - The antecedent of swantam must be the subject.

b. What grammatical relation cannot be the antecedent of "swantam"?
   - The object cannot be the antecedent of swantam.

c. In sentences 33 and 36, what is the grammatical relation of the antecedent of "awante"?
   - In 36 the object is the antecedent of awalute and in 33 the antecedent is some outside NP that is not the subject.

d. What grammatical relation cannot be the antecedent of "awante"?
   - The subject cannot be the antecedent of awante.

e. (2 pts) Hypothesis 1: The experiencer of a desiderative is a subject.
   Hypothesis 2: The experiencer of a desiderative is not a subject. Which hypothesis is supported by example 34?
   - hypothesis 1

f. (2 pts) Why?
   - The experiencer of a desiderative (want, in this case) is a subject because 34 shows that it can be the antecedent of swantam.

Tasks 5: Controllers and Controllees of Adjunct Clauses (21 pts)

a. In sentence 37, what is the grammatical relation of the controller?
   - The controller is the subject of the matrix clause (amma).

b. In sentence 37, what is the grammatical relation of the controllee?
   - The controllee is the subject of the adjunct clause.

c. In sentence 38, can a recipient with dative case be the controller?
   - No.

d. In sentence 43, can a recipient with dative case be the controllee?
- No.
e. In sentences 39 and 40, can a direct object be the controller?
  - No.
f. (2 pts) Hypothesis 1: A dative experiencer is a subject.
   Hypothesis 2: A dative experiencer is not a subject. It is like
   other datives, for example, dative recipients.
   Which hypothesis is supported by sentence 41?
g. (2 pts) Why?
   - Hypothesis 1: A dative experiencer is a subject
     because, like other nominative subjects, it can be the
     controller.
h. (2 pts) Which hypothesis is supported by sentence 42?
i. (2 pts) Why?
   - Hypothesis 1: A dative experiencer is a subject
     because a dative experiencer, unlike a dative recipient, can
     be the controllee and the controllee is a subject.
j. (2 pts) Hypothesis 1: Dative and nominative NPs can be
   controllers and
   controllees of adjunct clauses.
   Hypothesis 2: Subjects can be controllers and controllees of
   adjunct clauses.
   Which hypothesis is disproven by example 43?
k. (2 pts) Why?
   - Hypothesis 1 is disproven because 43 has a dative
     recipient (non-subject) which is ungrammatical as the
     controllee.
l. (2 pts) Hypothesis 1: Agents and experiencers can be controllers
   and
   controllees of adjunct clauses, even if they are not subjects.
   Hypothesis 2: Subjects can be controllers and controllees of
   adjunct clauses, even if they are not agents or experiencers.
   Which hypothesis is supported by sentences 44 and 45?
m. (2 pts) Why?
   - Hypothesis 2: all subjects can be controllers and
     controllees. 45 shows an example of a non-agent subject of
     a passive that is a controller. 44 shows a patient subject that
     is a controllee.